

Article



Quantum Simulation of the Silicene and Germanene for Sensing and Sequencing of DNA/RNA Nucleobases

Hikmet Hakan Gürel ^{1,*} and Bahadır Salmankurt ^{1,2,3}

- ¹ Information Systems Engineering Department, Technology Faculty, Umuttepe Campus, Kocaeli University, Kocaeli 41001, Turkey; bahadir.salmankurt@kocaeli.edu.tr
- ² Department of Physics, Art and Science Faculty, Esentepe Campus, Sakarya University, Sakarya 54187, Turkey
- ³ Remote Education Center, Sakarya University of Applied Sciences, Sakarya 54187, Turkey

Correspondence: hhakan.gurel@kocaeli.edu.tr

Abstract: Over the last decade, we have been witnessing the rise of two-dimensional (2D) materials. Several 2D materials with outstanding properties have been theoretically predicted and experimentally synthesized. 2D materials are good candidates for sensing and detecting various biomolecules because of their extraordinary properties, such as a high surface-to-volume ratio. Silicene and germanene are the monolayer honeycomb structures of silicon and germanium, respectively. Quantum simulations have been very effective in understanding the interaction mechanism of 2D materials and biomolecules and may play an important role in the development of effective and reliable biosensors. This article focuses on understanding the interaction of DNA/RNA nucleobases with silicene and germanane monolayers and obtaining the possibility of using silicene and germanane monolayers as a biosensor for DNA/RNA nucleobases' sequencing using the first principle of Density Functional Theory (DFT) calculations with van der Waals (vdW) correction and nonequilibrium Green's function method. Guanine (G), Cytosine (C), Adenine (A), Thymine (T), and Uracil (U) were examined as the analytes. The strength of adsorption between the DNA/RNA nucleobases and silicene and germanane is G > C > A > T > U. Moreover, our recent work on the investigation of Au- and Li-decorated silicene and germanane for detection of DNA/RNA nucleobases is presented. Our results show that it is possible to get remarkable changes in transmittance due to the adsorption of nucleobases, especially for G, A, and C. These results indicate that silicene and germanene are both good candidates for the applications in fast sequencing devices for DNA/RNA nucleobases. Additionally, our present results have the potential to give insight into experimental studies and can be valuable for advancements in biosensing and nanobiotechnology.

Keywords: 2D material; silicene; germanene; DNA/RNA nucleobases; DFT; biosensor

1. Introduction

Experimental synthesis of two-dimensional (2D) graphene [1] has led to the discovery of new 2D materials such as silicene [2], germanene [3], h-BN [4], MoS2 [5], stanine [6], and so forth. It is possible to form interfaces between 2D inorganic monolayers and biomolecules. These interfaces lead us to the discovery of several biomedical applications such as biosensors and smart drugs [7–10]. Advancements in nanotechnology allow us to detect the nanoscale events at the level of a single molecule by using 2D sensing platforms. DNA/RNA consists of molecules called nucleotides. Each nucleotide has a nitrogen base, a sugar group, and a phosphate group. The five types of nitrogen bases are Adenine (A), Guanine (G), Cytosine (C), Thymine (T), and Uracil (U). The properties of genetic codes are determined by the order of the nucleobases. Sensing and sequencing of DNA/RNA nucleobases are important in disease diagnosis, forensic science, and genomics [11]. Because of the extraordinary physical, mechanical, chemical, and tunable electronic properties, 2D materials have started to be preferred in the sensing of nucleobases. For instance,



Citation: Gürel, H.H.; Salmankurt, B. Quantum Simulation of the Silicene and Germanene for Sensing and Sequencing of DNA/RNA Nucleobases. *Biosensors* **2021**, *11*, *59*. https://doi.org/10.3390/bios11030059

Received: 30 December 2020 Accepted: 19 February 2021 Published: 24 February 2021

Publisher's Note: MDPI stays neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Copyright: © 2021 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). graphene, silicene, germanene, monolayer transition-metal dichalcogenides, MXenes, h-BN, and phosphorene are used for the detection of DNA/RNA nucleobases and amino acids [12–29].

Over the recent years, graphene counterparts such as silicene and germanene have gained valuable attention in the scientific community. Silicene was successfully grown experimentally on Ag [2,30–32], Ir [33], and ZrB₂ [34] substrate and germanene were also grown on the Pt (111) surface [35]. Although silicene and germanene stand out with their properties similar to graphene, they are different from graphene in terms of their structural properties. They have a buckled honeycomb crystal geometry (see Figure 1b,c), which provides for sp³ bonding. Because of their crystal structure, interaction of molecules and atoms with silicene and germanane monolayers is stronger than with graphene. This makes them potential candidates for the applications of sensing devices.



Figure 1. (**a**) Favorable adsorption sites: hollow, top, bridge, and valley for silicene and germanene. Buckled structure of (**b**) silicene and (**c**) germanene. Buckling and Si-Si and Ge-Ge distances are presented. (**d**) High-symmetry points of silicene and germanene. Band structure of bare (**e**) silicene and (**f**) germanene.

Despite the importance of the interactions of 2D materials with biomolecules, there are still limited studies with silicene and germanene. A covalent bonding mechanism between DNA/RNA nucleobases with silicene and germanene is absent in similar previous studies. Quantum simulations have been very effective in understanding the interaction mechanism of 2D materials and biomolecules and may play an important role in the development of effective and reliable biosensors. With the increase and widespread use of high-performance computing resources, it has become clear how powerful the first principle of the Density Functional Theory (DFT) is in modeling such systems. Metal-doped monolayers have better binding capabilities compared to pristine monolayers [17]. Gold atoms have some advantages over other metal atoms. The color of gold nanoparticles depends on their shape and size; they are highly preferred in nanomedicine.

In this work, we have calculated the binding of DNA/RNA nucleobases (NB) with pristine silicene/germanene and Au- and Li-decorated silicene/germanene by using the quantum simulations technique based on the Density Functional Theory (DFT), with van der Waals (vdW) correction and electronic transport properties, such as changes in the transmittance and conductance produced by adsorption of the nucleobases for the first time.

2. Materials and Methods

The interactions' mechanism of nucleobases with the pristine and Au/Li-decorated silicene/germanene has been investigated using the Density Functional Theory (DFT)based SIESTA package, where the basis set was used as a linear combination of numerical atomic orbitals (LCNAO) [36]. Electronic transport calculations were performed with nonequilibrium Green's function (NEGF) formalism as implemented in the TranSiesta code [37]. The Perdew, Burke, and Ernzerhof functional within the generalized gradient approximation was used for the exchange–correlation functional [38]. The pseudopotentials that we used in this study are of the norm-conserving kind [39]. Conjugate gradient methods were used to optimize the geometry of the systems to get minimum energy configurations. Monkhorst–Pack meshes for Brillouin zone sampling were taken as $3 \times 3 \times 1$ and $9 \times 9 \times 1$ k-points for the relaxations and band structure calculations, respectively [40]. Furthermore, the Grimme-D2 method was used to describe long-range interactions [41]. For electronic transport calculations in the scattering region, the supercell had a size of 15.6 $A^0 \times 20 A^0 \times 47.4 A^0$, while for the electrodes, we used a supercell with the size of 15.6 $A^0 \times 20 A^0 \times 13.5 A^0$. A grid of $10 \times 1 \times 30$ k-points was used for electronic transport calculations in the scatter region, while for the electrodes, the grid was composed of $60 \times 1 \times 30$ k-points. The transport direction is aligned with the z-axis. The value of the mesh cut-off is taken as 300 Ry. We have employed periodic boundary conditions (PBC), so we have to give a space between two graphene layers in the adjacent supercells within 20 A^0 . Force tolerance was set to 0.04 eV/ A^0 for convergence. Due to the heavy metal Au atom, we have included a spin-orbit (SO) contribution to the calculations. Visualization of the systems has been performed by using VESTA software [42].

In the electronic transport calculation, the system was divided into three regions: two semi-infinite electrodes and one central scattering region. In our calculations, the electrodes were taken as pristine silicene for the right- and left-hand sides (see Figure 2). The scattering region consisted of the silicene layer and the DNA/RNA nucleobases.



Figure 2. The sketch of the suggested silicene/germanene biosensor for DNA/RNA sequencing.

The transmission can be obtained via the Green's functions for this system

$$T(E) = \Gamma_L(E, V)\mathcal{G}(E, V)\Gamma_R(E, V)\mathcal{G}^{\dagger}(E, V)$$

where the coupling matrices are given by $\Gamma_{\alpha} = i \left[\Sigma_{\alpha} - \Sigma_{\alpha}^{\dagger} \right]$ with $\alpha \equiv \{L, R\}$. $\Sigma_{L/R}$ are the self-energies of the semi-infinite electrodes and

$$\mathcal{G}(E,V) = [E \ x \ S_s - H_s[\rho] - \Sigma_L(E,V) - \Sigma_R(E,V)]^{-1}$$

where S_S and H_S are the overlap matrix and Hamiltonian, respectively, for the scatter region. The self-energies are given as $\Sigma_{\alpha} = V_{S\alpha}g_{\alpha}V_{\alpha S}$, where g_{α} are the surface Green's functions for the semi-infinite leads and $V_{S\alpha} = V_{\alpha S}^{\dagger}$ are the coupling matrix elements between the scattering region and the electrodes. The Hamiltonian H_S was obtained by the previous DFT calculations. Further information regarding NEGF can be found in [37,43].

3. Results and Discussion

Our recent results are detailed in the following sections. First of all, we investigated the binding mechanism of DNA/RNA nucleobases with bare silicene/germanene monolayers. By functionalizing Silicene/Germanene with Li and Au atoms, we have demonstrated that we can strongly binded Nuclebases to the functionalized surface. The obtained results indicate that Li and Au adatoms on silicene/germanene can significantly increase the binding energy of DNA/RNA nucleobases.

3.1. Binding Mechanism of Bare Silicene and Germanene Monolayers with Nucleobases

The geometric relaxation covers both different absorption heights and orientations of DNA/RNA NB on the silicene and germanene. We examined the several possible orientations of NB on silicene and germanene layers; the most favorable structures of NB on silicene and germanene sheets are shown in Figures 3 and 4, respectively.



Figure 3. Optimized geometric structures and binding energies of nucleobases on bare and Li/Au-supported silicene. (a) Adenine, (b) Guanine, (c) Cytosine, (d) Thymine, and (e) Uracil.

It has been reported in earlier studies that being parallel is energetically more favorable because of the larger effective contact area for graphene [20]. According to our latest DFT calculations, all nucleobases have a tilted orientation on silicene. On the other hand, G, C, and T have a tilted orientation on germanene, but U and A show a parallel orientation. The tilting orientation provides sp³ bonding to strengthen chemical bonding between biomolecules and monolayers. We show that there is a chemisorption between G, C, A, and silicene. The oxygen atom of G and C and the nitrogen atom of A interact with the silicone atom of silicene, and they form a chemical bond. Our results are in agreement with the previous reported data except for A on silicene [14,20]. Amaorim et al. [14] and Hussain et al. [20] reported that A has a tilted orientation on silicene with no bonding. Our calculations predict bonding between the nitrogen atom of A and the silicone atom of silicene. However, there is no chemical bonding between nucleobases and germanene monolayers.



Figure 4. Optimized geometric structures and binding energies of nucleobases on bare and Li/Ausupported germanene. (**a**) Adenine, (**b**) Guanine, (**c**) Cytosine, (**d**) Thymine, and (**e**) Uracil.

The binding energy, as shown in Table 1, can be defined, $E_{Binding}$, as follows:

$$E_{\text{Binding}} = E_{\text{monolayer + NB}} - (E_{\text{monolayer + ENB}})$$
(1)

Layer	G	Α	Т	С	U
Si	-1.35	-1.07	-0.73	-1.19	-0.58
Si [14]	-0.77	-0.60	-0.60	-0.88	
Si [20]	-1.16	-0.54	-0.70	-1.13	-0.43
Si + Au	-1.84	-1.35	-1.29	-1.46	-1.07
Si + Li	-2.30	-1.56	-1.61	-2.09	-1.45
Ge	-1.30	-0.87	-0.83	-1.03	-0.65
Ge [20]	-0.95	-0.70	-0.57	-0.56	-0.51
Ge + Au	-1.75	-1.50	-1.33	-1.52	-1.12
Ge + Li	-2.28	-1.58	-1.67	-2.06	-1.53

Table 1. Binding energies of nucleobases on bare and Li/Au-supported silicene and germanene. Binding values are in eV. Negative binding energies indicate stable geometries.

In terms of the total energies of the pristine silicene/germanene = monolayers (Li/Audecorated, in some cases) and of the NB (NB = Adenine (A), Guanine (G), Cytosine (C), Thymine (T) and Uracil (U)), respectively. The binding energy can be taken as an indicator of the strength of the interaction between biomolecules and monolayers.

Our recent results show that adsorption energies are in the range from -0.58 eV to -1.35 eV for silicene and -0.65 eV to -1.30 eV for germanene. The order of adsorption

energies of the NB with silicene/germanene is G > C > A > T > U. We can conclude from the results that the interaction of Guanine is stronger than the other nucleobases for both bare silicene and germanene. Uracil also has the lowest binding with bare silicene and germanene. The order of binding energies of nucleobases on silicene and germanene is consistent with the previously reported data [20]. In the case of silicene, Amaorim et al. [14] reported that C has the strongest binding among the nucleobases, and that the binding energy of A is equal to T.

The binding energy order is different from that of other 2D materials, such as graphene, $MoS_{2,}$ and WS_2 (G > A > T > C > U), calculated by the DFT-D2 method [18]. The difference possibly comes from the buckled honeycomb structure of silicene and germanene.

The closest adsorption distances between the monolayer atom/adatom and the closest pair of atoms between the silicene/germanene monolayers and nucleobases are shown in Tables 2 and 3. Considering the most favorable adsorption geometries, in some cases, the reported distances correspond to bond length. There may be a correlation between binding energies and distances. More specifically, distances between Li/Au-supported germanene and the nucleobases are smaller than the distances between pristine germanene and the nucleobases.

Table 2. The closest adsorption of distances between the monolayer atom (Si)/adatom (Li/Au) and the closest atom of the nucleobases. Values are in A^0 .

System	G	Α	Т	С	U
Si	(Si-O)	(Si-N)	(Si-O)	(Si-O)	(Si-O)
	1.95	2.02	2.77	1.92	3.28
Si [14]	(Si-O) 1.99	(Si-H) 3.07	(Si-H) 3.07	(Si-O) 2.04	
Si [21]	(Si-O)	(Si-H)	(Si-O)	(Si-O)	(Si-H)
	1.82	3.22	1.85	1.81	3.13
Si + Au	(Au-N)	(Au-N)	(Au-O)	(Au-N)	(Au-O)
	2.43	2.4	2.38	2.39	2.42
Si + Li	(Li-O)	(Li-N)	(Li-O)	(Li-O)	(Li-O)
	2.09	2.04	1.86	2.02	1.88

Table 3. The closest adsorption distances between the monolayer atom (Ge)/adatom (Li/Au) and the closest atom of the nucleobases. Values are in A^0 .

		•		6	
System	G	Α	T	C	U
Ge	(Ge-O)	(Ge-H)	(Ge-O)	(Ge-N)	(Ge-O)
	2 41	3 17	2 96	2 61	3 09
	(2	(0.17)		(2	(2.2)
Ge [21]	(Ge-O)	(Ge-H)	(Ge-H)	(Ge-O)	(Ge-O)
	2.26	3.05	3.02	3.09	3.12
Ge + Au	(Au-N)	(Au-N)	(Au-O)	(Au-N)	(Au-O)
	2.43	2.41	2.42	2.4	2.43
Ge + Li	(Li-O)	(Li-N)	(Li-O)	(Li-O)	(Li-O)
	2.12	2.06	1.9	2.03	1.9

3.2. Binding Mechanism of Li/Au-Doped Silicene and Germanene Monolayers with Nucleobases

According to our present results, in terms of binding energy, we confirm that the Li atom is chemisorbed onto the surface of silicene and germanene monolayers. The preferable adsorption site of the Au and Li atoms on silicene and germanene monolayers is a hollow site, see Figure 1a. Our calculated binding energy of the Li(Au) atom is -2.51 (-2.13) eV and -2.55 (-2.11) eV for silicene and germanene, respectively. Li and Au binding values are in good agreement with previous studies [44–49].

M. Sadeghi et al. [25] showed that the Li atom can improve the binding energy between the nucleobases and, MoS₂. Song et al. [50] showed that the Au atom can increase the binding energy between the aromatic amino acids such as phenylalanine (Phe), tyrosine (Tyr), and tryptophan (Trp) on MoS₂ monolayer. Additionally, Gorkan et al. [17] and Kadioglu et al. [51] reported that interactions of amino acids and nucleobases with Au clusters supported blue and black phosphorene, respectively.

In this part, we have calculated the binding of five nucleobases with the Li/Au adatom adsorbed to silicene and germanene monolayers. Li is an alkali metal and alkali metals are known as highly reactive metals. The equilibrium adsorption geometries with minimum total energies are shown in Figures 3 and 4. The oxygen atom of G and C, the nitrogen atom of A, and one of the oxygen atoms of T and U interact with the Li atom on silicene/germanene, and they form a covalent bonding.

According to our recent calculations for Li (Au), the binding energies range from -1.45 (-1.01) eV to -2.30 (-1.84) eV and -1.53 (-1.12) eV to -2.28 (-1.75) eV for silicene and germanene, respectively. Although U has the lowest binding energy for both bare silicene and germanene, the binding energy of U increases 150% and 135% on Li-supported silicene and germanene, respectively. G has the strongest binding energy among the nucleobases; both bare and Li/Au-supported silicene and germanene.

This energy range is much more diverse than that achieved in pristine silicene and germanene monolayers. We say that the adsorbed Li and Au atom to pristine silicene/germanene monolayers can greatly increase the binding between the nucleobases and silicene/germanene monolayers. Li/Au + silicene/germanene monolayers preserve the order of binding energies with the NB, and it is G > C > A > T > U. This is easily seen in the optimized structure in Figure 3c,d, the Li + Silicene/Cytosine and Li + Silicene/Thymine, where the Li atom moves toward the bridge and valley site, respectively. Diffusion of the Li atom can be explained by Coulomb interaction between the Li atom and C/T. This is shown in the optimized structure in Figure 4b, the Au + Germanene/Guanine, where the Au atom diffuses to the next hollow site. Diffusion of the Au atom can be explained by the Coulomb interaction between the Au atom and G. Unlike Li on silicene/germanene, and they form covalent bonding.

3.3. Electronic Properties of Li/Au-Doped Silicene and Germanene Monolayers with Nucleobases

We have investigated the effects of adsorption of Li/Au atoms in the electronic properties of silicene and germanene monolayers. In Figure 1e,f it is shown that pristine silicene and germanene are naturally semimetal and have a Dirac cone in K-point (see Figure 1d). Adsorption of the DNA/RNA nucleobases changes the band structure of bare silicene and germanene. In Figures 5a and 6a,f, as examples of the DNA/RNA nucleobases, it is shown that there is a bandgap opening in Silicene + G, Silicene + C, Germanene + G, and Germanene + C. Adsorption of the DNA/RNA nucleobases on bare silicene and germanene causes a bandgap opening that ranges from 22 meV to 107 meV. We showed the maximum bandgap opening of silicene and germanene in Figures 5 and 6, respectively. As a result of the adsorption of a Li and an Au atom, complexes of the semiconductor silicene and germanene/nucleobase complexes become metallic. This is due to the charge transfer from Li/Au atoms to silicene/germanene. Moreover, there is a small bandgap opening in the band below the Fermi level, and it occurs at the K symmetry point (see Figure 1d). For Au + Silicene/C see Figure 4e and for Au + Germanene/C see Figure 5e; there is a spin–orbit coupling that produces a band splitting.



Figure 5. Electronic band structure of (**a**) Silicene/G, (**b**) Au + Silicene/G, (**c**) Li + Silicene/G, (**d**) Silicene/C, (**e**) Au + Silicene/C, and (**f**) Li + Silicene/C.



Figure 6. Electronic band structure of: (a) Germanene/G, (b) Au + Germanene/G, (c) Li + Germanene/G, (d) Germanene/C, (e) Au + Germanene/C, and (f) Li + Germanene/C.

3.4. Electronic Transport Properties

Here, we show the functionality of silicene and germanene for the DNA/RNA nucleobases' sequencing. When the DNA/RNA nucleobases are adsorbed on the surface of the silicene, electronic transport properties of silicene/germanene will change. Transmittance T(E) shows the probability of an electron to be passed from one electrode to the other through the scattering region in the middle. In order to obtain electron transport properties, the transmission at zero bias voltage of the silicene/germanene attached to the semi-infinite electrodes is calculated, as shown in Figure 7a,d. Interaction of the DNA/RNA nucleobases with silicene/germanene monolayers causes reduction of energy-resolved transmission.



Figure 7. Transmission as a function of energy for each nucleobase on (**a**) silicene, (**b**) germanene, (**c**) Li-supported silicene, and (**d**) Li-supported germanene.

The main aim of this study is sensing and sequencing the DNA/RNA nucleobases by using silicene and germanene monolayers. We can design a theoretical biosensor for sensing the DNA/RNA nucleobases based on the variation in conductance. The sensitivity can be expressed as $S(\%) = \frac{|g-g_0|}{g_0}$, where g and g_0 are zero bias conductance of the biosensor with and without a nucleobase, respectively. For a small bias voltage, the conductance can be expressed as a function of gate voltage V_g , $g(V_g) = g_0T(E = \mu)$, where $g_0 = \frac{2e^2}{h}$ is the quantum conductance, $\mu = E_F - eV_g$ is the chemical potential, h is the Planck's constant, and e is the charge of the electron. The applied gate voltage (V_g) can tune the chemical potential to variation in the transmission. Measuring conductance rather than the current allows a better distinction of the change in the signal [52] because conductance through the biosensor changes by a unit of quantum conductance g_0 in its interaction with the DNA/RNA nucleobases.

The sensitivity histogram of bare silicene/germanene (left and middle panel) and Li-supported silicene/germanene (right panel) is shown in Figures 8 and 9, respectively. Our recent analysis shows that different conductance data from measurements at corresponding gate voltages allow distinguishing the nucleobases. At V_g = 0.62 V, it is possible to distinguish A from T and U. At V_g = -2.14 V, the theoretical silicene biosensor shows larger sensitivity for G and C and smaller sensitivity for U. At V_g = 2.70 V, and the bare silicene

biosensor exhibits larger sensitivity for G, A, and C, while having smaller sensitivity for T and U. However, it is not possible to distinguish G, A, and C. A Li-supported silicene biosensor allows us to distinguish A from G and C for the same gate voltage. According to the sensitivity analysis of bare silicene distinguishing of T and U, it would not be as easy as G, C, and A. This problem can be solved by using a Li-supported silicene biosensor device. We note that the Li-supported silicene biosensor device can have be much more effective than the bare silicene biosensor device in distinguishing the DNA/RNA nucleobases.



Figure 8. For different gate voltages (left panel $V_g = -2.14$ V and middle panel $V_g = 0.62$ V), the calculated sensitivity histogram of the theoretical silicene sequencing biosensor with five different nucleobases is plotted. For the gate voltage $V_g = 2.70$ V (right panel), we compared the sensitivity histogram of the nucleobases on bare silicene (Si stands for silicene) and Li-supported silicene (Si + Li stands for Li-supported silicene).



Figure 9. For different gate voltages (left panel $V_g = -2.30$ V and middle panel $V_g = 0.70$ V), the calculated sensitivity histogram of the theoretical germanene sequencing biosensor with five different nucleobases is plotted. For the gate voltage $V_g = 0.90$ V (right panel), we compared the sensitivity histogram of each nucleobase on bare germanene (Ge stands for bare germanene) and Li-supported germanene (Ge + Li stands for Li-supported germanene).

According to the sensitivity histogram of the theoretical biosensor based on germanene, there is a larger sensitivity for G and C, as expected. Distinguishing T and U would not be as easy as G and C for the pristine germanane biosensor, but it is possible to distinguish T with Li-supported germanene.

4. Conclusions

In this manuscript, the adsorption behaviors of the DNA/RNA nucleobases on pristine silicene/germanane monolayer surfaces and Li/Au-supported silicene/germanene mono-

layers were investigated using DFT with vdW correction and the nonequilibrium Green's function method. Our research covers all five DNA/RNA nucleobases in a nonaqueous environment. Our recent results show the sensing capability of pristine silicene/germanene and Li/Au-supported silicene/germanene and express their binding mechanisms. Au/Li atoms strongly interact with silicene and germanane monolayers. Silicene and germanene accept electrons from Au/Li adatoms, according to their greater electronegativity. The order of adsorption between the DNA/RNA nucleobases and silicene/germanane monolayers is G > C > A > T > U. The interaction of T and U with pristine silicene and germanene can be described as weak and noncovalent. T and U are physisorbed, while G, C, and A are chemisorbed on silicene. Adsorbents (Li/Au atoms) did not change the order of binding. It is clear that the adsorbed Li/Au atoms can significantly increase the binding between the nucleobases and silicene/germanene monolayers. The calculated orientations and bonding mechanism of the DNA/RNA nucleobases on silicene and germanene may play an important role for experimental studies to identify them by using scanning tunneling microscopy (STM). Adsorption of the DNA/RNA nucleobases on pristine silicene and germanene causes a bandgap opening at the K symmetry point. Li- and Au-supported silicene/germanene + nucleobases complexes become metallic. Additionally, in the case of C on Au-supported silicene/germanene, band splitting occurs below the Fermi level because of spin-orbit coupling. We also focused on the changes in electronic transport properties of bare and Li-supported silicene/germanane due to adsorption of the DNA/RNA nucleobases. We simulated that it is possible to distinguish G, C, and A from T and U due to changes in electronic signals. For the specific gate voltages, changes in transmission caused by the nucleobases differ from those of pristine and Li-supported silicene/germanene. Thus, it is possible to distinguish all the DNA/RNA nucleobases. Our findings show that both bare and metal-doped silicene and germanene are possible candidates for DNA/RNA sequencing biosensor applications.

Strong binding capacities of both bare and metal-doped silicene and germanene and tunable electronic properties of such systems indicate the possible applications of nextgeneration nanobiosensor technology for biomolecules. The obtained results will provide reference to both theoretical and experimental studies.

Author Contributions: B.S. performed the DFT calculations; H.H.G. and B.S. analyzed the data; H.H.G. and B.S. wrote the paper. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was supported from Scientific and Technological Research Council of Turkey (TÜBİTAK) under Grant No:114F453.

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: All data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- 1. Geim, A.K.; Novoselov, K.S. The rise of graphene. Nat. Mater. 2007, 6, 183–191. [CrossRef]
- 2. Vogt, P.; De Padova, P.; Quaresima, C.; Avila, J.; Frantzeskakis, E.; Asensio, M.C.; Resta, A.; Ealet, B.; Le Lay, G. Silicene: Compelling experimental evidence for graphene like two-dimensional silicon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 155501.
- 3. Derivaz, M.; Dentel, D.; Stephan, R.; Hanf, M.C.; Mehdaoui, A.; Sonnet, P.; Pirri, C. Continuous germanene layer on Al (111). *Nano Lett.* **2015**, *15*, 2510–2516. [CrossRef]
- 4. Cassabois, G.; Valvin, P.; Gil, B. Hexagonal boron nitride is an indirect bandgap semiconductor. *Nat. Photonics* **2016**, *10*, 262–266. [CrossRef]
- 5. Li, Y.; Wang, H.; Xie, L.; Liang, Y.; Hong, G.; Dai, H. MoS₂ nanoparticles grown on graphene: An advanced catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 7296–7299. [CrossRef]
- Zhu, F.F.; Chen, W.J.; Xu, Y.; Gao, C.L.; Guan, D.D.; Liu, C.H.; Qian, D.; Zhang, C.; Jia, J.F. Epitaxial growth of two-dimensional stanene. *Nat. Mater.* 2015, 14, 1020–1025. [CrossRef]
- 7. Kalantar-zadeh, K.; Ou, J.Z. Biosensors based on two-dimensional MoS₂. ACS Sens. 2016, 1, 5–16. [CrossRef]

- 8. Huang, K.; Li, Z.; Lin, J.; Han, G.; Huang, P. Two-dimensional transition metal carbides and nitrides (MXenes) for biomedical applications. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2018, 47, 5109–5124. [CrossRef]
- 9. Zhao, H.; Ding, R.; Zhao, X.; Li, Y.; Qu, L.; Pei, H.; Yildirimer, L.; Wu, Z.; Zhang, W. Graphene-based nanomaterials for drug and/or gene delivery, bioimaging, and tissue engineering. *Drug Discov. Today* **2017**, *22*, 1302–1317. [CrossRef]
- 10. Suvarnaphaet, P.; Pechprasarn, S. Graphene-based materials for biosensors: A review. Sensors 2017, 17, 2161.
- 11. Heerema, S.J.; Dekker, C. Graphene nanodevices for DNA sequencing. Nat. Nanotechnol. 2016, 11, 127–136. [CrossRef]
- 12. Lee, J.H.; Choi, Y.K.; Kim, H.J.; Scheicher, R.H.; Cho, J.H. Physisorption of DNA nucleobases on h-BN and graphene: VdW-corrected DFT calculations. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, 117, 13435–13441. [CrossRef]
- 13. Gowtham, S.; Scheicher, R.H.; Ahuja, R.; Pandey, R.; Karna, S.P. Physisorption of nucleobases on graphene: Density-functional calculations. *Phys. Rev. B* 2007, *76*, 033401. [CrossRef]
- 14. Amorim, R.G.; Scheicher, R.H. Silicene as a new potential DNA sequencing device. Nanotechnology 2015, 26, 154002. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kumar, A.; Kumar, D. Interaction of Nucleic Acid Bases (NABs) with Graphene (GR) and Boron Nitride Graphene (BNG). *J. Mol. Struct.* **2020**, 1222, 128889. [CrossRef]
- Gürel, H.H.; Salmankurt, B. Binding mechanisms of DNA/RNA nucleobases adsorbed on graphene under charging: Firstprinciples van der Waals study. *Mater. Res. Express* 2017, 4, 065401. [CrossRef]
- Gorkan, T.; Kadioglu, Y.; Aktürk, E.; Ciraci, S. Interactions of selected organic molecules with a blue phosphorene monolayer: Self-assembly, solvent effect, enhanced binding and fixation through coadsorbed gold clusters. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2020, 22, 26552–26561. [CrossRef]
- 18. Vovusha, H.; Sanyal, B. Adsorption of nucleobases on 2D transition-metal dichalcogenides and graphene sheet: A first principles density functional theory study. *RSC Adv.* **2015**, *5*, 67427–67434. [CrossRef]
- 19. Corteés-Arriagada, D. Phosphorene as a template material for physisorption of DNA/RNA nucleobases and resembling of base pairs: A cluster DFT study and comparisons with graphene. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2018**, *122*, 4870–4880. [CrossRef]
- Hussain, T.; Vovusha, H.; Kaewmaraya, T.; Amornkitbamrung, V.; Ahuja, R. Adsorption characteristics of DNA nucleobases, aromatic amino acids and heterocyclic molecules on silicene and germanene monolayers. *Sens. Actuators B Chem.* 2018, 255, 2713–2720. [CrossRef]
- Le, D.; Kara, A.; Schröder, E.; Hyldgaard, P.; Rahman, T.S. Physisorption of nucleobases on graphene: A comparative van der Waals study. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2012, 24, 424210. [CrossRef]
- 22. Farimani, A.B.; Min, K.; Aluru, N.R. DNA base detection using a single-layer MoS₂. ACS Nano 2014, 8, 7914–7922. [CrossRef]
- 23. Srimathi, U.; Nagarajan, V.; Chandiramouli, R. Detection of nucleobases using 2D germanane nanosheet: A first-principles study. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* **2018**, *1130*, 68–76. [CrossRef]
- Ramraj, A.; Hillier, I.H.; Vincent, M.A.; Burton, N.A. Assessment of approximate quantum chemical methods for calculating the interaction energy of nucleic acid bases with graphene and carbon nanotubes. *Chem. Phys. Lett.* 2010, 484, 295–298. [CrossRef]
- Sadeghi, M.; Jahanshahi, M.; Ghorbanzadeh, M.; Najafpour, G. Adsorption of DNA/RNA nucleobases onto single-layer MoS₂ and Li-Doped MoS₂: A dispersion-corrected DFT study. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* 2018, 434, 176–187. [CrossRef]
- 26. Panigrahi, S.; Bhattacharya, A.; Banerjee, S.; Bhattacharyya, D. Interaction of nucleobases with wrinkled graphene surface: Dispersion corrected DFT and AFM studies. *J. Phys. Chem.* C 2012, 116, 4374–4379. [CrossRef]
- 27. Ayatollahi, A.; Roknabadi, M.R.; Behdani, M.; Shahtahmassebi, N.; Sanyal, B. Density functional investigations on the adsorption characteristics of nucleobases on germanene nanoribbons. *Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* **2020**, *117*, 113772. [CrossRef]
- 28. Bhavadharani, R.K.; Nagarajan, V.; Chandiramouli, R. Density functional study on the binding properties of nucleobases to stanane nanosheet. *Appl. Surf. Sci.* **2018**, *462*, 831–839. [CrossRef]
- Sharma, M.; Kumar, A.; Ahluwalia, P.K. Optical fingerprints and electron transport properties of DNA bases adsorbed on monolayer MoS₂. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 60223–60230. [CrossRef]
- Feng, B.; Ding, Z.; Meng, S.; Yao, Y.; He, X.; Cheng, P.; Chen, L.; Wu, K. Evidence of silicene in honeycomb structures of silicon on Ag (111). Nano Lett. 2012, 12, 3507–3511. [CrossRef]
- 31. Chen, L.; Liu, C.C.; Feng, B.; He, X.; Cheng, P.; Ding, Z.; Meng, S.; Yao, Y.; Wu, K. Evidence for Dirac fermions in a honeycomb lattice based on silicon. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *109*, 056804. [CrossRef]
- 32. Chen, L.; Li, H.; Feng, B.; Ding, Z.; Qiu, J.; Cheng, P.; Wu, K.; Meng, S. Spontaneous symmetry breaking and dynamic phase transition in monolayer silicene. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2013**, *110*, 085504. [CrossRef]
- Meng, L.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, L.; Du, S.; Wu, R.; Li, L.; Zhang, L.; Zhou, H.; Hofer, W.A.; Gao, H.J. Buckled silicene formation on Ir (111). *Nano Lett.* 2013, 13, 685–690. [CrossRef]
- 34. Fleurence, A.; Friedlein, R.; Ozaki, T.; Kawai, H.; Wang, Y.; Yamada-Takamura, Y. Experimental evidence for epitaxial silicene on diboride thin films. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **2012**, *108*, 245501. [CrossRef]
- 35. Li, L.; Lu, S.Z.; Pan, J.; Qin, Z.; Wang, Y.Q.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Cao, G.; Du, S.; Gao, H.J. Buckled germanene formation on Pt (111). *Adv. Mater.* **2014**, *26*, 4820–4824. [CrossRef]
- Soler, J.M.; Artacho, E.; Gale, J.D.; García, A.; Junquera, J.; Ordejón, P.; Sánchez-Portal, D. The SIESTA method for ab initio order-N materials simulation. J. Phys. Condens. Matter 2002, 14, 2745. [CrossRef]
- 37. Brandbyge, M.; Mozos, J.L.; Ordejón, P.; Taylor, J.; Stokbro, K. Density-functional method for nonequilibrium electron transport. *Phys. Rev. B* **2002**, *65*, 165401. [CrossRef]
- 38. Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1996, 77, 3865. [CrossRef]

- 39. Hamann, D.R.; Schlüter, M.; Chiang, C. Norm-conserving pseudopotentials. Phys. Rev. Lett. 1979, 43, 1494. [CrossRef]
- 40. Monkhorst, H.J.; Pack, J.D. Special points for Brillouin-zone integrations. *Phys. Rev. B* 1976, 13, 5188. [CrossRef]
- Grimme, S. Semiempirical GGA-type density functional constructed with a long-range dispersion correction. *J. Comput. Chem.* 2006, 27, 1787–1799. [CrossRef]
- Momma, K.; Izumi, F. VESTA 3 for three-dimensional visualization of crystal, volumetric and morphology data. J. Appl. Crystallogr. 2011, 44, 1272–1276. [CrossRef]
- 43. Rocha, A.R.; García-Suárez, V.M.; Bailey, S.; Lambert, C.; Ferrer, J.; Sanvito, S. Spin and molecular electronics in atomically generated orbital landscapes. *Phys. Rev. B* 2006, 73, 085414. [CrossRef]
- 44. Sahin, H.; Peeters, F.M. Adsorption of alkali, alkaline-earth, and 3d transition metal atoms on silicene. *Phys. Rev. B* 2013, 87, 085423. [CrossRef]
- 45. Ye, M.; Quhe, R.; Zheng, J.; Ni, Z.; Wang, Y.; Yuan, Y.; Tse, G.; Shi, J.; Gao, Z.; Lu, J. Tunable band gap in germanene by surface adsorption. *Phys. E Low-Dimens. Syst. Nanostruct.* **2014**, *59*, 60–65. [CrossRef]
- 46. Kaloni, T.P.; Schwingenschlögl, U. Effects of heavy metal adsorption on silicene. *Phys. Status Solidi (RRL)—Rapid Res. Lett.* 2014, 8, 685–687. [CrossRef]
- 47. Li, C.; Yang, S.; Li, S.S.; Xia, J.B.; Li, J. Au-decorated silicene: Design of a high-activity catalyst toward CO oxidation. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2013, 117, 483–488. [CrossRef]
- Sosa, A.N.; de Santiago, F.; Miranda, Á.; Trejo, A.; Salazar, F.; Pérez, L.A.; Cruz-Irisson, M. Alkali and transition metal atomfunctionalized germanene for hydrogen storage: A DFT investigation. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* 2020. [CrossRef]
- 49. Xu, Q.; Si, X.; She, W.; Yang, G.; Fan, X.; Zheng, W. First-Principle Calculation of Optimizing Performance of Germanene-Based Supercapacitors by Vacancies and Metal Atoms. *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2020**, *124*, 12346–12358. [CrossRef]
- 50. Song, J.; Li, M.; Liang, H.; Lou, H. Adsorption patterns of aromatic amino acids on monolayer MoS₂ and Au-modified MoS₂ surfaces: A first-principles study. *Comput. Theor. Chem.* **2017**, *1118*, 115–122. [CrossRef]
- 51. Kadioglu, Y.; Gorkan, T.; Uzengi Akturk, O.; Akturk, E.; Ciraci, S. Enhanced interactions of amino acids and nucleic acid bases with bare black phosphorene monolayer mediated by coadsorbed species. J. Phys. Chem. C 2019, 123, 23691–23704. [CrossRef]
- 52. Min, S.K.; Kim, W.Y.; Cho, Y.; Kim, K.S. Fast DNA sequencing with a graphene-based nanochannel device. *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2011**, *6*, 162–165.