

ON PERFECT POWERS IN k -GENERALIZED PELL SEQUENCE

ZAFER ŞIAR, Bingöl, REFIK KESKİN, Sakarya,
ELIF SEGAH ÖZTAŞ, Karaman

Received March 6, 2022. Published online September 29, 2022.

Communicated by Clemens Fuchs

Abstract. Let $k \geq 2$ and let $(P_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ be the k -generalized Pell sequence defined by

$$P_n^{(k)} = 2P_{n-1}^{(k)} + P_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + P_{n-k}^{(k)}$$

for $n \geq 2$ with initial conditions

$$P_{-(k-2)}^{(k)} = P_{-(k-3)}^{(k)} = \dots = P_{-1}^{(k)} = P_0^{(k)} = 0, P_1^{(k)} = 1.$$

In this study, we handle the equation $P_n^{(k)} = y^m$ in positive integers n, m, y, k such that $k, y \geq 2$, and give an upper bound on n . Also, we will show that the equation $P_n^{(k)} = y^m$ with $2 \leq y \leq 1000$ has only one solution given by $P_7^{(2)} = 13^2$.

Keywords: Fibonacci and Lucas numbers; exponential Diophantine equation; linear forms in logarithms; Baker's method

MSC 2020: 11B39, 11D61, 11J86

1. INTRODUCTION

Let k, r be integers with $k \geq 2$ and $r \neq 0$. Let $(G_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ be the linear recurrence sequence of order k defined by

$$G_n^{(k)} = rG_{n-1}^{(k)} + G_{n-2}^{(k)} + \dots + G_{n-k}^{(k)}$$

for $n \geq 2$ with the initial conditions $G_{-(k-2)}^{(k)} = G_{-(k-3)}^{(k)} = \dots = G_{-1}^{(k)} = G_0^{(k)} = 0$ and $G_1^{(k)} = 1$. For $r = 1$, the sequence $(G_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ is called k -generalized Fibonacci

sequence $(F_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ (see [6]). For $r = 2$, the sequence $(G_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ is called k -generalized Pell sequence $(P_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ (see [13]). The terms of these sequences are called k -generalized Fibonacci numbers and k -generalized Pell numbers, respectively. When $k = 2$, we have Fibonacci and Pell sequences $(F_n)_{n \geq 0}$ and $(P_n)_{n \geq 0}$, respectively.

There has been much interest in the question, when the terms of a linear recurrence sequence are perfect powers. For instance, in [14], Ljunggren showed that for $n \geq 2$, P_n is a perfect square precisely for $P_7 = 13^2$ and $P_n = 2x^2$ precisely for $P_2 = 2$. In [9], Cohn solved the same equations for Fibonacci numbers. Later, these problems were extended by Bugeaud, Mignotte and Siksek (see [8]) for Fibonacci numbers and by Pethő (see [16]) for Pell numbers. Pethő [16] and Cohn [10] independently found all perfect powers in the Pell sequence. They proved:

Theorem 1. *The only positive integer solution (n, y, m) with $m \geq 2$ and $y \geq 2$ of the Diophantine equation $P_n = y^m$ is given by $(n, m, y) = (7, 2, 13)$.*

Bugeaud, Mignotte and Siksek (see [8]) solved the Diophantine equation $F_n = y^p$ for $p \geq 2$ using modular approach and classical linear forms in logarithms. Lastly, Bravo and Luca handled this problem with $y = 2$, for k -generalized Fibonacci numbers. They showed in [6] that the Diophantine equation $F_n^{(k)} = 2^m$ in positive integers (n, m) has the solutions $(n, m) = (6, 3)$ for $k = 2$ and $(n, m) = (t, t - 2)$ for all $2 \leq t \leq k + 1$.

In this paper, we deal with the Diophantine equation

$$(1) \quad P_n^{(k)} = y^m$$

in positive integers n, m with $k, y \geq 2$. Our main result is the following.

Theorem 2. *Let $2 \leq y \leq 1000$. Then Diophantine equation (1) has only the solution $(n, m, k, y) = (7, 2, 2, 13)$.*

2. PRELIMINARIES

The characteristic polynomial of the sequence $(P_n^{(k)})_{n \geq 2-k}$ is

$$(2) \quad \Psi_k(x) = x^k - 2x^{k-1} - \dots - x - 1.$$

We know from Lemma 1 of [19] that this polynomial has exactly one positive real root located between 2 and 3. We denote the roots of the polynomial in (2) by

$\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots, \alpha_k$. Particularly, let $\alpha = \alpha_1$ denote the positive real root of $\Psi_k(x)$. The positive real root $\alpha = \alpha(k)$ is called dominant root of $\Psi_k(x)$. The other roots are strictly inside the unit circle. In [5], the Binet- like formula for k -generalized Pell numbers is given by

$$(3) \quad P_n^{(k)} = \sum_{j=1}^k \frac{(\alpha_j - 1)}{\alpha_j^2 - 1 + k(\alpha_j^2 - 3\alpha_j + 1)} \alpha_j^n.$$

It was also shown in [5] that the contribution of the roots inside the unit circle to formula (2) is very small, more precisely the approximation

$$(4) \quad |P_n^{(k)} - g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n| < \frac{1}{2}$$

holds for all $n \geq 2 - k$, where

$$(5) \quad g_k(z) = \frac{z - 1}{(k + 1)z^2 - 3kz + k - 1}.$$

From [3], we can give the inequality, which will be used in the proof of Lemma 8,

$$(6) \quad \left| \frac{(\alpha_j - 1)}{\alpha_j^2 - 1 + k(\alpha_j^2 - 3\alpha_j + 1)} \right| < 1$$

for $k \geq 2$, where α_j 's for $j = 1, 2, \dots, k$ are the roots of the polynomial in (2).

Throughout this paper, α denotes the positive real root of the polynomial given in (2). The following relation between α and $P_n^{(k)}$ given in [5] is valid for all $n \geq 1$.

$$(7) \quad \alpha^{n-2} \leq P_n^{(k)} \leq \alpha^{n-1}.$$

Furthermore, Kılıç in [13] proved that

$$(8) \quad P_n^{(k)} = F_{2n-1}$$

for all $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$.

Lemma 3 ([5], Lemma 3.2). *Let $k, l \geq 2$ be integers. Then:*

- (a) *If $k > l$, then $\alpha(k) > \alpha(l)$, where $\alpha(k)$ and $\alpha(l)$ are the values of α relative to k and l , respectively.*
- (b) *$\varphi^2(1 - \varphi^{-k}) < \alpha < \varphi^2$, where $\varphi = \frac{1}{2}(1 + \sqrt{5})$ is the golden section.*
- (c) *$g_k(\varphi^2) = 1/(\varphi + 2)$.*
- (d) *$0.276 < g_k(\alpha) < \frac{1}{2}$.*

For solving equation (1), we use linear forms in logarithms and Baker's theory. For this, we give some notations, lemmas and a theorem.

Let η be an algebraic number of degree d with minimal polynomial

$$a_0x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + \dots + a_d = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \eta^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

where the a_i 's are integers with $\gcd(a_0, \dots, a_n) = 1$ and $a_0 > 0$ and the $\eta^{(i)}$'s are conjugates of η . Then

$$(9) \quad h(\eta) = \frac{1}{d} \left(\log a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \log(\max\{|\eta^{(i)}|, 1\}) \right)$$

is called the logarithmic height of η . In particular, if $\eta = a/b$ is a rational number with $\gcd(a, b) = 1$ and $b \geq 1$, then $h(\eta) = \log(\max\{|a|, b\})$.

We give some properties of the logarithmic height whose proofs can be found in [7]:

$$(10) \quad h(\eta \pm \gamma) \leq h(\eta) + h(\gamma) + \log 2,$$

$$(11) \quad h(\eta\gamma^{\pm 1}) \leq h(\eta) + h(\gamma),$$

$$(12) \quad h(\eta^m) = |m|h(\eta).$$

Now, from Lemma 6 given in [4], we can deduce the estimation

$$(13) \quad h(g_k(\alpha)) < 5 \log k \quad \text{for } k \geq 2,$$

which will be used in the proof of Lemma 8.

We give a theorem deduced from Corollary 2.3 of Matveev [15], which provides a large upper bound for the subscript n in equation (1) (also see Theorem 9.4 in [8]).

Theorem 4. *Assume that $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t$ are positive real algebraic numbers in a real algebraic number field \mathbb{K} of degree D , b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t are rational integers, and $\Lambda := \gamma_1^{b_1} \dots \gamma_t^{b_t} - 1$ is not zero. Then*

$$|\Lambda| > \exp(-1.4 \cdot 30^{t+3} t^{9/2} D^2 (1 + \log D)(1 + \log B) A_1 A_2 \dots A_t),$$

where $B \geq \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_t|\}$, and $A_i \geq \max\{Dh(\gamma_i), |\log \gamma_i|, 0.16\}$ for all $i = 1, \dots, t$.

In [12], Dujella and Pethő gave a version of the reduction method based on the Baker and Davenport (see [1]). Then, in [2], the authors proved the following lemma, which is an immediate variation of the result due to Dujella and Pethő from [12]. This lemma is based on the theory of continued fractions and will be used to lower the upper bound obtained by Theorem 4 for the subscript n in (1).

Lemma 5. Let M be a positive integer, let p/q be a convergent of the continued fraction expansion of the irrational number γ such that $q > 6M$, and let A, B, μ be some real numbers with $A > 0$ and $B > 1$. Let $\varepsilon := \|\mu q\| - M\|\gamma q\|$, where $\|\cdot\|$ denotes the distance from x to the nearest integer. If $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists no solution to the inequality

$$0 < |u\gamma - v + \mu| < AB^{-w}$$

in positive integers u, v , and w with

$$u \leq M \quad \text{and} \quad w \geq \frac{\log(Aq/\varepsilon)}{\log B}.$$

The following lemma can be found in [11].

Lemma 6. Let $a, x \in \mathbb{R}$. If $0 < a < 1$ and $|x| < a$, then

$$|\log(1+x)| < \frac{-\log(1-a)}{a}|x| \quad \text{and} \quad |x| < \frac{a}{1-e^{-a}}|e^x - 1|.$$

Finally, we give the following lemma, which can be found in [17].

Lemma 7. If $m \geq 1$, $T \geq (4m^2)^m$ and $x/(\log x)^m < T$, then $x < 2^m T (\log T)^m$.

Before proving our result, we prove the following lemma, which gives an estimate on n in terms of k and y .

Lemma 8. All solutions (n, m, k, y) of equation (1) satisfy the inequality

$$(14) \quad n < 6.81 \cdot 10^{12} k^4 (\log k)^2 \log n \cdot \log y.$$

Proof. Assume that $P_n^{(k)} = y^m$ with $m, k, y \geq 2$. If $1 \leq n \leq k+1$, then we have $P_n^{(k)} = F_{2n-1} = y^m$ by (8). $F_{2n-1} = y^m$ is not satisfied for any $n \geq 1$ by Theorem 1 given in [8]. Then we suppose that $n \geq k+2$, which implies that $n \geq 4$. Let α be the positive real root of $\Psi_k(x)$ given in (2). Then $2 < \alpha < \varphi^2 < 3$ by Lemma 3 (b). Using (7), we get $\alpha^{n-2} < y^m < \alpha^{n-1}$. Making necessary calculations, we obtain

$$(15) \quad m < (n-1) \frac{\log \alpha}{\log y} \leq (n-1) \frac{\log \varphi^2}{\log 2} < 1.4n$$

for $n \geq 4$. Now, let us rearrange (1) using inequality (4). Thus, we have

$$(16) \quad |y^m - g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n| < \frac{1}{2}.$$

If we divide both sides of inequality (16) by $g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n$, from Lemma 3, we get

$$(17) \quad \left| \frac{y^m}{\alpha^n g_k(\alpha)} - 1 \right| < \frac{1}{2g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n} < \frac{1}{0.552\alpha^n} < \frac{1.82}{\alpha^n}.$$

In order to use Theorem 4, we take

$$(\gamma_1, b_1) := (y, m), \quad (\gamma_2, b_2) := (\alpha, -n), \quad (\gamma_3, b_3) := (g_k(\alpha), -1).$$

The number field containing γ_1 , γ_2 , and γ_3 is $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$, which has degree $D = k$. We show that the number

$$\Lambda_1 := \frac{y^m}{\alpha^n g_k(\alpha)} - 1$$

is nonzero. In contrast to this, assume that $\Lambda_1 = 0$. Then

$$y^m = \alpha^n g_k(\alpha) = \frac{\alpha - 1}{(k+1)\alpha^2 - 3k\alpha + k - 1} \alpha^n.$$

Conjugating the above equality by some automorphism belonging to the Galois group of the splitting field of $\Psi_k(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} and taking absolute values, we get

$$y^m = \left| \frac{\alpha_i - 1}{(k+1)\alpha_i^2 - 3k\alpha_i + k - 1} \alpha_i^n \right|$$

for some $i > 1$. Using (6) and that $|\alpha_i| < 1$, we obtain from the last equality that

$$y^m = \left| \frac{\alpha_i - 1}{(k+1)\alpha_i^2 - 3k\alpha_i + k - 1} \right| |\alpha_i|^n < 1,$$

which is impossible since $y \geq 2$. Therefore $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$.

Moreover, since $h(y) = \log y$, $h(\gamma_2) = (\log \alpha)/k < (\log 3)/k$ by (9) and $h(g_k(\alpha)) < 5 \log k$ by (13), we can take $A_1 := k \log y$, $A_2 := \log 3$ and $A_3 := 5k \log k$. Also, since $m \leq 1.4n$, it follows that $B := 1.4n$. Thus, taking into account inequality (17) and using Theorem 4, we obtain

$$\frac{1.82}{\alpha^n} > |\Lambda_1| > \exp(-Ck^2(1 + \log k)(1 + \log 1.4n)k \log y \cdot \log 3 \cdot 5k \log k)$$

and so

$$n \log \alpha - \log 1.82 < Ck^2 \cdot 3 \log k \cdot 2 \log n \cdot k \log y \cdot \log 3 \cdot 5k \log k,$$

where $C = 1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{9/2}$ and we have used the fact that $1 + \log k < 3 \log k$ for all $k \geq 2$ and $1 + \log 1.4n < 2 \log n$ for $n \geq 4$. From the last inequality, a quick computation with Mathematica yields

$$n \log \alpha < 4.72 \cdot 10^{12} k^4 (\log k)^2 \cdot \log n \cdot \log y$$

or

$$n < 6.81 \cdot 10^{12} k^4 (\log k)^2 \cdot \log n \cdot \log y.$$

Thus, the proof is completed. □

3. THE PROOF OF THEOREM 2

Assume that Diophantine equation (1) is satisfied for $2 \leq y \leq 1000$. If $1 \leq n \leq k + 1$, then we have $P_n^{(k)} = F_{2n-1} = y^m$ by (8). The equation $F_{2n-1} = y^m$ has no solutions by Theorem 1 given in [8]. Then we suppose that $n \geq k + 2$. If $k = 2$, then we have $P_n^{(2)} = P_n = y^m$, which implies that $(n, m, k, y) = (7, 2, 2, 13)$ by Theorem 1. Now, assume that $k \geq 3$. So, $n \geq 5$. On the other hand, since $y \leq 1000$, it follows that

$$(18) \quad \frac{n}{\log n} < 4.71 \cdot 10^{13} k^4 (\log k)^2$$

by (14). By Lemma 7, inequality (18) yields that

$$n < 2T \log T,$$

where $T := 4.71 \cdot 10^{13} k^4 (\log k)^2$. Making necessary calculations, we get

$$(19) \quad n < 3.3 \cdot 10^{15} k^4 (\log k)^3$$

for all $k \geq 3$.

Let $k \in [3, 555]$. Then, we obtain $n < 7.9 \cdot 10^{28}$ from (19). Now, let us try to reduce this upper bound on n by applying Lemma 5. Let

$$z_1 := m \log y - n \log \alpha + \log \frac{1}{g_k(\alpha)}$$

and $x := e^{z_1} - 1$. Then from (17), it is seen that

$$|x| = |e^{z_1} - 1| < \frac{1.82}{\alpha^n} < 0.12$$

for $n \geq 5$. Choosing $a := 0.12$, we get the inequality

$$|z_1| = |\log(x + 1)| < \frac{\log \frac{100}{88} \cdot 1.82}{0.12} \frac{1}{\alpha^n} < \frac{1.94}{\alpha^n}$$

by Lemma 6. Thus, it follows that

$$0 < \left| m \log y - n \log \alpha + \log \frac{1}{g_k(\alpha)} \right| < \frac{1.94}{\alpha^n}.$$

Dividing this inequality by $\log \alpha$, we get

$$(20) \quad 0 < |m\gamma - n + \mu| < AB^{-w},$$

where

$$\gamma := \frac{\log y}{\log \alpha}, \quad \mu := \frac{1}{\log \alpha} \log \frac{1}{g_k(\alpha)}, \quad A := 2.8, \quad B := \alpha, \quad \text{and} \quad w := n.$$

It can be easily seen that $\log y / \log \alpha$ is irrational. If it were not, then we could write $\log y / \log \alpha = b/a$ for some positive integers a and b . This implies that $y^a = \alpha^b$. Conjugating this equality by an automorphism belonging to the Galois group of the splitting field of $\Psi_k(x)$ over \mathbb{Q} and taking absolute values, we get $y^a = |\alpha_i|^b$ for some $i > 1$. This is impossible since $|\alpha_i| < 1$ and $y \geq 2$. Put

$$M := 1.106 \cdot 10^{29},$$

which is an upper bound on m since $m < 1.4n < 1.106 \cdot 10^{29}$. Thus, we find that q_{91} , the denominator of the 91th convergent of γ , exceeds $6M$. Furthermore, a quick computation with Mathematica gives us that the value

$$\frac{\log(Aq_{91}/\varepsilon)}{\log B}$$

is less than 164.9 for all $k \in [3, 555]$. So, if (20) has a solution, then

$$n < \frac{\log(Aq_{91}/\varepsilon)}{\log B} \leq 164.9,$$

that is, $n \leq 164$. In this case, $m < 229$ by (15). A quick computation with Mathematica gives us that the equation $P_n^{(k)} = y^m$ has no solutions for $n \in [5, 164]$, $m \in [2, 229]$ and $k \in [3, 555]$. Thus, this completes the analysis in the case $k \in [3, 555]$.

From now on, we can assume that $k > 555$. Then we can see from (19) that the inequality

$$(21) \quad n < 3.3 \cdot 10^{15} k^4 (\log k)^3 < \varphi^{k/2-2} < \varphi^{k/2}$$

holds for $k > 555$.

Now, let $\lambda > 0$ be such that $\alpha + \lambda = \varphi^2$. By Lemma 3 (b), we obtain

$$\lambda = \varphi^2 - \alpha < \varphi^2 - \varphi^2(1 - \varphi^{-k}) = \varphi^{2-k},$$

i.e.,

$$(22) \quad \lambda < \frac{1}{\varphi^{k-2}}.$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha^n &= (\varphi^2 - \lambda)^n = \varphi^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{\lambda}{\varphi^2}\right)^n = \varphi^{2n} e^{n \log(1 - \lambda/\varphi^2)} \\ &\geq \varphi^{2n} e^{-n\lambda} \geq \varphi^{2n} (1 - n\lambda) > \varphi^{2n} \left(1 - \frac{n}{\varphi^{k-2}}\right), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that $\log(1 - x) \geq -\varphi^2 x$ for $0 < x < 0.907$ and $e^{-x} > 1 - x$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{0\}$. Thus,

$$\alpha^n > \varphi^{2n} - \frac{n\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{k-2}} > \varphi^{2n} - \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{k/2}}$$

by (21). Since $\alpha < \varphi^2$, it follows that

$$\alpha^n < \varphi^{2n} + \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{k/2}}$$

and so we have

$$(23) \quad |\alpha^n - \varphi^{2n}| < \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{k/2}}.$$

Thus, we can write $\alpha^n = \varphi^{2n} + \delta$ with $|\delta| < \varphi^{2n}/\varphi^{k/2}$. Also, the equality

$$(24) \quad g_k(\alpha) = g_k(\varphi^2) + \eta, \quad |\eta| < \frac{4k}{\varphi^k}$$

is given in Lemma 13 of [18]. Since $g_k(\varphi^2) = 1/(\varphi + 2)$ by Lemma 3 (c), it follows that

$$g_k(\alpha) = \frac{1}{\varphi + 2} + \eta.$$

Now we can give the following result.

Lemma 9. *Let $k > 555$ and let α be the dominant root of the polynomial $\Psi_k(x)$. Let us consider $g_k(x)$ defined in (5) as a function of a real variable. Then*

$$(25) \quad g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n = \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi + 2} + \frac{\delta}{\varphi + 2} + \eta\varphi^{2n} + \eta\delta,$$

where δ and η are real numbers such that

$$(26) \quad |\delta| < \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{k/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad |\eta| < \frac{4k}{\varphi^k}.$$

So, using (16), (25) and (26), we obtain

$$(27) \quad \begin{aligned} \left| y^m - \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi + 2} \right| &= \left| (y^m - g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n) + \frac{\delta}{\varphi + 2} + \eta\varphi^{2n} + \eta\delta \right| \\ &\leq |y^m - g_k(\alpha)\alpha^n| + \frac{|\delta|}{\varphi + 2} + |\eta|\varphi^{2n} + |\eta||\delta| \\ &< \frac{1}{2} + \frac{\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{k/2}(\varphi + 2)} + \frac{4k\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^k} + \frac{4k\varphi^{2n}}{\varphi^{3k/2}}. \end{aligned}$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $\varphi^{2n}/(\varphi + 2)$, we get

$$(28) \quad \begin{aligned} |y^m \varphi^{-2n}(\varphi + 2) - 1| &< \frac{\varphi + 2}{2\varphi^{2n}} + \frac{1}{\varphi^{k/2}} + \frac{4k(\varphi + 2)}{\varphi^k} + \frac{4k(\varphi + 2)}{\varphi^{3k/2}} \\ &< \frac{0.05}{\varphi^{k/2}} + \frac{1}{\varphi^{k/2}} + \frac{0.005}{\varphi^{k/2}} + \frac{0.005}{\varphi^{k/2}} = \frac{1.06}{\varphi^{k/2}}, \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the facts that $n \geq k + 2$ and

$$\frac{4k(\varphi + 2)}{\varphi^k} < \frac{0.005}{\varphi^{k/2}} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{4k(\varphi + 2)}{\varphi^{3k/2}} < \frac{0.005}{\varphi^{k/2}} \quad \text{for } k > 555.$$

In order to use the result of Theorem 4, we take

$$(\gamma_1, b_1) := (y, m), \quad (\gamma_2, b_2) := (\varphi, -2n), \quad (\gamma_3, b_3) := (\varphi + 2, 1).$$

The number field containing γ_1 , γ_2 , and γ_3 is $\mathbb{K} = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, which has degree $D = 2$. We show that the number

$$\Lambda_1 := y^m \varphi^{-2n} (\varphi + 2) - 1$$

is nonzero. In contrast to this, assume that $\Lambda_1 = 0$. Then $y^m (\varphi + 2) = \varphi^{2n}$ and conjugating this relation in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, we get $y^m (\beta + 2) = \beta^{2n}$, where $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5}) = \bar{\varphi}$. The left-hand side of the last equality is always greater than 1, while the right-hand side is smaller than 1 because $n \geq k + 2 > 512$. This is a contradiction. Therefore $\Lambda_1 \neq 0$. Moreover, since

$$h(\gamma_1) = h(y) = \log y, \quad h(\gamma_2) = h(\varphi) \leq \frac{\log \varphi}{2}$$

and

$$h(\gamma_3) \leq h(\varphi) + h(2) + \log 2 \leq \frac{\log \varphi}{2} + \log 4$$

by (11), we can take $A_1 := 2 \log y$, $A_2 := \log \varphi$ and $A_3 := \log 16\varphi$. Also, since $m < 1.4n$ by (15), we can take $B := 2n$. Thus, taking into account inequality (28) and using Theorem 4, we obtain

$$(1.06) \cdot \varphi^{-k/2} > |\Lambda_1| > \exp(-C(1 + \log 2n)2 \log y \cdot \log \varphi \cdot \log 16\varphi),$$

where $C = 1.4 \cdot 30^6 3^{9/2} 2^2 (1 + \log 2)$. This implies that

$$(29) \quad k < 4.2 \cdot 10^{13} \log n,$$

where we have used the fact that $(1 + \log 2n) < 2.1 \log n$ for $n \geq k + 2 > 557$. On the other hand, from (19) we get

$$\log n < \log(3.3 \cdot 10^{15} k^4 (\log k)^3) < 35.8 + 4 \log k + 3 \log(\log k) < 43 \log k$$

for $k \geq 3$. So, from (29) we obtain

$$k < 4.2 \cdot 10^{13} \cdot 43 \log k,$$

which implies that

$$(30) \quad k < 7.1 \cdot 10^{16}.$$

Substituting this bound of k into (19), we get $n < 4.9 \cdot 10^{87}$, which implies that $m < 6.86 \cdot 10^{87}$ by (15).

Now, let

$$z_2 := m \log y - 2n \log \varphi + \log(\varphi + 2)$$

and $x := 1 - e^{z_2}$. Then

$$|x| = |1 - e^{z_2}| < \frac{1.06}{\varphi^{k/2}} < 0.1$$

by (28) since $k > 555$. Choosing $a := 0.1$, we obtain the inequality

$$|z_2| = |\log(x + 1)| < \frac{\log \frac{10}{9}}{0.1} \frac{1.06}{\varphi^{k/2}} < \frac{1.12}{\varphi^{k/2}}$$

by Lemma 6. That is,

$$0 < |m \log y - 2n \log \varphi + \log(\varphi + 2)| < \frac{1.12}{\varphi^{k/2}}.$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by $\log \varphi$, it is seen that

$$(31) \quad 0 < |m\gamma - 2n + \mu| < AB^{-w},$$

where

$$\gamma := \frac{\log y}{\log \varphi}, \quad \mu := \frac{\log(\varphi + 2)}{\log \varphi}, \quad A := 2.33, \quad B := \varphi \quad \text{and} \quad w := \frac{1}{2}k.$$

It is clear that $\log y / \log \varphi$ is irrational. If it were not, then $\log y / \log \varphi = a/b$ for some positive integers a and b with. Thus, we get $y^b = \varphi^a$. Conjugating this equality in $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{5})$, we get $y^b = \beta^a$, which is impossible since $\beta^a < 1$, where $\beta = \frac{1}{2}(1 - \sqrt{5}) = \bar{\varphi}$. Besides, if we take $M := 6.86 \cdot 10^{87}$, which is an upper bound on m , we find that q_{212} , the denominator of the 212th convergent of γ , exceeds $6M$. Furthermore, a quick computation with Mathematica gives us that the value

$$\frac{\log(Aq_{212}/\varepsilon)}{\log B}$$

is less than 614.4. So, if (31) has a solution, then

$$\frac{k}{2} < \frac{\log(Aq_{212}/\varepsilon)}{\log B} \leq 614.4,$$

that is, $k \leq 1228$. Hence, from (19), we get $n < 2.71 \cdot 10^{30}$, which implies that $m < 3.8 \cdot 10^{30}$ by (15). If we apply again Lemma 5 to inequality (31) with $M := 3.8 \cdot 10^{30}$, we find that q_{84} , the denominator of the 84th convergent of γ , exceeds $6M$. After doing this, a quick computation with Mathematica shows that inequality (31) has solutions only for $k \leq 552$. This contradicts the fact that $k > 555$. Thus, the proof is completed. \square

References

- [1] *A. Baker, H. Davenport*: The equations $3x^2 - 2 = y^2$ and $8x^2 - 7 = z^2$. *Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser.* *20* (1969), 129–137. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *J. J. Bravo, C. A. Gómez, F. Luca*: Powers of two as sums of two k -Fibonacci numbers. *Miskolc Math. Notes* *17* (2016), 85–100. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *J. J. Bravo, J. L. Herrera*: Repdigits in generalized Pell sequences. *Arch. Math., Brno* *56* (2020), 249–262. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *J. J. Bravo, J. L. Herrera, F. Luca*: Common values of generalized Fibonacci and Pell sequences. *J. Number Theory* *226* (2021), 51–71. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *J. J. Bravo, J. L. Herrera, F. Luca*: On a generalization of the Pell sequence. *Math. Bohem.* *146* (2021), 199–213. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *J. J. Bravo, F. Luca*: Powers of two in generalized Fibonacci sequences. *Rev. Colomb. Mat.* *46* (2012), 67–79. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [7] *Y. Bugeaud*: Linear Forms in Logarithms and Applications. IRMA Lectures in Mathematics and Theoretical Physics 28. European Mathematical Society, Zürich, 2018. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *Y. Bugeaud, M. Mignotte, S. Siksek*: Classical and modular approaches to exponential Diophantine equations I. Fibonacci and Lucas perfect powers. *Ann. Math. (2)* *163* (2006), 969–1018. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *J. H. E. Cohn*: Square Fibonacci numbers, etc. *Fibonacci Q.* *2* (1964), 109–113. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *J. H. E. Cohn*: Perfect Pell powers. *Glasg. Math. J.* *38* (1996), 19–20. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *B. M. M. de Weger*: Algorithms for Diophantine Equations. CWI Tracts 65. Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 1989. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [12] *A. Dujella, A. Pethő*: A generalization of a theorem of Baker and Davenport. *Q. J. Math., Oxf. II. Ser.* *49* (1998), 291–306. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *E. Kiliç, D. Taşci*: The generalized Binet formula, representation and sums of the generalized order- k Pell numbers. *Taiwanese J. Math.* *10* (2006), 1661–1670. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *W. Ljunggren*: Zur Theorie der Gleichung $x^2 + 1 = Dy^4$. *Avh. Norske Vid. Akad. Oslo* *5* (1942), 1–27. (In German.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [15] *E. M. Matveev*: An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in the logarithms of algebraic numbers. II. *Izv. Math.* *64* (2000), 1217–1269; translation from *Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat.* *64* (2000), 125–180. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *A. Pethő*: The Pell sequence contains only trivial perfect powers. *Sets, Graphs and Numbers. Colloquia Mathematica Societatis János Bolyai* 60. North Holland, Amsterdam, 1992, pp. 561–568. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [17] *S. G. Sanchez, F. Luca*: Linear combinations of factorials and S -units in a binary recurrence sequence. *Ann. Math. Qué.* *38* (2014), 169–188. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *Z. Şiar, R. Keskin*: On perfect powers in k -generalized Pell-Lucas sequence. Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2209.04190> (2022), 17 pages.
- [19] *Z. Wu, H. Zhang*: On the reciprocal sums of higher-order sequences. *Adv. Difference Equ.* *2013* (2013), Article ID 189, 8 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: Zafer Şiar (corresponding author), Bingöl University, Mathematics Department, Bingöl, Turkey, e-mail: zsiar@bingol.edu.tr; Refik Keskin, Sakarya University, Mathematics Department, Sakarya, Turkey, e-mail: rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr; Elif Segah Öztas, Karamanoğlu Mehmetbey University, Mathematics Department, Karaman, Turkey, e-mail: esoztas@kmu.edu.tr.