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## O Z E T

Bu çalışmada ölçülen ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ çekirdeği toplam fotoproton tesir kesiti, daha önce bilinen toplam fotonötron tesir kesitine eklenerek, bu çekirdeğin toplam fotoabsorpsiyon tesir kesiti için bir değer kulunmuştur. Bunun için Lorentz-eğrileri deneysel verilere yakıştırılmış ve integre edilmiş sonuç, toplam kurahın verdiği teorik değerle karģlaştırılmışıır.

## SUMMARY

A value for the total photoabsorption cross section of the nucleus ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ is obtained by adding the photoproton cross section measured in this work to the total photoneutron cross section previously known. For that Lorentz-lines are fitted to the experimentai data and the integrated result is compared with the value given by the sum rule.

## INTRODUCTION

In a phoronuclear reaction an incident photon is absorbed by a nucleus whereby a particle such as a proton, neutron, etc. can be emitted out of the nucleus. To study a nucleus by means of the electromagnetic radiation is a useful tool for investigatice of nuclear properties.

In past years most of the experimental photonuclear studies of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ nucleus wers performed with ( $\gamma, n$ ) reaction. The available experimental data on the ( $\gamma, p$ ) reaction of this nucleus are scarce in the literature. In the Giant Dipole Resonance (GDR) region $90 \%$ of the absorption is exhausted by the ( $\gamma, p$ ) photoproton and ( $\gamma, n$ ) photoneutron reaction while the remaining $10 \%$ goes through the $(\gamma, 2 i r),(\gamma, n p),(\gamma$, fission), etc. reactions (1).
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## EXPERIMENEAL TECHNIQUE

A natural ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ foil with a thickness of $4.6 \mathrm{mg} ; \mathrm{cm}^{2}$ was irradiated with a beam of bremsstrahlung photons produced at the 70 MeV linear electron accelerator of the Ghent State University. Photoprotons were detected by means of uncooled $\mathrm{Si}(\mathrm{Li})$ detectors at sevea different angles bciwcen $37^{\circ}$ and $143^{\circ}$. Photoproton spectra 'were measured at several bremsstrahlung end point energies between 17 and 25 MeV , going up in 1 MeV steps. After shaping and amplification, the signals from the various detectors were multiplcied into a 512 -chaneel analog-to-digital converter and subsequently routed into the memory of a PDP- 11 computer. The farther details of the experimental setup are described in a previous paper (2).

## METHODS OF ANALYSIS

In order to obtain the total $(\gamma, p)$ cross section the following method is applied. For eash angle, we added the protons which are detected by the detector. So we obtaincd the differential of proton yield at one angle $\frac{d Y}{d!}$. We do this process for all angles; $\theta=37^{\circ}, 54^{\circ}, 71^{\circ}, 90^{\circ}, 109^{\circ}$, $126^{\circ}$ and $153^{\circ}$. So that we have the seven values of $\frac{d Y}{d \Omega}$ versus of the angles $\theta$. Then a sum of Legendre polynomials (3) can be fitted to the 7 points and then the total number of protons for an end point energy can be obtained by integrating of the yield curves over the solid angle $\Omega$ :

$$
Y=\int_{4 \pi} \frac{d Y}{d \Omega} d \Omega
$$

Since the photuproton spectra were measured at various bremsstrahlung end point e:ergies going up in 1 MeV steps, from 17 up to 24 MeV , for cach end point energy we applied the Penfold and Leiss analysis method (4) to the proton spectra, in order to convert the yield of protons to the tolal $(\gamma, p)$ photoproton cross section.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The total ( $\gamma, \mathrm{p}$ ) cross section was unfolded using the Thies analysis (5). The result of the total proton yield for an analysis interval of 3 MeV is shown in Figure 1. The errors are statistical only. The horizontal error is due to the analysis interval. The cross section shows two resonances each with a width of about 7 MeV , situated at the energies $E_{\mathrm{x}}=19.5$ and
22.5 MeV , respectively. The first peak possesses a maximum of $2.25 \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ while tha second has a marimum of $2.66 \mathrm{fm}^{2}$. Lorentz-lines are fitted to the points. The parameters are the following:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
E<=19.5 \mathrm{MeV} & E>=22.5 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\Gamma<=7 \mathrm{MeV} & \Gamma>=7 \mathrm{MeV} \\
\sigma<=1.244 \mathrm{fm}^{2} & \sigma>=1.737 \mathrm{fm}^{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $E, \Gamma$ and s are the resonance energy, the width (FWHM), and the magnitude of the cross section of both peaks at maximum, respectively. A Lorentz-line has the form:

$$
\sigma_{L}=\frac{\left(E_{r} \Gamma \jmath^{3}\right.}{\left(E_{z}^{2}-E_{R}^{2}\right)+E_{x} \Gamma \Gamma^{2}}
$$

where $\epsilon_{1} E_{\mathrm{R}}$ and $\Gamma$ are the maximum, the resonance energy and the width of the resonance, respectively.

In Figure 2, together with our total $\sigma(\gamma, p)$ cross section the total photoneutron cross section $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ of Veyssière et al. (6) and the total $\sigma(\gamma, p)$ photoproton cross section of Ishkhanov et al. (7) are shown. In both works iwo peaks can be seen. The strength of the peaks in Iskhanov's work is larger than that for the others and the results agree with each other as far as the place of the peaks is concerned.

The total integrated ( $\gamma, p$ ) and ( $\gamma, n$ ) cross sections are shown in Table 1. Our result is smaller than Ishkhanov's ( $\gamma, p$ ) result (7) while his uncertainty is larger than ours. It is also seen that our $\sigma(\gamma, p)$ value is larger than Veyss:ère's (6) $\mathcal{}(\gamma, \mathrm{n})$ value. This was expected since the threshold value of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}(\gamma, p) 7.29 \mathrm{MeV}$ is lower than that for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}(\gamma, n)$ which is 12.3 MeV . The fact that there is a certain predominance of $\sigma(\gamma, p)$ over $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ cross section, is $\dot{\varepsilon}$ characteristic feature in light nuclei ( 8,9 ). In Takle 1, the cross sections mentioned above are also compared with the classical TRK sum rule [6 NZ/A MeV.fm²] of Thomas, Reiche and Kuhn. Since for ${ }^{31} P, N=16, Z=15$ and $A=31$ then the TRK sum rule gives $45.5 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ (10).

In Table 2 the ratio of $\sigma(\gamma, p)$ to $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ is given, Together with $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ of Veyssière our result gives a nice agreement with the ratio obtained by Ishkhanov. Since the ratio of $(\gamma, n)$ to $(\gamma, p)$ of ${ }^{31} P$ is $12.30 / 7.29=1.6$, the ratio between cross sections might be a function of the ratio between thresholds of photoneutron and photoproton reactions, respectively. This was suggested by Veyssiére et al. (6).

In the GDR region (i.e. between $10-25 \mathrm{MeV}$ ), the ( $\gamma, p$ ) and ( $\gamma, n$ ) react:cns of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ are dominant in the decay of dipole states (1). So the sum of our result with the one of Veyssière may be used as an apprcisimation for the total gamma-absorption cross section. The nuclear absorption cross section of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ amounts tc $44.1 \pm 0.6 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ calculated from the data of Dular et al. (11). This is lower than the value of TRK sum rule ( $46.5 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f m^{2}$ ). On the other hand according to the recent calculations


Figure 1. Our total $g(\gamma, p)$ photoproto: cro: s section together with that of Ishkhanov et al. (7) and the total $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ photoneutron cross section of Veyssiere et al. (8).
done by Leonardı and Lipparini (12) the sum rule for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ turned out to be ( $5.3 \mathrm{NZ} / \mathrm{A} \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ ) which gives $41 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$. In that case the value of Dular exceeds the classical sum rule. The total photoneutron cross section of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{~F}$ integrated between 0 and 30 MeV is given by Veyssière et al. (6) as about $16.4 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f m^{2}$. This value together wiih our $\sigma(\gamma, p)$ cross section amounts to nearly $33 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$. This is lower than $41 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f \mathrm{~m}^{2}$ predicted by the sum rule. The difference may be due to the fact that our upper limit of integration is low ( 24 MeV ).

On the other hand the total integrated cross section can aiso be estimated by using the Lorentz-lines fitted to the total ( $\gamma, p$ ) cross section (Sce Fig. 1). This is done following the integral and using the ob-

$$
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left(E_{r}\right) d E_{x}=\pi \cdot 2 \sigma \Gamma
$$

tained values for $\sigma$ and $\Gamma$ that are respectively $\left.\sigma<=1.24 \mathrm{fm}^{2}, \sigma\right\rangle=1.7$ $f m^{2}$ and $\Gamma<=\Gamma>=7 \mathrm{MeV}$. So we obtain for the total integrated ( $\gamma, p$ ) cross section $\sigma_{\text {wet }}(\gamma, p)=32 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f m^{2}$. From the total $(\gamma, n)$ cross section of Veyssière (see Fig. 2) we estimate the total energy integrated cross section in the following way. The ratio between the maxima of the two cross sections is

$$
\frac{\sigma(\gamma \cdot p)\left(E_{x}=21.5 M e V\right)}{\sigma\left(\gamma, n_{1}\left(E_{x}=21.5 M e V\right)\right.} \cong \frac{2.6}{1.8} \cong 1.4
$$

By means of this ratio ard our $\sigma_{\text {wt }}(\gamma, p)$ estimated above, one can also estimate the integrated total ( $\gamma, n$ ) cross section. It amounts to

$$
\left.\sigma_{r(p)}!\gamma \cdot u\right) \equiv \frac{e_{\text {net }}(\gamma \cdot p)}{1 \cdot 4}=22 M c V \cdot f m^{2}
$$

Adding to the ( $\gamma, p$ ) result we obtain for the total integrated absorption cross section.

$$
\sigma_{\text {tor }}(\gamma, \text { absorption }) \simeq 32+22=54 \mathrm{McV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}
$$

This last value can be compared with the value of the TRK sum rule ( $46.5 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot f m^{2}$ ). So the estimated value exceeds the classical TRK sum rule.

## CONCLUSION

The integrated photoproton cross section of ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}$ is obtained by measuring photoproton spectra between 17 and 24 MeV . The total ( $\gamma, \mathrm{p}$ ) cross section amounts to $16.6 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$. This result was larger than the total photoneutron cross section given by the Veyssiere's work (6). Adding our $(\gamma, p)$ result to that of $(\gamma, n)$, we have $33 \pm 2.5 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ which is lower than the value given by the TRK sum rule ( $465 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ ).


Flgure 2. The total cross section for the $31 P(\gamma, p) 30 \mathrm{Si}$ reaction. Lorentz-lines are fitted to the points, The parameters are $E<=19.5 \mathrm{MeV}, \Gamma<=7 \mathrm{MeV}$, $\sigma<=1.244 \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ and $E>=22.5 \mathrm{MeV}, \Gamma>=7 \mathrm{MeV}, \sigma>=1.737 \mathrm{fm}^{2}$.

Table 1: Experimental integrated photoproton and photoneutron cross sectluns [in $\mathrm{MeV} . \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ and in \% of TRK classical sum rule ( $6 \mathrm{NZ} / \mathrm{A}$ MeV. fm²)].

| $R$ action | Experiment | $\begin{aligned} & \int_{E_{3}}^{E_{2}} \sigma d E \\ & \left.\operatorname{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}\right) \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{E}_{1} \mathrm{MeV} \mathrm{E} \mathrm{m}_{2} \mathrm{MeV}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{31} P(\gamma, p)$ | Ishkanov et al. (7) | $25.7 \pm 3.7 \% 5 \%$ | $17 \quad 4$ |
|  | Piesent experiment | $16.6 \pm 2.5 \% 3{ }^{\circ}$ | $17 \quad 24$ |
| ${ }^{31} P(\gamma, n)$ | Ishkhanov et al. <br> (7) | $10.7 \pm 1 . \% 23$ | 12.422 .5 |
|  | Vejssicre et al. <br> (6) | 11.7 \% 75 | 1724 |

Table 2: The ratio of the Integrated cross section of the wp $(\gamma, p)$ reaction to that of the ${ }^{31} \mathrm{P}(\gamma, n)$ reaction.

| $\left.\left\|\sigma \frac{\sigma(\gamma, p)}{}\right\|^{(\gamma)}\right\|_{31_{t}}$ | Energy region (MeV) | $r \in f$. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $16 \pm 0.2$ | 123-80 | Lah, hat:ov ot ai. (7) |
| $1.4 \pm 0.2$ | 17-24 | O ir results tugether <br> with $\sigma(\gamma, n)$ <br> of l'eyssiere et al (6) |

Moreover by using the Lorentz lines fitted to the data, we were able to integrate our total ( $\gamma, p$ ) cross section in a better way. So we obtained $32 \mathrm{MeV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$ for the total photoproton cross section. Applying this method to the photoneutron result and taking the sum of both re-
sults, an estimation for the total photoabsorption cross saction is calculated. This amounts to $54 \mathrm{MgV} \cdot \mathrm{fm}^{2}$. So the estimater value exceed that implied by the TRK sum rule.
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