

REPDIGITS IN THE BASE  $b$  AS SUMS OF FOUR  
BALANCING NUMBERS

REFİK KESKİN, FATİH ERDUVAN, Sakarya,

Received May 18, 2019. Published online January 22, 2020.

Communicated by Clemens Fuchs

*Abstract.* The sequence of balancing numbers  $(B_n)$  is defined by the recurrence relation  $B_n = 6B_{n-1} - B_{n-2}$  for  $n \geq 2$  with initial conditions  $B_0 = 0$  and  $B_1 = 1$ .  $B_n$  is called the  $n$ th balancing number. In this paper, we find all repdigits in the base  $b$ , which are sums of four balancing numbers. As a result of our theorem, we state that if  $B_n$  is repdigit in the base  $b$  and has at least two digits, then  $(n, b) = (2, 5), (3, 6)$ . Namely,  $B_2 = 6 = (11)_5$  and  $B_3 = 35 = (55)_6$ .

*Keywords:* balancing number; repdigit; Diophantine equations; linear form in logarithms

*MSC 2020:* 11B39, 11J86, 11D61

## 1. INTRODUCTION

The sequence of balancing numbers  $(B_n)$  is defined by the recurrence relation  $B_n = 6B_{n-1} - B_{n-2}$  for  $n \geq 2$  with initial conditions  $B_0 = 0$ ,  $B_1 = 1$ .  $B_n$  is called the  $n$ th balancing number. We have the Binet formula

$$(1.1) \quad B_n = \frac{\lambda^n - \delta^n}{4\sqrt{2}},$$

where  $\lambda = 3 + 2\sqrt{2}$  and  $\delta = 3 - 2\sqrt{2}$ , which are the roots of the characteristic equation  $x^2 - 6x + 1 = 0$ . It can be seen that  $5 < \lambda < 6$ ,  $0 < \delta < 1$ ,  $\lambda\delta = 1$ , and

$$(1.2) \quad B_n < \frac{\lambda^n}{4\sqrt{2}}.$$

For more information about the sequence of balancing numbers, see [11], [10], and [7]. A repdigit is a non-negative integer whose digits are all equal. Investigation of the

repdigits in the second-order linear recurrence sequences has been of interest to mathematicians. In [4], the authors have found all Fibonacci and Lucas numbers, which are repdigits. The largest repdigits in Fibonacci and Lucas sequences are  $F_5 = 55$  and  $L_5 = 11$ . After that, in [2], the authors showed that the largest Fibonacci number which is a sum of two repdigits is  $F_{20} = 6765 = 6666 + 99$ . In [3], the authors have found all Pell and Pell-Lucas numbers which are repdigits. The largest repdigits in Pell and Pell Lucas sequences are  $P_3 = 5$  and  $Q_2 = 6$ . Later, Luca (see [5]) found all repdigits which are sums of three Fibonacci numbers. In [9], the authors have found all repdigits which are sums of three Pell numbers. In the subsequent work [6], the authors tackled the same problem by taking four Pell numbers instead of three Pell numbers. In this study, we determine all repdigits which are sums of four balancing numbers. Briefly, we solve the equation

$$(1.3) \quad N = B_{m_1} + B_{m_2} + B_{m_3} + B_{m_4} = \frac{d(b^n - 1)}{b - 1}$$

for  $2 \leq b \leq 10$ ,  $1 \leq d \leq 9$ ,  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq m_4 \geq 0$ , and  $n \geq 2$ . If  $N$  is a solution of the equation (1.3), then  $(m_1, m_2, m_3, m_4, b, d, n, N)$  is an element of the set

$$\begin{aligned} & \{(1, 1, 1, 0, 2, 1, 2, 3), (1, 1, 1, 1, 3, 1, 2, 4), (2, 0, 0, 0, 5, 1, 2, 6), (2, 1, 0, 0, 2, 1, 3, 7), \\ & (2, 1, 0, 0, 6, 1, 2, 7), (2, 1, 1, 0, 3, 2, 2, 8), (2, 1, 1, 0, 7, 1, 2, 8), (2, 1, 1, 1, 8, 1, 2, 9), \\ & (2, 2, 0, 0, 5, 2, 2, 12), (2, 2, 1, 0, 3, 1, 3, 13), (2, 2, 1, 1, 6, 2, 2, 14), (2, 2, 2, 0, 5, 3, 2, 18), \\ & (2, 2, 2, 0, 8, 2, 2, 18), (2, 2, 2, 2, 5, 4, 2, 24), (2, 2, 2, 2, 7, 3, 2, 24), (3, 0, 0, 0, 6, 5, 2, 35), \\ & (3, 1, 0, 0, 8, 4, 2, 36), (3, 2, 1, 0, 4, 2, 3, 42), (3, 2, 1, 1, 6, 1, 3, 43), (3, 2, 2, 1, 7, 6, 2, 48), \\ & (3, 3, 0, 0, 9, 7, 2, 70), (3, 3, 2, 1, 10, 7, 2, 77), (3, 3, 3, 2, 10, 1, 3, 111), \\ & (4, 2, 2, 2, 10, 2, 3, 222), (4, 4, 3, 1, 10, 4, 3, 444)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we conclude that if  $B_n$  is repdigit in the base  $b$  and has at least two digits, then  $(n, b) = (2, 5), (3, 6)$ . Namely,  $B_2 = 6 = (11)_5$  and  $B_3 = 35 = (55)_6$ .

Our study can be viewed as a continuation of the previous works on this subject. We follow the approach and the method presented in [6]. In Section 2, we introduce necessary lemmas and theorems. Then, we prove our main theorem in Section 3.

## 2. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In order to solve Diophantine equations of the exponential forms, the authors have used Baker's theory of lower bounds for a nonzero linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers. Since such bounds are of crucial importance in effectively solving

Diophantine equations of the similar form, we start with recalling some basic notions from the algebraic number theory.

Let  $\eta$  be an algebraic number of degree  $d$  with the minimal polynomial

$$a_0x^d + a_1x^{d-1} + \dots + a_d = a_0 \prod_{i=1}^d (x - \eta^{(i)}) \in \mathbb{Z}[x],$$

where the  $a_i$ 's are relatively prime integers with  $a_0 > 0$  and  $\eta^{(i)}$ 's are the conjugates of  $\eta$ . Then

$$(2.1) \quad h(\eta) = \frac{1}{d} \left( \log a_0 + \sum_{i=1}^d \log(\max\{|\eta^{(i)}|, 1\}) \right)$$

is called the logarithmic height of  $\eta$ . In particular, if  $\eta = a/b$  is a rational number with  $\gcd(a, b) = 1$  and  $b > 1$ , then  $h(\eta) = \log(\max\{|a|, b\})$ .

The following properties of the logarithmic height are found in many works stated in the references:

$$(2.2) \quad h(\eta \pm \gamma) \leq h(\eta) + h(\gamma) + \log 2,$$

$$(2.3) \quad h(\eta\gamma^{\pm 1}) \leq h(\eta) + h(\gamma),$$

$$(2.4) \quad h(\eta^m) = |m|h(\eta).$$

The following lemma is deduced from Corollary 2.3 of Matveev (see [8]).

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots, \gamma_t$  are positive real algebraic numbers in a real algebraic number field  $\mathbb{K}$  of degree  $D$ ,  $b_1, b_2, \dots, b_t$  are rational integers, and*

$$\Lambda := \gamma_1^{b_1} \dots \gamma_t^{b_t} - 1$$

*is not zero. Then*

$$|\Lambda| > \exp(-1.4 \cdot 30^{t+3} \cdot t^{9/2} D^2 (1 + \log D)(1 + \log B) A_1 A_2 \dots A_t),$$

*where*

$$B \geq \max\{|b_1|, \dots, |b_t|\},$$

*and  $A_i \geq \max\{Dh(\gamma_i), |\log \gamma_i|, 0.16\}$  for all  $i = 1, \dots, t$ .*

In the following lemma,  $\|x\|$  denotes the distance from  $x$  to the nearest integer. That is,  $\|x\| = \min\{|x - n| : n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$  for any real number  $x$ .

**Lemma 2.2** ([1], Lemma 3.3). *Let  $\nu_1, \nu_2, \beta \in \mathbb{R}$  be such that  $\nu_1\nu_2\beta \neq 0$  and  $x_1, x_2 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Put  $\Lambda = \beta + x_1\nu_1 + x_2\nu_2$ . Let  $c, \delta$  be positive constants. Let  $X_0$  be a (large) positive constant such that  $\max\{|x_1|, |x_2|\} \leq X_0$ . Put  $\nu = -\nu_1/\nu_2$  and  $\psi = \beta/\nu_2$ . Let  $p/q$  be a convergent of  $\nu$  with  $q > X_0$ . Suppose that  $\|q\psi\| > 2X_0/q$  and  $|\Lambda| < c \exp(-\delta X)$ . Then*

$$X < \frac{1}{\delta} \log \frac{q^2 c}{|\nu_2| X_0}.$$

### 3. MAIN THEOREM

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq m_4 \geq 0$ ,  $2 \leq b \leq 10$  and  $N = B_{m_1} + B_{m_2} + B_{m_3} + B_{m_4}$ . If  $N$  is a repdigit in the base  $b$  and has at least two digits, then  $(N, b)$  are elements of the set*

$$\begin{aligned} &\{(3, 2), (4, 3), (6, 5), (7, 2), (7, 6), (8, 3), (8, 7), (9, 8), (12, 5), (13, 3), \\ &\quad (14, 6), (18, 5), (18, 8), (24, 5), (24, 7), (35, 6), (36, 8), (42, 4), \\ &\quad (43, 6), (48, 7), (70, 9), (77, 10), (111, 10), (222, 10), (444, 10)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Namely,

$$\begin{aligned} 3 &= (11)_2, \quad 4 = (11)_3, \quad 6 = (11)_5, \quad 7 = (111)_2, \quad 7 = (11)_6, \quad 8 = (22)_3, \quad 8 = (11)_7, \\ 9 &= (11)_8, \quad 12 = (22)_5, \quad 13 = (111)_3, \quad 14 = (22)_6, \quad 18 = (33)_5, \quad 18 = (22)_8, \\ 24 &= (33)_7, \quad 35 = (55)_6, \quad 36 = (44)_8, \quad 42 = (222)_4, \quad 43 = (111)_6, \quad 48 = (66)_7, \\ 24 &= (44)_5, \quad 70 = (77)_9, \quad 77 = (77)_{10}, \quad 111 = (111)_{10}, \quad 222 = (222)_{10}, \quad 444 = (444)_{10}. \end{aligned}$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq m_3 \geq m_4 \geq 0$  and  $N = B_{m_1} + B_{m_2} + B_{m_3} + B_{m_4}$ . Assume that the equation (1.3) holds. A search in Mathematica in the range  $0 \leq m_4 \leq m_3 \leq m_2 \leq m_1 \leq 299$  gives only the solutions in the statement of Theorem 3.1. Assume that  $m_1 \geq 300$ . Then

$$B_{300} \leq B_{m_1} + B_{m_2} + B_{m_3} + B_{m_4} = \frac{d(b^n - 1)}{b - 1} \leq b^n - 1 \leq 10^n - 1,$$

which gives us

$$228 \leq \frac{\log(1 + B_{300})}{\log 10} \leq n.$$

That is,  $n \geq 228$ . Since

$$\begin{aligned} 2^{n-1} &\leq b^{n-1} \leq b^{n-1} + b^{n-2} + \dots + 1 \leq \frac{d(b^n - 1)}{b - 1} \\ &= B_{m_1} + B_{m_2} + B_{m_3} + B_{m_4} \leq 4B_{m_1} \leq 4\frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} < \lambda^{m_1} < 2^{3m_1}, \end{aligned}$$

by (1.2), we get  $3m_1 + 1 > n \geq 228$ . Equation (1.3) can be rewritten as

$$(3.1) \quad \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\lambda^{m_1} - \delta^{m_1} + \lambda^{m_2} - \delta^{m_2} + \lambda^{m_3} - \delta^{m_3} + \lambda^{m_4} - \delta^{m_4}) = \frac{db^n}{b-1} - \frac{d}{b-1}.$$

We examine (3.1) in four different steps in the following way.

*Step 1:* Equation (3.1) can be reorganized as

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1} + \lambda^{m_4-m_1}) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \\ = -\frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} + \delta^{m_4}). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\left| \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1} + \lambda^{m_4-m_1}) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \right| \leq \frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{4}{4\sqrt{2}} < \frac{\lambda^2}{4\sqrt{2}}.$$

Dividing both sides of the above inequality by  $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{m_1}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1} + \lambda^{m_4-m_1})$ , we get

$$(3.3) \quad |\Gamma_1| < \lambda^{2-m_1},$$

where

$$(3.4) \quad \Gamma_1 = 1 - \lambda^{-m_4} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4})}.$$

Suppose that  $\Gamma_1 = 0$ . Then

$$\lambda^{m_4} + \lambda^{m_1} + \lambda^{m_2} + \lambda^{m_3} = \frac{4d\sqrt{2}b^n}{b-1}.$$

Conjugating in  $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  gives us

$$\delta^{m_4} + \delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} = -\frac{4d\sqrt{2}b^n}{b-1}.$$

Then

$$\frac{4d\sqrt{2}b^n}{b-1} = |\delta^{m_4} + \delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3}| = \delta^{m_4} + \delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} < 4,$$

which is impossible. Therefore  $\Gamma_1 \neq 0$ . Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to (3.4). Let

$$\gamma_1 := \lambda, \quad \gamma_2 := b, \quad \gamma_3 := \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4})}$$

and  $b_1 := -m_4$ ,  $b_2 := n$ ,  $b_3 := 1$ , where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We can take  $D = 2$ . As  $m_1 \geq m_4$  and  $3m_1 + 1 \geq n$ , we can also take  $B := 3m_1 + 1 \geq \max\{|-m_4|, |n|, 1\}$ . It is clear that  $h(\gamma_1) = h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda$  and  $h(\gamma_2) = h(b) < h(10) = \log 10$  and so we can take  $A_1 := 1.8$ ,  $A_2 := 4.7$ . Since

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4})} < 4\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$\gamma_3^{-1} = \frac{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4})}{4d\sqrt{2}} < \frac{b-1}{\sqrt{2}} \lambda^{m_1-m_4},$$

it follows that  $|\log \gamma_3| < 2 + (m_1 - m_4) \log \lambda$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma_3) &\leq h(4d\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + h(\lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4} + 1) \\ &\leq h(36\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + \log 2 + h(\lambda^{m_3-m_4}(\lambda^{m_1-m_3} + \lambda^{m_2-m_3} + 1)) \\ &\leq h(36) + h(\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + 2 \log 2 + h(\lambda^{m_3-m_4}) + h(\lambda^{m_2-m_3}(\lambda^{m_1-m_2} + 1)) \\ &\leq h(36) + h(\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + 3 \log 2 + h(\lambda^{m_3-m_4}) + h(\lambda^{m_2-m_3}) + h(\lambda^{m_1-m_2}) \\ &\leq \log 36 + \frac{\log 2}{2} + \log(b-1) + 3 \log 2 + (m_3 - m_4)h(\lambda) \\ &\quad + (m_2 - m_3)h(\lambda) + (m_1 - m_2)h(\lambda) \\ &\leq 9 + \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_4) \log \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take  $A_3 := 18 + (m_1 - m_4) \log \lambda$ . By applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\Gamma_1$  given by (3.4) and using (3.3), we get

$$\lambda^{2-m_1} > |\Gamma_1| > \exp(C(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1)) \cdot 1.8 \cdot 4.7(18 + (m_1 - m_4) \log \lambda)),$$

where  $C = -1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{9/2} \cdot 2^2(1 + \log 2)$ . Therefore we get

$$(3.5) \quad m_1 \log \lambda - 2 \log \lambda < 8.3 \cdot 10^{12}(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1))(18 + (m_1 - m_4) \log \lambda).$$

Step 2: Equation (3.1) can be written as

$$(3.6) \quad \begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1}) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \\ &= -\frac{d}{b-1} - \frac{\lambda^{m_4}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} + \delta^{m_4}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives

$$(3.7) \quad \left| \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1}) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \right| < \frac{\lambda^{m_4+2}}{4\sqrt{2}}.$$

Dividing both sides of (3.7) by  $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{m_1}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1})$ , we get

$$(3.8) \quad |\Gamma_2| < \frac{\lambda^{m_4-m_1+2}}{1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1}} < \lambda^{2-(m_1-m_4)},$$

where

$$(3.9) \quad \Gamma_2 = 1 - \lambda^{-m_3}b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_3} + \lambda^{m_2-m_3})}.$$

It can be seen that  $\Gamma_2 \neq 0$ . Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to (3.9). Let

$$\gamma_1 := \lambda, \quad \gamma_2 := b, \quad \gamma_3 := \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_3} + \lambda^{m_2-m_3})}$$

and  $b_1 := -m_3$ ,  $b_2 := n$ ,  $b_3 := 1$ , where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in Q(\sqrt{2})$  and  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in Z$ . We can take  $D = 2$ . As  $m_1 \geq m_3$  and  $3m_1 + 1 \geq n$ , we can also take  $B := 3m_1 + 1 \geq \max\{|-m_3|, |n|, 1\}$ . It is clear that  $h(\gamma_1) = h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda$  and  $h(\gamma_2) = h(b) < h(10) = \log 10$ . Therefore, we can take  $A_1 := 1.8$ ,  $A_2 := 4.7$ . Since

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_3} + \lambda^{m_2-m_3})} < 4\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$\gamma_3^{-1} = \frac{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_3} + \lambda^{m_2-m_3})}{4d\sqrt{2}} < \frac{27}{4\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{m_1-m_3},$$

it follows that  $|\log \gamma_3| < 2 + (m_1 - m_3) \log \lambda$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma_3) &\leq h(4d\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + h(\lambda^{m_1-m_3} + \lambda^{m_2-m_3} + 1) \\ &\leq h(36\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + \log 2 + h(\lambda^{m_2-m_3}(\lambda^{m_1-m_2} + 1)) \\ &\leq h(36) + h(\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + 2 \log 2 + h(\lambda^{m_2-m_3}) + h(\lambda^{m_1-m_2}) \\ &\leq \log 36 + \frac{\log 2}{2} + \log(b-1) + 2 \log 2 + (m_2 - m_3)h(\lambda) + (m_1 - m_2)h(\lambda) \\ &\leq 8 + \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_3) \log \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take  $A_3 := 16 + (m_1 - m_3) \log \lambda$ . By applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\Gamma_2$  given by (3.9) and using (3.8), we get

$$\lambda^{2-(m_1-m_4)} > |\Gamma_2| > \exp(C(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1)) \cdot 1.8 \cdot 4.7(16 + (m_1 - m_3) \log \lambda)),$$

where  $C = -1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{9/2} \cdot 2^2(1 + \log 2)$ . Thus we get

$$(3.10) \quad (m_1 - m_4) \log \lambda - 2 \log \lambda < 8.3 \cdot 10^{12}(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1))(16 + (m_1 - m_3) \log \lambda).$$

*Step 3:* Now, we write equation (3.1) as

$$(3.11) \quad \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1}) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} = -\frac{d}{b-1} - \frac{\lambda^{m_3} + \lambda^{m_4}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} + \delta^{m_4}}{4\sqrt{2}}.$$

Thus

$$(3.12) \quad \left| \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1}) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \right| \leq \frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\lambda^{m_3} + \lambda^{m_4}) + \frac{4}{4\sqrt{2}} < \frac{\lambda^{m_3+2}}{4\sqrt{2}}.$$

Dividing both sides of (3.12) by  $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{m_1}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1})$ , we get

$$(3.13) \quad |\Gamma_3| < \frac{\lambda^{m_3-m_1+2}}{(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1})} < \lambda^{2-(m_1-m_3)},$$

where

$$(3.14) \quad \Gamma_3 = 1 - \lambda^{-m_2} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_2})}.$$

It can be seen that  $\Gamma_3 \neq 0$ . Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to (3.14). Let

$$\gamma_1 := \lambda, \quad \gamma_2 := b, \quad \gamma_3 := \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_2})}$$

and

$$b_1 := -m_2, \quad b_2 := n, \quad b_3 := 1,$$

where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We can take  $D = 2$ . As  $m_1 \geq m_2$  and  $3m_1 + 1 \geq n$ , we can also take  $B := 3m_1 + 1 \geq \max\{|-m_2|, |n|, 1\}$ . It is clear that

$$h(\gamma_1) = h(\lambda) = \frac{\log \lambda}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad h(\gamma_2) = h(b) < h(10) = \log 10$$



and so we can take  $A_1 := 1.8$ ,  $A_2 := 4.7$ . Since

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1+\lambda^{m_1-m_2})} < 4\sqrt{2}$$

and

$$\gamma_3^{-1} = \frac{(b-1)(1+\lambda^{m_1-m_2})}{4d\sqrt{2}} < \frac{9}{2\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{m_1-m_2},$$

it follows that  $|\log \gamma_3| < 2 + (m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma_3) &\leq h(4d\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + \log 2 + h(\lambda^{m_1-m_2}) \\ &\leq h(36) + h(\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) + \log 2 + (m_1 - m_2)h(\lambda) \\ &= \log 36 + \frac{\log 2}{2} + \log(b-1) + \log 2 + \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda \\ &\leq 7 + \frac{1}{2}(m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take  $A_3 := 14 + (m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda$ . By applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\Gamma_3$  given by (3.14) and using (3.13), we get

$$\lambda^{2-(m_1-m_3)} > |\Gamma_3| > \exp(C(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1)) \cdot 1.8 \cdot 4.7(14 + (m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda)),$$

where  $C = -1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{9/2} \cdot 2^2(1 + \log 2)$ . Then we get

$$(3.15) \quad \begin{aligned} (m_1 - m_3) \log \lambda - 2 \log \lambda \\ < 8.3 \cdot 10^{12}(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1))(14 + (m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda). \end{aligned}$$

*Step 4:* Equation (3.1) can be written as

$$(3.16) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} - \frac{db^n}{b-1} &= -\frac{d}{b-1} - \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\lambda^{m_2} + \lambda^{m_3} + \lambda^{m_4}) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} + \delta^{m_4}). \end{aligned}$$

This gives us

$$(3.17) \quad \left| \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \right| \leq \frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\lambda^{m_2} + \lambda^{m_3} + \lambda^{m_4}) + \frac{4}{4\sqrt{2}} < \frac{\lambda^{m_2+2}}{4\sqrt{2}}.$$

Dividing both sides of (3.17) by  $\frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}\lambda^{m_1}$ , we get

$$(3.18) \quad |\Gamma_4| < \lambda^{2-(m_1-m_2)},$$

where

$$(3.19) \quad \Gamma_4 = 1 - \lambda^{-m_1} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1}.$$

It can be seen that  $\Gamma_4 \neq 0$ . Now we apply Lemma 2.1 to (3.19). Let

$$\gamma_1 := \lambda, \quad \gamma_2 := b, \quad \gamma_3 := \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1}$$

and  $b_1 := -m_1, b_2 := n, b_3 := 1$ , where  $\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \gamma_3 \in \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$  and  $b_1, b_2, b_3 \in \mathbb{Z}$ . We can take  $D = 2$ . As  $3m_1 + 1 \geq n$ , we can also take  $B := 3m_1 + 1 \geq \max\{|-m_1|, |n|, 1\}$ . It is clear that  $h(\gamma_1) = h(\lambda) = \frac{1}{2} \log \lambda$  and  $h(\gamma_2) = h(b) < h(10) = \log 10$ . Therefore, we can take  $A_1 := 1.8, A_2 := 4.7$ . Since

$$\gamma_3 = \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1} \leq 4\sqrt{2} \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_3^{-1} = \frac{b-1}{4d\sqrt{2}} \leq \frac{9}{4\sqrt{2}},$$

it follows that  $|\log \gamma_3| < 1.8$ . On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned} h(\gamma_3) &\leq h(4d\sqrt{2}) + h(b-1) \leq h(36) + h(\sqrt{2}) + h(9) \\ &= \log 36 + \frac{\log 2}{2} + \log 9 < 6.2. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we can take  $A_3 := 12.4$ . By applying Lemma 2.1 to  $\Gamma_4$  given by (3.19) and using (3.18), we get

$$\lambda^{2-(m_1-m_2)} > |\Gamma_4| > \exp(C(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1))) \cdot 1.8 \cdot 4.7 \cdot 12.4,$$

where  $C = -1.4 \cdot 30^6 \cdot 3^{9/2} \cdot 2^2(1 + \log 2)$ . Therefore

$$(3.20) \quad (m_1 - m_2) \log \lambda - 2 \log \lambda < 1.02 \cdot 10^{14}(1 + \log(3m_1 + 1)).$$

From (3.20), (3.15), (3.10), and (3.5), we get  $m_1 < 1.38 \cdot 10^{61}$ .

Let

$$(3.21) \quad \Lambda_1 = -m_1 \log \lambda + n \log b + \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1}.$$

From (3.16), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} - \frac{db^n}{b-1} &= \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} \left( 1 - \lambda^{-m_1} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1} \right) = \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} (1 - \exp \Lambda_1) \\ &= -\frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{\delta^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} - B_{m_2} - B_{m_3} - B_{m_4} < -\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta^{300}}{4\sqrt{2}} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m_1 \geq 300$ . Thus  $\Lambda_1 > 0$  and therefore from (3.18) we obtain

$$0 < \Lambda_1 < \exp \Lambda_1 - 1 = \left| 1 - \lambda^{-m_1} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1} \right| < \lambda^{2+m_2-m_1}.$$

This means that

$$(3.22) \quad |\Lambda_1| < \lambda^2 \exp(-1.76(m_1 - m_2))$$

with  $m_1 - m_2 \leq m_1 \leq 1.38 \cdot 10^{61}$ . In order to apply Lemma 2.2 to (3.21), we take  $X_0 = 4.2 \cdot 10^{61} \geq 3m_1 + 1 \geq \max\{m_1, n\}$  and

$$c = \lambda^2, \quad \delta = 1.76, \quad \psi = \frac{1}{\log b} \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1},$$

$$v = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}, \quad v_1 = -\log \lambda, \quad v_2 = \log b, \quad \beta = \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{b-1}.$$

We find that  $q = q_{135}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2 for  $2 \leq b \leq 10$  and  $1 \leq d \leq 9$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get  $m_1 - m_2 \leq 122$  for  $2 \leq b \leq 10$  and so  $m_2 \geq m_1 - 122 \geq 300 - 122 = 178$ .

Let

$$(3.23) \quad \Lambda_2 = -m_2 \log \lambda + n \log b + \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(\lambda^{m_1-m_2} + 1)}.$$

From (3.11) we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(\lambda^{m_2-m_1} + 1) - \frac{db^n}{b-1} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1})(1 - \lambda^{-m_2} b^n) \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_2})} \\ &= \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(1 + \lambda^{m_2-m_1})(1 - \exp \Lambda_2) \\ &= -\frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{\delta^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\delta^{m_2}}{4\sqrt{2}} - B_{m_3} - B_{m_4} \\ &\leq -\frac{1}{9} + \frac{\delta^{300}}{4\sqrt{2}} + \frac{\delta^{178}}{4\sqrt{2}} < 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m_1 \geq 300$  and  $m_2 \geq 178$ . Therefore  $\Lambda_2 > 0$  and so from (3.13), we obtain

$$0 < \Lambda_2 < \exp \Lambda_2 - 1 = \left| 1 - \lambda^{-m_2} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1-m_2})} \right| < \lambda^{2+m_3-m_1}.$$

This shows that

$$(3.24) \quad |\Lambda_2| < \lambda^2 \exp(-1.76(m_1 - m_3))$$

with  $m_1 - m_2 \leq m_1 \leq 1.38 \times 10^{61}$ . In order to apply Lemma 2.2 to (3.23), we can take

$$c = \lambda^2, \quad \delta = 1.76, \quad X_0 = 4.2 \cdot 10^{61}, \quad \psi = \frac{1}{\log b} \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1 - m_2})},$$

$$v_1 = -\log \lambda, \quad v_2 = \log b, \quad v = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}, \quad \beta = \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1 - m_2})}.$$

We find that  $q = q_{174}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2 for  $2 \leq b \leq 10$  and  $1 \leq d \leq 9$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get  $m_1 - m_3 \leq 180$  and so  $m_3 \geq 120$ .

Let

$$(3.25) \quad \Lambda_3 = -m_3 \log \lambda + n \log b + \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(\lambda^{m_1 - m_3} + \lambda^{m_2 - m_3} + 1)}.$$

From (3.6), we can see that

$$\frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}} (\lambda^{m_3 - m_1} + \lambda^{m_2 - m_1} + 1) (1 - \exp \Lambda_3)$$

$$= -\frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}} (\delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3}) - B_{m_4} < 0$$

as  $m_1 \geq 300$ ,  $m_2 \geq 178$ ,  $m_3 \geq 120$ . Thus  $\Lambda_3 > 0$  and so from (3.8), we get

$$0 < \Lambda_3 < \exp \Lambda_3 - 1 = \left| 1 - \lambda^{-m_3} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1 - m_3} + \lambda^{m_2 - m_3})} \right| < \lambda^{2+m_4-m_1}.$$

This implies that

$$(3.26) \quad |\Lambda_3| < \lambda^2 \exp(-1.76(m_1 - m_4))$$

with  $m_1 - m_4 \leq m_1 \leq 1.38 \cdot 10^{61}$ . Again, in order to apply Lemma 2.2 to (3.25), we can take

$$c = \lambda^2, \quad \delta = 1.76, \quad X_0 = 4.2 \cdot 10^{61},$$

$$\psi = \frac{\log(4d\sqrt{2}) - \log(9(1 + \lambda^{m_1 - m_3} + \lambda^{m_2 - m_3}))}{\log b}, \quad v = \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}, \quad v_1 = -\log \lambda,$$

$$v_2 = \log b, \quad \beta = \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(1 + \lambda^{m_1 - m_3} + \lambda^{m_2 - m_3})}.$$

We find that  $q = q_{146}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2 for  $2 \leq b \leq 10$  and  $1 \leq d \leq 9$ . Thus, by Lemma 2.2, we get  $m_1 - m_4 \leq 137$  and so  $m_4 \geq 163$ .

Let

$$(3.27) \quad \Lambda_4 = -m_4 \log \lambda + n \log b + \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}(b-1)^{-1}}{\lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4} + 1}.$$

From (3.2), we can see that

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\lambda^{m_1}}{4\sqrt{2}}(\lambda^{m_4-m_1} + \lambda^{m_3-m_1} + \lambda^{m_2-m_1} + 1)(1 - \exp \Lambda_4) \\ &= -\frac{d}{b-1} + \frac{1}{4\sqrt{2}}(\delta^{m_1} + \delta^{m_2} + \delta^{m_3} + \delta^{m_4}) < 0 \end{aligned}$$

as  $m_1 \geq 300$ ,  $m_2 \geq 178m_3 \geq 120$ ,  $m_4 \geq 163$ . Thus  $\Lambda_4 > 0$  and so from (3.3) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < \Lambda_4 < \exp \Lambda_4 - 1 &= \left| 1 - \lambda^{-m_4} b^n \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(\lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4} + 1)} \right| \\ &< \lambda^{2-m_1}. \end{aligned}$$

That is,

$$|\Lambda_4| < \lambda^2 \exp(-1.76m_1)$$

with  $m_1 \leq 1.38 \cdot 10^{61}$ . Finally, in order to apply Lemma 2.2 to (3.27), we take

$$\begin{aligned} c &= \lambda^2, \quad \delta = 1.76, \quad X_0 = 1.38 \cdot 10^{61}, \\ \psi &= \frac{1}{\log b} \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(\lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4} + 1)}, \\ v &= \frac{\log \lambda}{\log b}, \quad v_1 = -\log \lambda, \quad v_2 = \log b, \\ \beta &= \log \frac{4d\sqrt{2}}{(b-1)(\lambda^{m_1-m_4} + \lambda^{m_2-m_4} + \lambda^{m_3-m_4} + 1)}. \end{aligned}$$

We find that  $q = q_{146}$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.2 for  $2 \leq b \leq 10$  and  $1 \leq d \leq 9$ . By Lemma 2.2, we get  $m_1 \leq 138$ , which contradicts our assumption that  $m_1 \geq 300$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** *If  $B_n$  is a repdigit in the base  $b$  and has at least two digits, then  $n = 2, 3$ . Namely,  $B_2 = 6 = (11)_5$  and  $B_3 = 35 = (55)_6$ .*

**Corollary 3.2.** *Let  $b$  be an integer such that  $2 \leq b \leq 10$ . If  $n \geq 4$ , then the equation  $B_n + 1 = b^k$  has no solution  $k$  in positive integers.*

## References

- [1] *B. M. M. de Weger*: Algorithms for Diophantine Equations. CWI Tract 65. Stichting Mathematisch Centrum, Centrum voor Wiskunde en Informatica, Amsterdam, 1989. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *S. Díaz Alvarado, F. Luca*: Fibonacci numbers which are sums of two repdigits. Proc. 14th Int. Conf. Fibonacci Numbers and their Applications. Morelia, 2010 (F. Luca et al., eds.). Aportaciones Mat. Investig. 20. Soc. Mat. Mexicana, México, 2011, pp. 97–108. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *B. Faye, F. Luca*: Pell and Pell-Lucas numbers with only one distinct digit. Ann. Math. Inform. 45 (2015), 55–60. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [4] *F. Luca*: Fibonacci and Lucas numbers with only one distinct digit. Port. Math. 57 (2000), 243–254. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [5] *F. Luca*: Repdigits as sums of three Fibonacci numbers. Math. Commun. 17 (2012), 1–11. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *F. Luca, B. V. Normenyo, A. Togbe*: Repdigits as sums of four Pell numbers. Bol. Soc. Mat. Mex., III. Ser. 25 (2019), 249–266. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *R. Keskin, O. Karaath*: Some new properties of balancing numbers and square triangular numbers. J. Integer Seq. 15 (2012), Article 12.1.4, 13 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *E. M. Matveev*: An explicit lower bound for a homogeneous rational linear form in logarithms of algebraic numbers. II. Izv. Math. 64 (2000), 1217–1269; translation from Izv. Ross. Akad. Nauk, Ser. Mat. 64 (2000), 125–180. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *B. V. Normenyo, F. Luca, A. Togbé*: Repdigits as sums of three Pell numbers. Period. Math. Hung. 77 (2018), 318–328. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *G. K. Panda*: Some fascinating properties of balancing numbers. Cong. Numerantium 194 (2009), 185–189. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *G. K. Panda, P. K. Ray*: Cobalancing numbers and cobalancers. Int. J. Math. Math. Sci. 2005 (2005), 1189–1200. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

*Authors' address: Refik Keskin, Fatih Erduvan*, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Sciences and Arts, Sakarya University, Esentepe Yerleşkesi, 54187-Serdivan/Sakarya, Turkey, e-mail: [rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr](mailto:rkeskin@sakarya.edu.tr), [erduvanmat@hotmail.com](mailto:erduvanmat@hotmail.com).