

ULUM

Dini Tetkikler Dergisi
Journal of Religious Inquiries
علوم مجلة الدراسات الدينية
e-ISSN 2645-9132

SCOPE Religious Studies

Dinî Arařtırmalar **KAPSAM**

PERIOD Biannually (31 July & 31 December)

Yılda 2 Sayı (31 Temmuz & 31 Aralık) **PERİYOT**

VOLUME/ISSUE 2/2

2/2 **CİLT /SAYI**

ONLINE PUBL. DATE December 31, 2019

31 Aralık 2019 **E-YAYIN TARİHİ**

PUBLICATION LANGUAGE English

İngilizce **YAYIN DİLİ**

ULUM is an academic refereed journal dedicated to publishing articles, essays, symposium reviews, and book reviews primarily within the fields of Religious and Islamic Studies. *ULUM* is published twice a year in July and December and adopts double-blind peer-review process. There are at least two reviewers for the total number of articles in each issue. In addition, all articles are checked by means of a software program in order to confirm that they are unpublished and avoid plagiarism. *ULUM* accepts paper submission from researchers with only doctoral degrees in research articles and book review. It requires writers to use *the ISNAD Citation Style*. www.isnadsistemi.org

EDITOR IN CHIEF | EDİTÖR

Dr. Abdullah Demir

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Islamic Sciences, Department of Kalam and History of Islamic Sects Ankara, TURKEY
abdillahdemir@hotmail.com ORCID 0000-0001-7825-6573

FIELD EDITORS | ALAN EDİTÖRLERİ

Prof. Dr. Ali Osman Kurt

Social Sciences University of Ankara, Faculty Of Religious Education, Department of History of Religions
Ankara, Turkey alosman66@gmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Ali AVCU

Social Sciences University of Ankara, Faculty of Religious Education, Department of History of Islamic Sects
Ankara, Turkey aliavcu01@hotmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Harun Çağlayan

Kırıkkale University, Faculty of Islamic Education, Department of Kalam and Islamic Sects
Kırıkkale, Turkey caglayanharun@gmail.com

Dr. Mustafa Selim YILMAZ

Karabük University, Faculty of Theology, Department of Kalam and Islamic Sects
Karabük, Turkey s.mutekellim@gmail.com

Dr. Özcan AKDAĞ

Erciyes University, Faculty Of Theology, Department of Philosophy of Religion
Kayseri, Turkey ozcanakdag@erciyes.edu.tr

Dr. Ömer SABUNCU

Harran University, Faculty of Theology, Department of Islamic History
Şanlıurfa, Turkey omersabuncu@gmail.com

Dr. Peyman ÜNÜĞÜR

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt Univesity, Faculty of Islamic Sciences, Department of Hadith
Ankara, Turkey peyman.unugur@gmail.com

Research Assistant Hacer ERGİN

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Islamic Education, Department of Islamic Philosophy
Ankara, Turkey hacergin91@gmail.com

ENGLISH LANGUAGE EDITOR | İNGİLİZCE DİL EDİTÖRÜ

Dr. Arif BAKLA

Sivas Cumhuriyet University, Faculty of Education, Department of Foreign Language Education
Sivas, Turkey arifbakla@gmail.com

TURKISH LANGUAGE EDITOR / TÜRKÇE DİL EDİTÖRÜ

Prof. Dr. Celal DEMİR

Afyon Kocatepe University, Faculty of Education, Department of Social Sciences and Turkish Language Teaching Department Of Turkish Education
Afyonkarahisar, Turkey cdemir@aku.edu.tr

EDITORIAL AND ADVISORY BOARD

Prof. Dr. İsmail ÇALIŞKAN

Ankara University, Faculty of Divinity, Department of Tafsir
Ankara, Turkey duralaroltu@hotmail.com

Prof. Dr. Ali Osman KURT

Social Sciences University of Ankara, Faculty of Religious Education, Department of History of Religions
Ankara, Turkey alosman66@gmail.com

Prof. Dr. Muhammet TARAKÇI

Uludağ University, Faculty of Theology, Department of History of Religions
Bursa, Turkey mtarakci@uludag.edu.tr

Assoc. Prof. Mehmet KALAYCI

Ankara University, Faculty of Divinity, Department of History of Islamic Sects,
Ankara, Turkey mehkala@gmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Harun ÇAĞLAYAN

Kırıkkale University, Faculty of Islamic Education, Department of Kalam and Islamic Sects
Kırıkkale, Turkey caglayanharun@gmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Ali AVCU

Social Sciences University of Ankara, Faculty of Religious Education, Department of History of Islamic Sects
Ankara, Turkey aliavcu01@hotmail.com

Assoc. Prof. Mehmet Nesim DORU

Mardin Artuklu University, Faculty of Letters, Department of History of Philosophy
Mardin, Turkey nesimdoru@hotmail.com

Dr. Abdullah Demir

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Islamic Sciences, Department of Kalam and History of Islamic Sects Ankara, TURKEY abduillahdemir@hotmail.com

Dr. Emrah KAYA

Sakarya University, Faculty of Theology, Department of Islamic Philosophy
Sakarya, Turkey emrahkaya@sakarya.edu.tr

Dr. Özcan AKDAĞ

Erciyes University, Faculty Of Theology, Department of Philosophy of Religion
Kayseri, Turkey ozcanakdag@erciyes.edu.tr

Dr. Kadir GÖMBEYAZ

Kocaeli University, Faculty of Theology, Department of Kalam and Islamic Sects
Kocaeli, Turkey kgombeyaz@hotmail.com

INDEXING

INDEX ISLAMICUS, Accepted: Volume/ Issue: 1/1 (July 2018)
DOAJ: Directory of Open Access Journals (Accepted: 03/12/2018)

EXECUTIVE OFFICE

Ankara Yıldırım Beyazıt University, Faculty of Islamic Studies
Esenboga Külliyesi C-325, Ankara, Turkey
ulumdergisi@gmail.com www.dergipark.org.tr/ulum

ULUM

Dini Tetkikler Dergisi
Journal of Religious Inquiries
علوم مجلة الدراسات الدينية
e-ISSN 2645-9132

Volume: 2 Issue: 2 (December 2019)

CONTENTS | İÇİNDEKİLER

From the Editor

Editörden

Abdullah Demir (ed.) 209 – 210

ARTICLE | MAKALE

Empathy, a Facility to Reduce Conflict Among Individuals and Societies, and the Qur'ân
Bireyler ve Toplumlar Arasındaki Çatışmaları Azaltma İmkânı Olarak Empati ve Kur'an

Murat Kayacan 211 – 221

TRANSLATED ARTICLE | ÇEVİRİ MAKALE

al-Mâtürîdî and Atomism

İmam Mâtürîdî ve Atomculuk

Mehmet Bulgen 223 – 263

SUMMARIES OF DOCTORAL DISSERTATION | DOKTORA TEZ ÖZETİ

The Qur'ân and Empathy as a Facility to Reduce Conflict Among Individuals and Societies

Mu'tezile Kelâmında Tabîi Nedensellik Düşüncesi

Ahmet Mekin Kandemir 265 – 270

BOOK REVIEW | KİTAP DEĞERLENDİRMESİ

Mustafa Akman. İbn-i Arabî: Kelami Tartışmalar, Sorular, Şüpheler (İstanbul: Ekin Yayınları, 2017)

Emrah Kaya 271 – 275

**Mustafa Akman. *İbn-i Arabî: Kelami Tartışmalar, Sorular, Şüpheler*
(İstanbul: Ekin Yayınları, 2017)**

Reviewed by

Emrah Kaya *

Abstract

The book, *İbn-i Arabî: Kelami Tartışmalar, Sorular, Şüpheler*, consists of six sections: "İbn al-'Arabî", "philosophy of waḥdat al-wujūd", "approach to Jesus Christ and Pharaoh", "approach to women, religion and the hell", "[İbn al-'Arabî's] resources of thinking and relation with philosophy", and "İbn al-'Arabî and critiques against him in the history." According to Akman, it is a requirement to make readings on philosophy, mysticism, and theology to understand the thinking of Sufi-philosopher, İbn al-'Arabî. The author, according to himself, has read İbn al-'Arabî through these fields, but he assesses İbn al-'Arabî's teaching in the context of theology (kalām).

Keywords

Islamic Philosophy, Sufism, İbn al-'Arabî, Critiques, Book Review

Öz

Değerlendirmemize konu olan *İbn-i Arabî: Kelami Tartışmalar, Sorular, Şüpheler* başlıklı kitap altı bölümden oluşmaktadır: "İbn-i Arabî", "Vahdet-i Vücûd Felsefesi", "İsâ Aleyhisselam ve Firavun'a Yaklaşım", "Kadın, Din ve Cehenneme Yaklaşım", "Fikir Kaynakları, Şiâ ve Felsefeyle İlişkisi", "Tarih Seyrinde İbn-i Arabî ve Tenkidi". Yazara göre sûfî-filozof olan İbn-i Arabî'yi anlamak için felsefî, tasavvufî ve kelamî okumalar yapmak gerekmektedir. Kendisinin de İbn-i Arabî'yi bu alanlardan okuduğunu fakat kendi çalışma alanıyla ilişkisi nedeniyle kelamî meseleler bağlamında İbn-i Arabî'yi değerlendirmeye çalıştığını belirtir.

* Assist. Prof. Dr., Sakarya University, Faculty of Theology, Philosophy and Religious Sciences

Dr. Öğr. Üyesi, Sakarya Üniversitesi, İlahiyat Fakültesi, Felsefe ve Din Bilimleri

emrahkaya@sakarya.edu.tr ORCID ID: <https://orcid.org/0000-0002-8889-5587>

Types: Book Review

Received: 06 December 2019

Accepted: 31 December 2019

Published: 31 December 2019

Cite as: Emrah Kaya, "Mustafa Akman. *İbn-i Arabî: Kelami Tartışmalar, Sorular, Şüpheler* (İstanbul: Ekin Yayınları, 2017)", *ULUM* 2/2 (December 2019), 271-275, <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.3601665>

Anahtar Kelimeler

İslam Felsefesi, Tasavvuf, İbn-i Arabî, Eleştiriler, Kitap Değerlendirmesi

İbn-i Arabî: Kelami Tartışmalar, Sorular, Şüpheler, which we evaluate here, was written by Mustafa Akman, who had completed his Ph.D. with the thesis about Jalâl al-Dîn Dawwânî's kalâm system in 2016, and has published numerous articles and translations. This book consists of six sections: "İbn al-'Arabî", "Philosophy of waḥdat al-wujūd", "Approach to Jesus Christ and Pharaoh", "Approach to women, religion and the hell", "[İbn al-'Arabî's] Resources of thinking and relation with philosophy", and "İbn al-'Arabî and critiques against him in the history." According to Akman, it is a requirement to make readings on philosophy, mysticism, and theology to understand the thinking of this Sufi-philosopher. The author has read İbn al-'Arabî through these fields, and he has assessed İbn al-'Arabî's teaching in the context of theology (*kalâm*).

It is simply reasonable to see traces of the Eastern religions, Judaism, and Christianity in the Islamic thought, which was born and grew up in a very broad cultural and geographical area. Many thinkers and schools of thought have been influential in shaping the Islamic thought in general and particularly Sufism. Thus, seeing some foreign thoughts in the teaching of İbn al-'Arabî is not something to be condemned.

On the other hand, contrary to the development and nature of the history of thinking, İbn al-'Arabî and his followers persistently claim the uniqueness of his teaching by emphasizing the terms "unveiling" (*kashf*) and "inspiration" (*ilhām*). As for his opponents, they defend that his works are not worth more than being a human product.

The book that is subject to our review is very voluminous and touches many different points of the teaching of İbn al-'Arabî. First, I should enounce that the author's approach to İbn al-'Arabî is very critical. This attitude may be seen in the preface of the book through the expression of "İbn al-'Arabî is not untouchable and unquestionable (*lā yus'āl*)". Certainly, none of the followers of İbn al-'Arabî defends his unquestionability. However, it would be a contradiction to believe that all of his works are products of divine unveiling and inspiration and to criticize his opinions at the same time.

I partly agree with the author's claim regarding that İbn al-'Arabî is considered to be an unquestionable Sufi in some circles. On the other hand, in the academic platforms, İbn al-'Arabî like everyone else, who made history of science and culture, should be criticizable. Thus, the author's critical attitude is important. This is not to say that all of the author's critiques are congruent and acceptable. Alongside the content of his critiques, we have the right not to approve the author's phrasing that may be perceived to be disrespectful at times.

At the beginning of the book, the author mentions İbn al-'Arabî's life and spiritual development. On his spiritual development, some of the friends of God (*awliyā'*) like Abū al-'Abbās al-'Uryabî, who is an illiterate peasant, Shaykh 'Abd al-'Azîz al-Mahdawî, and Abū Madyan were influential. According to the author, İbn al-'Arabî without any proof and witness claims to have taken advantage both in the material and spiritual worlds from those people (p. 20). Besides, the author highlights that these kinds of claims, which are without proof, are abundant in İbn al-'Arabî's works. The author also criticizes Jalâl al-Dîn Suyûtî (d. 1505) and 'Abd al-Wahhâb al-Sha'rânî (d. 1565) because they spread İbn al-'Arabî's unsupported claims.

According to Akman, the most important feature distinguishing İbn al-'Arabî from previous Sufis is the different method used by İbn al-'Arabî in the Islamic sciences. The previous Sufis considered Sufism to be a subfield of theology and jurisprudence, which is based on mostly external forms. However, İbn al-'Arabî thought about Sufism to be a kind of metaphysics, which encompasses all sciences (p. 32). As a result of this difference, İbn al-'Arabî did not consent to restrict himself to the external forms and principles of these disciplines. On the contrary, he tried to adopt some of the principles of these disciplines to the understanding of metaphysics that he has.

Another critique put forward by the author is that Ibn al-‘Arabî’s method is not consistent. For example, Ibn al-‘Arabî claims that the Prophet Abraham misinterpreted his dream when he attempted to sacrifice his son. The dream had a symbolic meaning and had some other meaning. According to the author, as for the dreams of Ibn al-‘Arabî, he did not need to interpret his dreams symbolically, and he understood them literally. For instance, when he was told in a dream that he had to go to the East, he went to the East without interpreting this instruction symbolically. Or, when he was told in a dream that he had to go to Anatolia, he went there in the same way. The author, by referring these kinds of examples, propounds that Ibn al-‘Arabî does not have a method or criterion to interpret dreams. He thinks that the works of Ibn al-‘Arabî are full of such inconsistencies.

The author thinks that Ibn al-‘Arabî was conscious of those inconsistencies. He agrees with Abū al-‘Alā al-‘Affifî’s statement that Ibn al-‘Arabî presented his mystical thoughts under the mask of Islam successfully. The success of Ibn al-‘Arabî in using the mask of Islam to present his thought is relative to the concepts of unveiling and inspiration. Ibn al-‘Arabî underlines that he wrote all of his works through the divine inspirations and communications with the prophets. Furthermore, he claims to have written, for example, *Futūḥāt al-makkiyya* with certain knowledge bestowed by God when *Rūḥ al-amīn* (Gabriel) descended over his heart. According to the author, these kinds of assertions do not belong only to Ibn al-‘Arabî. The culture of Sufism is full of these assertions. As happened in the classical time of the Islamic thought, in modern times there are many Muslim thinkers, like Said Nursi, who have such assertions. Such thinkers predicate their knowledge, which is based on books and human reason, on the divine sources. In this way, they gain legitimacy in the sight of people and scholarly circles. In addition to gaining legitimacy, they authorize themselves to interpret the Qur’ān as they wish, and to find a narration to support their argument when they need it.

As for the science of hadith, which is based on the isnād system, the author propounds that Ibn al-‘Arabî’s approach to this matter is problematic and psychiatric. Ibn al-‘Arabî claimed to have received confirmation from the Prophet in their spiritual connections, even though he lived five centuries later. The author harshly criticizes Ibn al-‘Arabî for underestimating the scholars, and for establishing a thinking world where human intellect has no place (p. 124). Moreover, some people, who focus on the works of Ibn al-‘Arabî, prove the author’s statements right. For example, even though he read it a few times, Tahsin Bey claims to have understood the meaning of *Futūḥāt* after Ibn al-‘Arabî explained to him in his dream. Also, as Mahmut Erol Kılıç has narrated, a commentator of Ibn al-‘Arabî sees the spirituality of Ibn al-‘Arabî in his dream. Ibn al-‘Arabî made him write a commentary, and correct some points. Those examples prove that on the matter of knowledge, mystical ways play a more significant role than human reason in this approach (p. 127).

On the other hand, the author’s critique regarding the reliability and bindingness of unveiling and inspiration is understandable. But saying that any rational interpretation, which is based on human intellect is not knowledge or science, is not a firm base for this kind of critique. It is because all interpretations, even the interpretations of the Qur’ān, are based on human intellect, and are subjective. If so, we should not take them seriously as a kind of knowledge. Therefore, this kind of critique of the author is very problematic and contradictory.

Even though the book consists of various chapters, the central objection is for Ibn al-‘Arabî’s epistemology. Apart from this main objection, the author has other rejections on some specific subjects, as *wahdat al-wujūd* (the unity of being), the comparison of prophets, and friends of God. In this regard, the author claims that the origins of Ibn al-‘Arabî’s statements are in the Neo-platonic philosophy and Christianity even though he presents those as a product of the divine inspiration (pp. 259-274).

Finally, I will summarize my review with some words.

The school of Ibn al-‘Arabî and the teaching of Sufism, in general, are predominant in Turkish academia. Notwithstanding, the author’s courage to criticize both the culture of Sufism and the teaching of Ibn al-‘Arabî is valuable. Therefore, the book would be helpful for those who want to find some alternative thoughts on this subject.

I should express that the critical attitude of the author is not relevant to Ibn al-‘Arabî only. Even though thinking about the author to be a Salafi or a follower of Ibn Taymiyya (d. 1328) is possible, the author criticizes Salafism and Ibn Taymiyya as much as possible. This attitude of the author undoubtedly is significant in terms of his endeavor for being objective. Moreover, while the author criticizes Shia, he criticizes also Ahl al-Sunna. For example, according to the author, although the scholars of Ahl al-Sunna wait for an opportunity ambitiously for criticizing Shia in the fields of theology, Qur’ānic commentary (*tafsîr*), hadîth and jurisprudence about Sufism, they have no words to say against Shia. Furthermore, some researchers among Ahl al-Sunna prefer to ignore the intimate relationship between Shia and Sufism when Ibn al-‘Arabî is in question.

Although the author knows well the epistemology of Ibn al-‘Arabî, who claims to have had all the knowledge through unveiling and inspiration, he criticizes Ibn al-‘Arabî’s statements for they are not compatible with the Qur’ān. This kind of critique propounded by the author is not firm because Ibn al-‘Arabî does not think of that he had to restrict himself to the outer meaning of the Qur’ān. Therefore, saying that his thought is not compatible with the outer meaning of the Qur’ān is not a valid argument.

Moreover, the method of referencing followed in the book is a bit confusing because it is difficult to understand whether the secondary sources support the author or not. Besides, the lack of rational coordination of the chapters has been another defect of the book. Also, the abundance of unnecessary repetitions distracts readers’ attention from the main arguments of the book. Lastly, although I like the critical attitude of the author, I sometimes think that his wording violates academic rules and overshadows the significance of the subject.

To sum up, as the author argues, Sufism with time has been privileged, so that none of the critiques is tolerated not only in the view of people but also in academia. Those who claim to attain true wisdom through unveiling and inspiration have had a feeling of elitism, and criticize most of Muslim scholars as exterior scholars. In such an atmosphere, writing this kind of critical book is crucial. But, while writing such a book, an author should avoid the deficiencies I have mentioned before. In this way, the quality of academic works regarding a meta-human field, which Sufism perpetually emphasizes, can develop day by day.