

SCIENTIFIC DATA

OPEN Data Descriptor: A European Multi Lake Survey dataset of environmental variables, phytoplankton pigments and cyanotoxins

Received: 25 April 2018

Accepted: 3 July 2018

Published: 23 October 2018

Evanthia Mantzouki *et al.*[#]

Under ongoing climate change and increasing anthropogenic activity, which continuously challenge ecosystem resilience, an in-depth understanding of ecological processes is urgently needed. Lakes, as providers of numerous ecosystem services, face multiple stressors that threaten their functioning. Harmful cyanobacterial blooms are a persistent problem resulting from nutrient pollution and climate-change induced stressors, like poor transparency, increased water temperature and enhanced stratification. Consistency in data collection and analysis methods is necessary to achieve fully comparable datasets and for statistical validity, avoiding issues linked to disparate data sources. The European Multi Lake Survey (EMLS) in summer 2015 was an initiative among scientists from 27 countries to collect and analyse lake physical, chemical and biological variables in a fully standardized manner. This database includes *in-situ* lake variables along with nutrient, pigment and cyanotoxin data of 369 lakes in Europe, which were centrally analysed in dedicated laboratories. Publishing the EMLS methods and dataset might inspire similar initiatives to study across large geographic areas that will contribute to better understanding lake responses in a changing environment.

Design Type(s)	database creation objective • data analysis objective • observation design
Measurement Type(s)	nutrient • biological pigment • cyanotoxin • thermocline
Technology Type(s)	data acquisition system • high performance liquid chromatography • high performance liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry
Factor Type(s)	lake • Country
Sample Characteristic(s)	Austria • lake • Switzerland • Cyprus • Czech Republic • Germany • Kingdom of Denmark • Estonia • Kingdom of Spain • Finland • French Republic • Greece • Croatia • Hungary • Republic of Ireland • Italy • Lithuania • Macedonia • The Netherlands • Kingdom of Norway • Poland • Portuguese Republic • Russia • Sweden • Slovenia • Slovak Republic • Turkey • United Kingdom

[#]A full list of authors and their affiliations appears at the end of the paper. Correspondence and requests for materials should be addressed to E.M. (email: Evanthia.Mantzouki@unige.ch)

Background & Summary

Eutrophication still is the primary process threatening lakes and reservoirs and the services they provide, like good quality drinking water, irrigation, fisheries and recreational opportunities. Anthropogenic eutrophication is responsible for massive algal blooms. Cyanobacteria have diverse functional traits that allow them to proliferate under various environmental conditions¹. The frequency and size of cyanobacterial blooms is increasing globally^{2,3}. Excessive cyanobacterial biomass reduces light penetration and enhances anoxia in the hypolimnion, thus reducing species habitats and biodiversity⁴. Moreover, the toxins produced by bloom-forming cyanobacteria present a considerable risk to drinking water⁵ and pose a substantial economic cost^{6,7}.

Climate change, through direct and indirect effects of warming, increasingly plays a role in changing physico-chemical and biological properties of aquatic ecosystems^{8–11}, contributing to the global increase in cyanobacterial blooms. Although optimal growth temperatures vary widely between cyanobacterial strains and species, as well as for their eukaryotic competitors, their growth rate increases faster with temperature than for other phytoplankton groups^{9,12}. Longer ice-free seasons, reduced winter overturn and enhanced water column stability in summer may all indirectly favour cyanobacterial blooms. In deep peri-alpine lakes for instance, water column stability has increased by 20% in a response to warming of the atmosphere¹³.

Interactions between nutrients and temperature-related changes are expected^{14–16}. However, it is still uncertain to what extent and following which mechanisms nutrients and temperature will interact to amplify blooms. Climate forcing of blooms will differ among regions¹⁷. For example, at high latitudes and equatorial areas, intense precipitation events are expected (IPCC7) to increase nutrient enrichment of water bodies from enhanced surface runoff and groundwater discharge⁴, whereas at low and mid-latitude continental interiors, droughts¹⁸ may reduce river flow rates, increase lake residence times and thereby promote cyanobacteria. In hyper-eutrophic systems, high algal biomass may even increase local temperature due to enhanced light absorption¹⁹. Thus, high nutrient concentrations may promote warmer temperatures, giving a competitive advantage to buoyant cyanobacteria over non-buoyant algal species⁴. All of these interactions between nutrients and temperature may vary with lake depth. Shallow lakes respond more directly to nutrients and temperature than deep lakes, which, in contrast, are more typically subjected to the indirect effects of the aforementioned drivers²⁰.

Hence, a complex interplay of regional (climate), local (nutrients, lake morphometry) and biological (species, functional groups) lake variables determines cyanobacterial bloom formation. Consequently, studies covering different regions and lake types are needed to disentangle the relative importance of the environmental predictors and their interactions. The European Multi Lake Survey (EMLS) obtained a deeper insight into cyanobacterial dynamics under different ecosystem variables across Europe. A space-for-time substitution, where contemporary spatial phenomena are studied in many lakes, instead of long-term temporal studies in a limited number of lakes²¹, was used. The survey took place in summer 2015, Europe's third hottest summer on record, and comprised scientists from 27 countries that sampled 369 lakes only once. In this way, environmental gradients across wide geographic scales were covered with relatively little effort and with higher cost efficiency. We sampled in summer as cyanobacterial blooms are a distinct feature of summer phytoplankton²², during the locally warmest period, in order to test for temperature effects on cyanobacteria. In EMLS, standardized sampling procedures were strictly followed to ensure data homogeneity and eliminate site or operator related observation effects. Finally, lake samples for nutrients, algal pigments and toxins were analysed in dedicated laboratories by one person on one machine, minimizing variation in analytical errors.

Apart from providing a solid research dataset on which several analyses are being conducted, the EMLS helped to enhance the standards for limnological data collection and stimulate international collaboration. A subset of the EMLS toxin dataset has already been used in a recent publication to show how the distribution in cyanobacterial toxins and toxin quota was determined by both direct and indirect effects of temperature²³. Here we additionally present the data for all lakes, including those without toxins for the full set of data. The intention is that this publication of the EMLS dataset will further demonstrate the feasibility and value of snapshot surveys, and encourage similar programs in a continuously changing environment, i.e. at times when datasets covering large geographical gradients are in great demand.

Methods

Organization

To make EMLS a robust survey we bridged two European COST Actions²⁴, CyanoCOST^{25,26} (Cyanobacterial blooms and toxins in water resources: occurrence impacts and management) and NETLAKE²⁷ (Networking Lake Observatories in Europe), planting the idea and promoting the benefits of an extended collaboration amongst researchers from all over Europe. This research was expanded to many other scientists not directly involved in these COST Actions.

The EMLS protocols required that each group of data providers collected and handled the samples following the same standards. Therefore, the steps outlined below had to be followed by all participant groups to ensure standardized sampling, sample processing and analyses, resulting in a homogenous dataset. Any deviations from these protocols were recorded in the metadata spreadsheets, and these data were handled with care after contacting the data collectors. To ensure that protocols were fit-for-purpose and understandable to everyone, we invited representatives of each country involved in the CyanoCOST




Figure 1. Map showing the locations of the 369 lakes sampled during the European Multi Lake Survey in summer 2015.

and NETLAKE actions to a three-day training workshop in Evian-Les-Bains, France. During the workshop, participants discussed all aspects of EMLS and considered limitations in the financial and logistic means for given countries, without compromising research quality. They finalized the protocols and obtained hands-on experience in using them. To increase the number of studied lakes and achieve adequate spatial variation, representatives of each country acted as EMLS-ambassadors, reaching-out to further collaborators within their own countries and disseminating the decisions and protocols of the EMLS.

The EMLS was a collective effort, which means that each participating group used their own financial means to conduct their sampling as well as provided the personnel and facilities needed. Since the EMLS was a zero-funding effort, individual countries mainly contributed with samples from lakes that they routinely sample anyway, especially lakes with a history of eutrophication, given the implications for lake management. Although this results in a bias towards productive lakes, unfortunately it also reflects reality, with many lakes in Europe still suffering from eutrophication. A total of 369 lakes were sampled, spanning from Cyprus to Finland, and from the Asian part of Turkey to the Portuguese Azores islands (Fig. 1).

The workflow for organizing the EMLS consortium is presented as an infographic (Fig. 2). It illustrates the logistics from organizing the local surveys (1) through obtaining the samples (2), to processing and shipping them to the analytical laboratories (3-5). The data obtained from the field as well as laboratory-analyses was quality controlled and integrated into a unique dataset before making available to the EMLS network and to the rest of the scientific community. The methods of data acquisition and laboratory analyses that are described below are expanded versions of descriptions in our related work²³.

Data acquisition

Date and location (*in situ*). The field methods for the EMLS were designed to be completed within one field day for each lake, but typically the sampling itself could be completed within two hours. Remote, poorly accessible lakes required more time to reach and field crews had to plan accordingly. To optimize time investment, lakes in close proximity were covered in one sampling trip. Each sampling group was responsible to organize and prepare the sampling material and equipment for their sampling campaign. In several cases, sampling groups of different areas or even countries collaborated and shared material such as instruments and boats.



Figure 2. Schematic overview of the European Multi Lake Survey (EMLS). CyanoCOST and NETLAKE members performed the sampling routine by: 1. Preparing the sampling material to meet the standardized procedures 2. Accessing the lake site and acquiring integrated water samples from the bottom of the thermocline up to the water surface 3. Processing and preserving the water samples based on requirements for subsequent analysis 4. Shipping samples to a central receiving laboratory 5. Analysing nutrient, pigment and toxin concentrations in dedicated laboratories. After quality control checks and data integration the dataset returns to the European network and becomes publically available for further research. Created by Sarah O’Leary and Eilish Beirne.

Each EMLS data collector team had to identify the right sampling period, defined as the warmest two-week period in summer, based on long-term air temperature data in each region, covering the last 10 or more years. This predefined time-period served to minimize confounding effects of seasonality. Each lake was sampled within this time window (Date; Table 1).

The sampling location for each lake was defined as the central point of the lake. If a particular lake had been previously sampled at a specific location for long-term monitoring, the sample location from the long-term monitoring was used instead of the lake centre. For lakes with more than one relatively isolated basin, individual basins were sampled separately when possible, and indicated as such in the dataset (e.g. TR_BEY_I and TR_BEY_II). The latitude and longitude of the sampling location were recorded with a GPS device and provided in decimal degrees according to the WGS84 coordinate system.

If cyanobacterial surface blooms (defined as the presence of a visible surface scum) were present close to where the team entered the lake or in close proximity to the sampling point, a second sampling location was considered. A scoop surface sample, using a small sealable container like a Falcon tube, of the cyanobacterial scum was acquired along with the water column sample. The location of the scum sample was noted. Data collectors also provided - when available - maximum depth, mean depth and altitude (Table 1) of the lake.

Temperature and Secchi depth (*in situ*). Temperature profiles were measured with available probes, such as various CTDs or a Fluoroprobe (BBE Moldaenke). If no profiling instrument was available, water samples were taken at 0.5 m intervals from the lake surface to the bottom of the thermocline (top of the hypolimnion) and water temperature was measured using hand-held thermometers directly after sampling. Here, the thermocline range was defined as the depth interval at which the rate of temperature decreased at least 1 °C per metre. The bottom of the thermocline (where temperature no longer decreased by 1 °C per meter), defined the sampling depth in the case of stratified lakes. For lakes where a thermocline was not observed (mostly shallow lakes), the temperature profile was measured until the lake bottom. In this case, the sampling depth was determined at 0.5 m above the lake bottom. From the temperature profiles, we obtained surface and epilimnetic temperature (Table 1) as the average temperature from surface until the bottom of the thermocline. The temperature profiles were also used during data analysis, to calculate the location where the thermocline lies even between two temperature measurement depths, which corresponds to where the thermocline is the most stable (point of maximum buoyancy frequency). To calculate this thermocline depth (ThermoclineDepth_m, Table 1) we used the command `thermo.depth` from the R package `rLakeAnalyzer`²⁸. The Secchi depth (Table 1) was also recorded using a Secchi disk to the nearest 0.05 m.

Integrated water sample (*in situ*). All data collectors constructed a simple device, known as the “Anaconda”, using a stoppered hose of the correct length in order to acquire the epilimnetic sample. The hosepipe was lowered with the bottom end open into the water column until the right depth (see above). When the hosepipe was vertical and the water level was visible at the surface layer of the hosepipe then the stopper was inserted to create hydrostatic pressure. The bottom end of the hosepipe was pulled-up with a rope to the surface to collect the sample in a bucket. The diameter of the hosepipe was appropriate to sample the required water volume (about 5–10 L for hypertrophic and eutrophic, 15–30 L for mesotrophic and oligotrophic lakes) for the analyses, in an acceptable number of runs. The first three sampling runs served the purpose of rinsing the hosepipe, the sampling bucket and the plastic rod. The subsequent runs were the water sample taken for analysis. The water sample in the bucket was mixed adequately before being divided into different bottles for further processing prior to analysis.

All samples were shipped frozen using dry ice in Styrofoam boxes. Shipping and storage of the EMLS samples was centralized at the University of Wageningen (The Netherlands). There, samples were sorted and sent to the dedicated laboratories for further analysis. Each of the nutrients, pigments and toxins analyses were done in one dedicated laboratory, by one operator on one machine, to minimize analytical errors and maximize integration of the datasets. Specifically, the nutrients, microcystins and nodularin analyses were done at the University of Wageningen, the pigment analysis at the University of Amsterdam and the cylindrospermopsin and anatoxin analysis at the German Environment Agency.

Total and Dissolved Nutrients (laboratory). For analyses of total phosphorus (TP_mgL, Table 1) and nitrogen (TN_mgL, Table 1), unfiltered water subsamples of 50 mL were stored in -20 °C until shipping. For dissolved nutrients: orthophosphate (PO₄_ugL, Table 1), nitrite/nitrate (NO₃NO₂_mgL, Table 1) and ammonium (NH₄_mgL, Table 1), a volume of 250 mL was filtered through 47mm Glass fibre filters (GF/C or GF/F or similar), the filtrate was sampled in a PE bottle and stored at -20 °C. Before the collection of the nutrient samples, all polyethylene collection bottles with their screw caps were acid washed overnight in 1M HCl and rinsed with demineralized water and lake water before collection. Nutrients were measured according to Dutch NEN standards, using a Skalar SAN+ segmented flow analyser (Skalar Analytical BV, Breda, NL) with UV/persulfate digestion integrated in the system. The total phosphorus and orthophosphate were analysed conforming NEN²⁹, the ammonium and total nitrogen according to NEN³⁰ and the nitrite/nitrate following NEN³¹. The limit of detection was 0.02 mg/L for total phosphorus and ammonium, 0.2 mg/L for total nitrogen, 0.01 mg/L for nitrite/nitrate and 0.004 mg/L for orthophosphate.

Column name	Description	Explanation or format or unit
Lake_ID	A code given to each studied lake	e.g. TR_BEY_I,
		TR = country code (Turkey),
		BEY = three first letters of lake name (Lake Beysehir),
		I = if more than one lake basins were sampled
Date	Date of sample collection	YYYY-MM-DD
LakeName	Name of lake that is commonly used	Name
LabName	The name of the laboratory that organized the local sampling	Name
Country	Geographic location of lake	Name
Latitude	Latitude coordinate of lake	Decimal degrees (WGS84)
Longitude	Longitude coordinate of lake	Decimal degrees (WGS84)
Altitude_m	Elevation of lake surface relative to sea level	Metres
MaximumDepth_m	Maximum depth of lake	Metres
MeanDepth_m	Mean depth of lake	Metres
SecchiDepth_m	Secchi depth reading	Metres
SamplingDepth_m	Depth of sample collection	Metres
	(lower limit of the sampling interval)	
ThermoclineDepth_m	Location of the thermocline	Metres
		NA signifies a non-stratified lake (no thermocline detected)
SurfaceTemperature_C	Lake water temperature at 0.5 m depth	Degrees Celsius
EpilimneticTemperature_C	Averaged lake water temperature from surface until bottom of the thermocline	Degrees Celsius
TP_mgL	Concentration of total phosphorus	Milligrams per litre
TN_mgL	Concentration of total nitrogen	Milligrams per litre
NO3NO2_mgL	Concentration of nitrates and nitrites (dissolved nutrients)	Milligrams per litre
NH4_mgL	Concentration of ammonia (dissolved nutrients)	Milligrams per litre
PO4_ugL	Concentration of ortho-phosphate (dissolved nutrients)	Micrograms per litre
Chlorophylla_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment chlorophyll-a	Micrograms per litre
Chlorophyllb_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment chlorophyll-b	Micrograms per litre
Zeaxanthin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment zeaxanthin	Micrograms per litre
Diadinoxanthin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment diadinoxanthin	Micrograms per litre
Fucoxanthin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment fucoxanthin	Micrograms per litre
Diatoxanthin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment diatoxanthin	Micrograms per litre
Alloxanthin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment alloxanthin	Micrograms per litre
Peridinin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment peridinin	Micrograms per litre
Chlorophyllc2_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment chlorophyll-c2	Micrograms per litre
Echinenone_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment echinenone	Micrograms per litre
Lutein_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment lutein	Micrograms per litre
Violaxanthin_ugL	Concentration of photosynthetic pigment violaxanthin	Micrograms per litre
MC_YR_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin YR	Micrograms per litre
MC_dmRR_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin dmRR	Micrograms per litre
MC_RR_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin RR	Micrograms per litre
MC_dmLR_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin dmLR	Micrograms per litre
MC_LR_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin LR	Micrograms per litre
MC_LY_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin LY	Micrograms per litre
MC_LW_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin LW	Micrograms per litre
MC_LF_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin microcystin LF	Micrograms per litre
NOD_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial hepatotoxin nodularin	Micrograms per litre
CYN_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial cytotoxin cylindrospermopsin	Micrograms per litre
ATX_ugL	Concentration of cyanobacterial neurotoxin anatoxin-a	Micrograms per litre

Table 1. Column names, column description and explanation/format or unit for each feature of the lake file.

Pigment analysis (laboratory). For pigment analysis, a volume of 50–250 mL for hypertrophic and eutrophic lakes and 500–1000 mL for mesotrophic to oligotrophic lakes was filtered through 47mm glass fibre filters (GF/C or GF/F or similar) using a filtration device. Filters were stored at -20°C in the dark until shipping. The analysis of pigments was modified from the method described by Van der Staay *et al.*³². All filters were freeze dried for 6 h. Filters were cut in half, placed in separate Eppendorf tubes, and kept on ice until the end of the extraction procedure. In each tube, 600 μl of 90% acetone were added with a small amount of 0.5 mm glass beads. To extract the pigments from the phytoplankton cells, filters were placed on a bead-beater for one minute. To increase the extraction yields, samples were placed in an ultrasonic bath for ten minutes. This procedure was repeated twice to ensure a complete extraction of the total pigment content of the filters. To achieve binding of the pigments during the High-Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) analysis, 300 μl of a Tributyl Ammonium Acetate (1.5%) and Ammonium Acetate (7.7%) mix were added to each tube. Lastly, samples were centrifuged at 15,000 rpm at 4°C for ten minutes. 35 μl of the supernatant from both Eppendorf tubes of a filter were transferred into an HPLC glass vials. Pigments were separated on a Thermo Scientific ODS Hypersil column (250 mm \times 3 mm, particle size 5 μm) in a Shimadzu HPLC machine and using a KONTRON SPD-M20A diode array detector. We identified 12 different pigments (chlorophyll-a, chlorophyll-b, zeaxanthin, diadinoxanthin, fucoxanthin, diatoxanthin, alloxanthin, peridinin, chlorophyll-c2, echinenone, lutein and violaxanthin, Table 1) based on their retention time and absorption spectrum and quantified by means of pigment standards. The limit of detection (LOD) and for a 250 mL sample was: 0.094 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for chlorophyll-a, 0.061 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for chlorophyll-b, 0.034 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for zeaxanthin, 0.053 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for diadinoxanthin, 0.067 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for fucoxanthin, 0.029 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for diatoxanthin, 0.023 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for alloxanthin, 0.085 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for peridinin, 0.028 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for chlorophyll-c2, 0.031 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for echinenone, 0.027 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for lutein and 0.075 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for violaxanthin. The limit of quantification (LOQ) and for a 250 mL sample was: 0.315 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for chlorophyll-a, 0.202 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for chlorophyll-b, 0.115 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for zeaxanthin, 0.177 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for diadinoxanthin, 0.224 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for fucoxanthin, 0.098 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for diatoxanthin, 0.077 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for alloxanthin, 0.284 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for peridinin, 0.093 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for chlorophyll-c2, 0.104 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for echinenone, 0.089 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for lutein and 0.250 $\mu\text{g/L}$ for violaxanthin. In the cases where no pigment signal was detected, the respective pigment was considered absent and noted as 0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in the dataset. If the calculated pigment concentration in the dataset is above the limit of detection (qualitatively detected signal) but below the quantification limit (too small to quantify), we suggest the assignment of a very small value of half the detection limit to enable the inclusion of these samples in statistical analyses (if applicable). Alternatively, other statistical approaches that account for data censoring can be followed based on the research question and the statistical analysis followed (for suggestions see³³).

Cyanotoxin analysis (laboratory). For toxin analyses, a volume of 50–250 mL for hypertrophic and eutrophic lakes and 500–1000 mL for mesotrophic to oligotrophic lakes, was filtered through 47 mm Glass fibre filters (GF/C or GF/F or similar) using a filtration device. Filters were stored at -20°C until shipping. In the laboratory, frozen filters were transferred to 8 mL glass tubes and freeze-dried (Alpha 1-2 LD, Martin Christ Gefriertrocknungsanlagen GmbH, Osterode am Harz, Germany). The freeze-dried filters were used for the Liquid Chromatography with tandem Mass Spectrometry detection (LC-MS/MS) analysis of microcystins, nodularin, cylindrospermopsin and anatoxin as described below. In the cases where no toxin signal was detected, the respective toxin was considered absent and noted as 0 $\mu\text{g/L}$ in the dataset. A similar approach as in the section “pigment analysis” can be followed for toxin concentrations in the dataset that fall above the detection limit but below the quantification limit, as we did in²³.

Microcystins and nodularin analysis (laboratory). For the extraction of microcystins and nodularin, 2.5 mL of 75% hot methanol – 25% ultrapure water (v/v) was added to the freeze-dried filters, which were then sealed with a screw cap and placed for half an hour at 60°C . Subsequently, the extract was transferred to a clean 8 mL glass tube. This extraction procedure was performed three times for each filter. The supernatants of the repeated extraction procedure were combined to a final volume of 7.5 mL and then dried in a Speedvac (Thermo Scientific Savant SPD121P, Asheville, NC, USA). After that, the extracts were reconstituted in 900 μL 100% MeOH. The reconstituted samples were transferred into 2 mL Eppendorf vials with a 0.22 μm cellulose-acetate filter and centrifuged for 5 min at 16,000 \times g (VWR Galaxy 16DH, Boxmeer, Netherlands). Filtrates were transferred to amber glass vials for the analysis.

The LC-MS/MS analysis was performed on an Agilent 1200 LC and an Agilent 6410A QQQ (Waldbronn, Germany). The extracts were separated using a 5 μm Agilent Eclipse XDB-C18 (4.6 mm, 150 mm column, Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) at 40°C . The mobile phase consisted of Millipore water (v/v, eluent A) and acetonitrile (v/v, eluent B) both containing 0.1% formic acid at a flow rate of 0.5 mL/min with the following gradient program: 0–2 min 30% B, 6–12 min 90% B, with a linear increase of B between 2 and 6 min and a 5 min post run at 30% B (as described in³²). The injection volume was 10 μl . Identification of the eight MC variants (MC_dmRR, MC_RR, MC_YR, MC_dmLR, MC_LR, MC_LY, MC_LW and MC_LF, Table 1) and nodularin (NOD) was performed in the positive Multiple Reaction Monitoring (MRM) with the following transitions: MC_dmRR 512.8 m/z $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^{+}$ to 135.1 quantifier, MC_RR 519.8 m/z $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^{+}$ to 135.1 quantifier, MC_YR 523.3 m/z $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^{+}$ to 135.1 quantifier, MC_dmLR 491.3 m/z $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^{+}$ to 847.6 quantifier, MC_LR 498.3 m/z $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^{+}$

to 135.1 quantifier, MC_LY 868.4 m/z [M + H]⁺ to 163.0 quantifier, MC_LW 891.5 m/z [M + H]⁺ to 163.0 quantifier, MC_LF 852.5 m/z [M + H]⁺ to 163.0 quantifier and NOD 825.5 m/z [M + H]⁺ to 135.1 quantifier³⁴. Mass spectrometric settings are given in³⁵. Each MC variant was quantified against a calibration curve. The calibration curves were made using certified calibration standards obtained from DHI LAB Products (Hørsholm, Denmark). The limit of detection (LOD) and quantification (LOQ) for a 250 mL sample was: 0.0489 µg/L for MC_dmRR, 0.0358 µg/L for MC_RR, 0.0050 µg/L for MC_YR, 0.0054 µg/L for MC_dmLR, 0.0086 µg/L for MC_LR, 0.0817 µg/L for MC_LY, 0.0531 µg/L for MC_LW, 0.0206 µg/L for MC_LF and 0.0048 µg/L for NOD.

Cylindrospermopsin and anatoxin analysis (laboratory). For the extraction of cylindrospermopsin (CYN, Table 1) and anatoxin-a (ATX, Table 1), 1.5 mL of 0.1% formic acid was added to the freeze-dried filters. Filters were sonicated for 10 min, shaken for 1 hour and then, centrifuged. This extraction procedure was repeated two more times and the combined supernatants were dried in a Speedvac (Eppendorf, Germany). Prior to analysis the dried extracts were re-dissolved in 1 mL 0.1% formic acid and filtered (0.2 µm, PVDF, Whatman, Maidstone, UK).

LC-MS/MS analysis was carried out on an Agilent 2900 series HPLC system (Agilent Technologies, Waldbronn, Germany) coupled to a API 5500 QTrap mass spectrometer (AB Sciex, Framingham, MA, USA) equipped with a turbo-ion spray interface. The extracts were separated using a 5 mm Atlantis C18 (2.1 mm, 150 mm column, Waters, Eschborn, Germany) at 30 °C. The mobile phase consisted of water (v/v, eluent A) and methanol (v/v, eluent A) both containing 0.1% formic acid, and was delivered as a linear gradient from 1% to 25% B within 5 min at a flow rate of 0.25 mL/min. The injection volume was 10 µL. Identification of CYN and ATX was performed in the positive MRM mode with the following transitions: CYN m/z 416.1 [M + H]⁺ to 194 (quantifier) and 416.1/176, and ATX m/z 166.1 [M + H]⁺ to 149, 166.1/131, 166.1/91, 166.1/43 (quantifier). Mass spectrometric settings are given in³⁶. Certified reference standards were purchased from National Research Council (Ottawa, ON, Canada). The limit of detection (LOD) for both ATX and CYN was 0.0001 µg/L and the limit of quantification (LOQ) was 0.0004 µg/L for a 250 mL sample.

Code availability. Custom-made codes in R 3.3.3³⁷ were used to combine the datasets, trace missing data and inconsistencies. The codes are available in Zenodo: <https://zenodo.org/record/1219878#.Wtcc4S5ubRZ>

The GeoNode open source platform version 2.0 has been used for sharing the EMLS datasets among the partners. GeoNode is a web-based application that facilitates the visualization, download, sharing, and collaborative use of geospatial data through web services. GeoNode can be easily obtained at <http://geonode.org/> as it is freely available under a GNU General Public License.

QGIS 2.18 Las Palmas was used for creating, managing and uploading the ESRI shapefile layers into the GeoNode platform.

Data Records

The final dataset includes all lake, environmental, nutrient, pigment and toxin data in one data table. The description of each feature in the table can be found in Table 1. The data table is made freely available as a static copy, through direct download from the online Environmental Database Initiative (EDI) and it is provided under the name “EMLSdata_10Aug_afterRev_dateformatted.csv” (Data Citation 1).

The data table is also available at the GeoNode platform (<http://gleon.grid.unep.ch/>), where it can be downloaded through the provided web services that secure accessibility to data by using interoperable standards as provided by the Open Geospatial Consortium (OGC). The OGC-compliant web services available are 1. the Web Map Service (WMS 1.1.1) and 2. the Web Map Tile Service (WMTS 1.0.0) for accessing the maps; 3. the Web Feature Service (WFS 1.1.0) for accessing vector data and 4. Catalogue Service for the Web (CSW 2.0.2) to access the metadata. These interoperable web service endpoints enable the user to easily access and/or integrate these datasets in their desktop, web-based client, or own workflows.

The user can find and download several features of the data table as vector layers under the tab “Layers”. In the interactive tab “Maps”, the user can visualise and download datasets of combined “Layers”, or create their own maps using the available layers. The vector layers are provided in several formats such as: ESRI shapefile, Geography Markup Language (GML) and Keyhole Markup Language (KML), JPEG, pdf etc.

Both the database and the GeoNode platform can be easily updated with the expected data from DNA and flowcytometry analysis (to follow). As new surveys may be organized following the protocols of this paper, this data can also be easily included in the database and GeoNode platform.

Technical Validation

All data received from field observers (i.e. data in the tables: Lake Data & Metadata, Sampled data and depth profiles) were checked by a data curator before uploaded into the database.

Tracing missing data

Participating data collectors provided a field datasheet and a metadata sheet for each lake. All sheets for each sampling event and lake metadata which did not have matching records were double checked, and errors were corrected when found. A custom-made code generated proofing reports for each table, highlighting which lakes or basins had missing data.

Location data

All latitude and longitude records for each lake were verified by checking visually the provided locations on google maps. Lakes were marked as verified under the following conditions: (1) the location on google maps, using either satellite or map view, was found for a lake which matched the provided name, (2) the location on google maps was located close to a water body (approximately 1 km or closer) with a matching name, (3) the location was in or beside a lake which had no name or a different name, but the name provided matched with names of regions in the area (i.e. this represents cases where lakes were named according to their closest city, or region), or (4) the provided location was near an unnamed lake in the correct country where there were no other lakes nearby. All other cases were considered unverified and the data collectors were contacted to provide the right location (Latitude and longitude in decimal degrees; WGS84).

Laboratory Analyses

The nutrient, pigment and toxin concentrations were analysed centrally by certified laboratories that have optimized those specific analytical methods. Given that, these data are assumed to be correct.

References

- Carey, C. C. *et al.* Occurrence and toxicity of the cyanobacterium *Gloeotrichia echinulata* in low-nutrient lakes in the northeastern United States. *Aquat. Ecol.* **46**, 395–409 (2012).
- Huber, V., Wagner, C., Gerten, D. & Adrian, R. To bloom or not to bloom: contrasting responses of cyanobacteria to recent heat waves explained by critical thresholds of abiotic drivers. *Oecologia* **169**, 245–256 (2012).
- Sinha, R. L. *et al.* Increased incidence of *Cylindrospermopsis raciborskii* in temperate zones - is climate change responsible? *Water Res* **46**, 1408–1409 (2012).
- Schindler, D. W. & Vallentyne, J. R. In *The algal bowl, overfertilization of the world's freshwaters and estuaries*, (University of Alberta Press, Earthscan, 2008).
- Codd, G. A., Meriluoto, J. & Metcalfe, J. S. In *Handbook of Cyanobacterial Monitoring and Cyanotoxin Analysis*, (John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, 2017).
- Dodds, W. *et al.* Eutrophication of U.S. freshwaters: analysis of potential economic damages. *Environ. Sci. Technol.* **43**, 12–19 (2009).
- Steffensen, D. A. In *Cyanobacterial Harmful Algal Blooms: State of the Science and Research Needs* (ed. Kenneth Hudnell), 855–865 (Springer Science, 2008).
- Elliott, J. A. Is the future blue-green? A review of the current model predictions of how climate change could affect pelagic freshwater cyanobacteria. *Water Res* **46**, 1364–1371 (2012).
- Kosten, S. *et al.* Warmer climates boost cyanobacterial dominance in shallow lakes. *Global Change Biol* **18**, 118–126 (2012).
- Paerl, H. W. & Huisman, J. Blooms like it hot. *Climate* **320**, 57–58 (2008).
- Schwefel, R., Gaudard, A., Wuest, A. & Bouffard, D. Effects of climate change on deepwater oxygen and winter mixing in a deep lake (Lake Geneva): Comparing observational findings and modeling. *Water Resour. Res.* **52**, 8811–8826 (2016).
- Reynolds, C. S. In *The ecology of phytoplankton*. (Cambridge University Press, 2006).
- Livingstone, D. M. Impact of secular climate change on the thermal structure of a large temperate central European lake. *Climatic Change* **57**, 205–225 (2003).
- Lurling, M., van Oosterhout, F. & Faassen, E. Eutrophication and warming boost cyanobacterial biomass and microcystins. *Toxins* **9**, 64 (2017).
- Moss, B. Allied attack: climate change and eutrophication. *Inland Waters* **1**, 101–105 (2011).
- Rigosi, A., Carey, C. C., Ibelings, B. W. & Brookes, J. D. The interaction between climate warming and eutrophication to promote cyanobacteria is dependent on trophic state and varies among taxa. *Limnol. Oceanogr.* **59**, 99–114 (2014).
- Salmaso, N. *et al.* Influence of atmospheric modes of variability on the limnological characteristics of large lakes south of the Alps: a new emerging paradigm. *Hydrobiologia* **731**, 31–48 (2013).
- Dai, A., Trenberth, K. E. & Qian, T. T. A global dataset of Palmer Drought Severity Index for 1870–2002: Relationship with soil moisture and effects of surface warming. *Journal of Hydrometeorology* **5**, 1117–1130 (2004).
- Ibelings, B. W., Vonk, M., Los, H. F. J., Van der Molen, D. T. & Mood, W. M. Fuzzy modeling of cyanobacterial surface waterblooms: Validation with NOAA-AVHRR satellite images. *Ecol. Appl.* **13**, 1456–1472 (2003).
- Gerten, D. & Adrian, R. Effects of climate warming, North Atlantic Oscillation, and El Niño-Southern Oscillation on thermal conditions and plankton dynamics in northern hemispheric lakes. *ScientificWorldJournal* **2**, 586–606 (2002).
- Pickett, S. In *Long-Term Studies in Ecology: Approaches and Alternatives. Vol. 5. Space-for-time substitution as an alternative to long-term studies*, (Springer New York, 1989).
- Sommer, U. *et al.* Beyond the Plankton Ecology Group (PEG) model: mechanisms driving plankton succession. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Evol. Syst.* **43**, 429–448 (2012).
- Mantzouki, E. *et al.* Temperature effects explain continental scale distribution of cyanobacterial toxins. *Toxins* **10**, 156 (2018).
- COST. European Cooperation in Science & Technology : Cost Actions– http://www.cost.eu/COST_Actions (2017).
- CYANOCOST. COST Action ES1105: Cyanobacterial blooms and toxins in water resources: Occurrence, impacts and management <http://www.cyanocost.com/> (2014).
- Meriluoto, J. *et al.* Toxic cyanobacteria and cyanotoxins in European waters – recent progress achieved through the CYANO-COST Action and challenges for further research. *AIOL* **8** (2017).
- NETLAKE. COST Action ES1201: Networking Lake Observatories in Europe <https://www.dkit.ie/ga/node/14015> (2016).
- Winslow, L. A., Read, J. S., Woolway, R. L., Brentrup, J. A., Leach, T. H. & Zwart, J. A. GLEON/rLakeAnalyzer: New algorithms and bugfixes. *Zenodo* <https://zenodo.org/record/1003169#.WzYwh9Uza70> (2016).
- NEN. Water: photometric determination of the content of dissolved orthophosphate and the total content of phosphorous compounds by continuous flow analysis. Report No. NEN 6663 Netherlands Normalization Institute, (1986).

30. NEN. Water: photometric determination of the content of ammonium nitrogen and the sum of the contents of ammoniacal and organically bound nitrogen according to Kjeldahl by continuous flow analysis. Report No. NEN 6646 (Netherlands Normalization Institute, 1990).
31. NEN. Bepaling van het stikstofgehalte in de vorm van nitriet en in de vorm van nitraat en de som van beide met doorstroomanalyse (CFA en FIA) en spectrometrische detectie. Report No. NEN-EN-ISO 13395. Netherlands Normalization Institute, (1997).
32. Van der Staay, G. W. M., Brouwer, A., Baard, R. L. & van Mourik, F. Separation of photosystems I and II from the oxychlorobacterium (prochlorophyte) *Prochlorothrix hollandica* and association of Chl*b* binding antennae with PS II. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta.* **1102**, 220–228 (1992).
33. Helsel, D. R. Fabricating data: How substituting values for nondetects can ruin results, and what can be done about it. *Chemosphere* **65**, 2434–2439 (2006).
34. Faassen, E. J. & Lurling, M. Occurrence of the microcystins MC-LW and MC-LF in Dutch surface waters and their contribution to total microcystin toxicity. *Mar. Drugs* **11**, 2643–2654 (2013).
35. Lurling, M. & Faassen, E. J. Dog poisonings associated with a *Microcystis aeruginosa* bloom in the Netherlands. *Toxins* **5**, 556–567 (2013).
36. Fastner, J. *et al.* Fatal neurotoxicosis in dogs associated with tychoplanktic, anatoxin-a producing *Tychonema sp.* in mesotrophic lake Tegel, Berlin. *Toxins* **10**, 60 (2018).
37. R Foundation for Statistical Computing, Vienna, Austria <https://www.R-project.org/> (2016).

Data Citations

1. Mantzouki, E. *et al.* *Environmental Data Initiative* <https://doi.org/10.6073/pasta/dabc352040fa58284f78883fa9debe37> (2018).

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge COST Action ES 1105 “CYANOCOST – Cyanobacterial blooms and toxins in water resources: Occurrence impacts and management” and COST Action ES 1201 “NETLAKE – Networking Lake Observatories in Europe” for contributing to this study through networking and knowledge sharing with European experts in the field. Evanthia Mantzouki was supported by a grant from the Swiss State Secretariat for Education, Research and Innovation (SERI) to Bas Ibelings and by supplementary funding from University of Geneva. We thank Wendy Beekman for the nutrient analysis and the University of Wageningen for covering the costs of this analysis from the personal funding of dr. Miquel Lüring. We thank Pieter Slot for assisting with the pigment analysis and the University of Amsterdam for covering the costs of the analysis through funding from the group of Prof. Jef Huisman and dr. Petra Visser (IBED). We would like to thank the Environmental Data Initiative for covering the cost of archiving the EMLS dataset. We would like to thank the Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, Dept. of Experimental Limnology and the Aquatic Microbial Ecology Group for logistic and technical support of J. Fonvielle and H.-P. Grossart; and the Leibniz Association for financial support. The collection of data for Lough Erne and Lough Neagh were funded by the Department of Agriculture, Environment and Rural Affairs, Northern Ireland.

Author Contributions

Evanthia Mantzouki coordinated the EMLS, collected data, curated the dataset, analysed the pigment data and wrote the manuscript. Bas Ibelings conceived the idea for the EMLS, contributed to discussions throughout the study and to writing of the manuscript. James Campbell created the curation scripts, curated the dataset and wrote the manuscript. Emiel E. Van Loon and Petra Visser supervised the work of James Campbell and helped writing the manuscript. Iosif Constantinou developed the GeoNode platform, created the QGIS layers, uploaded and edited them in the platform. Maria G. Antoniou and Gregory Giuliani were involved in supervising Iosif Constantinou and brainstorming about developing the GeoNode platform. Jutta Fastner, Miquel Lüring and Elisabeth J. Faassen performed the toxin analyses. Sarah O’Leary did the graphic design and illustration of the infographic, Eilish Beirne did the graphic design of the infographic. The rest of the coauthors were responsible for data collection in lakes in their respective countries, for providing invaluable feedback and for finalizing the manuscript.

Additional Information

Competing interests: The authors declare no competing interests.

How to cite this article: Mantzouki, E. *et al.* A European Multi Lake Survey dataset of environmental variables, phytoplankton pigments and cyanotoxins. *Sci. Data.* 5:180226 doi: 10.1038/sdata.2018.226 (2018).

Publisher’s note: Springer Nature remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.



Open Access This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License, which permits use, sharing, adaptation, distribution and reproduction in any medium or format, as long as you give appropriate credit to the original author(s) and the source, provide a link to the Creative Commons license, and indicate if changes were made. The images or other third party material in this article are included in the article’s Creative Commons license, unless indicated otherwise in a credit line to the material. If material is not included in the article’s Creative Commons license and your intended use is not permitted by statutory regulation or exceeds the permitted use, you will need to obtain permission directly from the copyright holder. To view a copy of this license, visit <http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>

The Creative Commons Public Domain Dedication waiver <http://creativecommons.org/publicdomain/zero/1.0/> applies to the metadata files made available in this article.

© The Author(s) 2018

Evanthia Mantzouki¹, James Campbell², Emiel van Loon³, Petra Visser³, Iosif Konstantinou⁴, Maria Antoniou⁴, Grégory Giuliani⁵, Danielle Machado-Vieira⁶, Alinne Gurjão de Oliveira⁶, Dubravka Špoljarić Maronić⁷, Filip Stević⁷, Tanja Žuna Pfeiffer⁷, Itana Bokan Vucelić⁸, Petar Žutinić⁹, Marija Gligora Udovič⁹, Anđelka Plenković-Moraj⁹, Nikolett Tsiarta⁴, Luděk Bláha¹⁰, Rodan Geriš¹¹, Markéta Fránková¹², Kirsten Seestern Christoffersen¹³, Trine Perlt Warming¹³, Tõnu Feldmann¹⁴, Alo Laas¹⁴, Kristel Panksep¹⁴, Lea Tuvikene¹⁴, Kersti Kangro^{14,15}, Kerstin Häggqvist¹⁶, Pauliina Salmi¹⁷, Lauri Arvola¹⁸, Jutta Fastner¹⁹, Dietmar Straile²⁰, Karl-Otto Rothhaupt²⁰, Jeremy Fonvielle²¹, Hans-Peter Grossart^{21,22}, Christos Avagianos²³, Triantafyllos Kaloudis²³, Theodoros Triantis²⁴, Sevasti-Kiriaki Zervou²⁴, Anastasia Hiskia²⁴, Spyros Gkelis²⁵, Manthos Panou²⁵, Valerie McCarthy²⁶, Victor C. Perello²⁶, Ulrike Obertegger²⁷, Adriano Boscaini²⁷, Giovanna Flaim²⁷, Nico Salmaso²⁷, Leonardo Cerasino²⁷, Judita Koreivienė²⁸, Jūratė Karosienė²⁸, Jūratė Kasperovičienė²⁸, Ksenija Savadova²⁸, Irma Vitonytė²⁸, Sigrid Haande²⁹, Birger Skjelbred²⁹, Magdalena Grabowska³⁰, Maciej Karpowicz³⁰, Damian Chmura³¹, Lidia Nawrocka³², Justyna Kobos³³, Hanna Mazur-Marzec³³, Pablo Alcaraz-Párraga³⁴, Elżbieta Wilk-Woźniak³⁵, Wojciech Krztoń³⁵, Edward Walusiak³⁵, Ilona Gagala³⁶, Joanna Mankiewicz-Boczek³⁶, Magdalena Toporowska³⁷, Barbara Pawlik-Skowronska³⁷, Michał Niedźwiecki³⁷, Wojciech Pęczuła³⁷, Agnieszka Napiórkowska-Krzebietke³⁸, Julita Dunalska³⁹, Justyna Sieńska³⁹, Daniel Szymański³⁹, Marek Kruk⁴⁰, Agnieszka Budzyńska⁴¹, Ryszard Goldyn⁴¹, Anna Kozak⁴¹, Joanna Rosińska⁴¹, Elżbieta Szeląg-Wasielewska⁴¹, Piotr Domek⁴¹, Natalia Jakubowska-Krepska⁴¹, Kinga Kwasizur⁴², Beata Messyasz⁴², Aleksandra Pełechata⁴², Mariusz Pełechaty⁴², Mikołaj Kokocinski⁴², Beata Madrecka⁴³, Iwona Kostrzewska-Szlakowska⁴⁴, Magdalena Frańk⁴⁵, Agnieszka Bańkowska-Sobczak⁴⁶, Michał Wasilewicz⁴⁶, Agnieszka Ochocka⁴⁷, Agnieszka Pasztaleniec⁴⁷, Iwona Jasser⁴⁸, Ana M. Antão-Geraldes⁴⁹, Manel Leira⁵⁰, Armand Hernández⁵¹, Vítor Vasconcelos⁵², João Morais⁵², Micaela Vale⁵², Pedro M. Raposeiro⁵³, Vítor Gonçalves⁵³, Boris Aleksovski⁵⁴, Svetislav Krstić⁵⁴, Hana Nemova⁵⁵, Iveta Drastichova⁵⁵, Lucia Chomova⁵⁵, Spela Remec-Rekar⁵⁶, Tina Elsersek⁵⁷, Jordi Delgado-Martin⁵⁸, David García⁵⁸, Jose Luís Cereijo⁵⁸, Joan Gomà⁵⁹, Mari Carmen Trapote⁵⁹, Teresa Vegas-Vilarrúbia⁵⁹, Biel Obrador⁵⁹, Ana García-Murcia⁶⁰, Monserrat Real⁶⁰, Elvira Romans⁶⁰, Jordi Noguero-Ribes⁶⁰, David Parreño Duque⁶⁰, Elisabeth Fernández-Morán⁶⁰, Bárbara Úbeda⁶¹, José Ángel Gálvez⁶¹, Rafael Marcé⁶², Núria Catalán⁶², Carmen Pérez-Martínez⁶³, Eloísa Ramos-Rodríguez⁶³, Carmen Cillero-Castro⁶⁴, Enrique Moreno-Ostos⁶⁵, José María Blanco⁶⁵, Valeriano Rodríguez⁶⁵, Jorge Juan Montes-Pérez⁶⁵, Roberto L. Palomino⁶⁵, Estela Rodríguez-Pérez⁶⁵, Rafael Carballeira⁶⁶, Antonio Camacho⁶⁷, Antonio Picazo⁶⁷, Carlos Rochera⁶⁷, Anna C. Santamans⁶⁷, Carmen Ferriol⁶⁷, Susana Romo⁶⁸, Juan Miguel Soria⁶⁸, Lars-Anders Hansson⁶⁹, Pablo Urrutia-Cordero^{69,71}, Arda Özen⁷⁰, Andrea G. Bravo⁷¹, Moritz Buck⁷¹, William Colom-Montero⁷², Kristiina Mustonen⁷², Don Pierson⁷², Yang Yang⁷², Jolanda M. H. Verspagen³, Lisette N. de Senerpont Domis^{73,74}, Laura Seelen^{73,74}, Sven Teurlincx⁷³, Yvon Verstijnen⁷⁴, Miquel Lüring^{73,75}, Valentini Maliaka^{74,76,77}, Elisabeth J. Faassen^{74,78}, Delphine Latour⁷⁹, Cayelan C. Carey⁸⁰, Hans W. Paerl⁸¹, Andrea Torokne⁸², Tünay Karan⁸³, Nilsun Demir⁸⁴, Meryem Beklioglu⁸⁵, Nur Filiz⁸⁵, Eti E. Levi⁸⁵, Uğur Iskin⁸⁵, Gizem Bezirci⁸⁵, Ülkü Nihan Tavşanoğlu⁸⁵, Kemal Çelik⁸⁶, Koray Özhan⁸⁷, Nusret Karakaya⁸⁸, Mehmet Ali Turan Koçer⁸⁹, Mete Yılmaz⁹⁰, Faruk Maraşlıoğlu⁹¹, Özden Fakioglu⁹², Elif Neyran Soylu⁹³, Meral Apaydın Yağcı⁹⁴, Şakir Çınar⁹⁴, Kadir Çapkın⁹⁴, Abdulkadir Yağcı⁹⁴, Mehmet Cesur⁹⁴, Fuat Bilgin⁹⁴, Cafer Bulut⁹⁴, Rahmi Uysal⁹⁴, Latife Köker⁹⁵, Reyhan Akçaalan⁹⁵, Meriç Albay⁹⁵, Mehmet Tahir Alp⁹⁶, Korhan Özkan⁹⁷, Tuğba Ongun Sevindik⁹⁸, Hatice Tunca⁹⁸, Burçin Önem⁹⁸, Jessica Richardson⁹⁹, Christine Edwards¹⁰⁰, Victoria Bergkemper¹⁰¹, Sarah O'Leary¹⁰², Eilish Beirne¹⁰³, Hannah Cromie¹⁰⁴ & Bastiaan W. Ibelings¹

¹Department F.-A. Forel for Environmental and Aquatic Sciences, University of Geneva, 1205 Geneva, Switzerland. ²Institute of Biology, Leiden University, 2333 BE Leiden, The Netherlands. ³Department of Freshwater and Marine Ecology, University of Amsterdam, 1090 GE Amsterdam, Netherlands. ⁴Department of Environmental Science and Technology, Cyprus University of Technology, 3036 Lemesos, Cyprus. ⁵Institute for Environmental Sciences - GRID, University of Geneva, 1211 Geneva, Switzerland. ⁶Departamento de Sistemática e Ecologia, Universidade Federal da Paraíba, 58059-970 Paraíba, Brasil. ⁷Department of Biology, Josip Juraj Strossmayer University of Osijek, 31000 Osijek, Croatia. ⁸Department for Ecotoxicology, Teaching Institute of Public Health of Primorje-Gorski Kotar County, 51000 Rijeka, Croatia. ⁹Department of Biology, Faculty of Science, University of Zagreb, 10000 Zagreb, Croatia. ¹⁰RECETOX, Faculty of Science, Masaryk University, 62500 Brno, Czech Republic. ¹¹Department of Hydrobiology, Morava Board Authority, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic. ¹²Laboratory of Paleocology, Institute of Botany, The Czech Academy of Sciences, 60200 Brno, Czech Republic. ¹³Freshwater Biological Laboratory, Department of Biology, University of Copenhagen, 2100 Copenhagen, Denmark. ¹⁴Institute of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences, Estonian University of Life Sciences, 51014 Tartu, Estonia. ¹⁵Tartu Observatory, Faculty of Science and Technology, University of Tartu, 61602 Tartu, Estonia. ¹⁶Department of Science and Engineering, Åbo Akademi University, 20520 Åbo, Finland. ¹⁷Department of Biological and Environmental Science, University of Jyväskylä, 40014 Jyväskylä, Finland. ¹⁸Lammi Biological Station, University of Helsinki, 16900 Lammi, Finland. ¹⁹German Environment Agency, Unit Drinking Water Resources and Water Treatment, Corrensplatz 1, 14195 Berlin, Germany. ²⁰Department of Biology, Limnological Institute, University of Konstanz, 78464 Konstanz, Germany. ²¹Department of Experimental Limnology, Leibniz Institute of Freshwater Ecology and Inland Fisheries, 16775 Stechlin, Germany. ²²Institute of Biochemistry and Biology, Potsdam University, 14469 Potsdam, Germany. ²³Water Quality Department, Athens Water Supply and Sewerage Company, 11146 Athens, Greece. ²⁴Institute of Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, National Center for Scientific Research «DEMOKRITOS», 15341 Attiki, Greece. ²⁵Department of Botany, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, 54124 Thessaloniki, Greece. ²⁶Centre for Freshwater and Environmental Studies, Dundalk Institute of Technology, A91 K584 Dundalk, Ireland. ²⁷Department of Sustainable Ecosystems and Bioresources, Fondazione Edmund Mach, 38010 San Michele all'Adige, Italy. ²⁸Institute of Botany, Nature Research Centre, Vilnius 08412, Lithuania. ²⁹Department of Freshwater Ecology, Norwegian Institute for Water Research, 0349 Oslo, Norway. ³⁰Department of Hydrobiology, University of Białystok, 15245 Białystok, Poland. ³¹Institute of Environmental Protection and Engineering, University of Bielsko-Biala, 43309 Bielsko-Biala, Poland. ³²Institute of Technology, The State University of Applied Sciences, 82300 Elblag, Poland. ³³Department of Marine Biotechnology, University of Gdansk, 81378 Gdynia, Poland. ³⁴Department of Animal Biology, Plant Biology and Ecology, University of Jaen, 23701 Jaen, Spain. ³⁵Institute of Nature Conservation, Polish Academy of Sciences, 31-120 Krakow, Poland. ³⁶European Regional Centre for Ecohydrology of the Polish Academy of Sciences, 90364 Lodz, Poland. ³⁷Department of Hydrobiology and Protection of Ecosystems, University of Life Sciences in Lublin, 20262 Lublin, Poland. ³⁸Department of Ichthyology, Hydrobiology and Aquatic Ecology, Inland Fisheries Institute, 10719 Olsztyn, Poland. ³⁹Department of Water Protection Engineering, University of Warmia and Mazury, 10-720 Olsztyn, Poland. ⁴⁰Department of Tourism, Recreation and Ecology, University of Warmia and Mazury, 10-720 Olsztyn, Poland. ⁴¹Department of Water Protection, Adam Mickiewicz University, 61614 Poznan, Poland. ⁴²Department of Hydrobiology, Adam Mickiewicz University, 61614 Poznan, Poland. ⁴³Institute of Environmental Engineering, Poznan University of Technology, 60965 Poznan, Poland. ⁴⁴Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, 02-096 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴⁵Department of Environmental Improvement, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences—SGGW, 02-787 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴⁶Department of Hydraulic Engineering, Faculty of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Warsaw University of Life Sciences—SGGW, 02-787 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴⁷Department of Freshwater Protection, Institute of Environmental Protection- National Research Institute, 01-692 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴⁸Department of Plant Ecology and Environmental Conservation, Faculty of Biology, University of Warsaw, 02-089 Warsaw, Poland. ⁴⁹Centro de Investigação da Montanha, Instituto Politécnico de Bragança, Campus de Santa Apolónia 5300-253 Bragança, Portugal. ⁵⁰Instituto Dom Luiz, University of Lisbon, 1749016 Lisbon, Portugal. ⁵¹Institute of Earth Sciences Jaume Almera, ICTJA, CSIC, 08028 Barcelona, Spain. ⁵²Interdisciplinary Centre of Marine and Environmental Research (CIIMAR) and University of Porto, 4450-208 Matosinhos, Portugal. ⁵³Research Center in Biodiversity and Genetic Resources (CIBIO-Azores), InBIO Associated Laboratory, Faculty of Sciences and Technology, University of the Azores, 9501-801 Ponta Delgada, Portugal. ⁵⁴Faculty of Natural Sciences and Mathematics, SS Cyril and Methodius University, 1000 Skopje, Macedonia. ⁵⁵National Reference Center for Hydrobiology, Public Health Authority of the Slovak Republic, 82645 Bratislava, Slovakia. ⁵⁶Department of Water Quality, Slovenian Environmental Agency, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁵⁷Department of Genetic Toxicology and Cancer Biology, National Institute of Biology, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia. ⁵⁸Department of Civil Engineering, University of A Coruña, 15192 A Coruña, Spain. ⁵⁹Department of Evolutionary Biology, Ecology, and Environmental Sciences, University of Barcelona, 08028 Barcelona, Spain. ⁶⁰Department of Limnology and Water Quality, AECOM U.R.S, 08036 Barcelona, Spain. ⁶¹Department of Biology, University of Cádiz, 11510 Puerto Real, Cádiz, Spain. ⁶²Catalan Institute for Water Research (ICRA),

17003 Girona, Spain. ⁶³Department of Ecology, University of Granada, 18071 Granada, Spain. ⁶⁴R&D Department Environmental Engineering, 3edata, 27004 Lugo, Spain. ⁶⁵Department of Ecology, University of Malaga, 29071 Malaga, Spain. ⁶⁶Centro de Investigaci3n Cientificas Avanzadas (CICA), Facultade de Ciencias, Universidade da Coru3a, 15071 A Coru3a, Spain. ⁶⁷Cavanilles Institute of Biodiversity and Evolutionary Biology, University of Valencia, 46980 Paterna Valencia, Spain. ⁶⁸Department of Microbiology and Ecology, University of Valencia, 46100 Burjassot, Spain. ⁶⁹Department of Biology, Lund University, 22362 Lund, Sweden. ⁷⁰Department of Forest Engineering, University of Cankiri Karatekin, 18200 Cankiri, Turkey. ⁷¹Department of Ecology and Genetics, Limnology, Uppsala University, 75236 Uppsala, Sweden. ⁷²Department of Ecology and Genetics, Erken Laboratory, Uppsala University, 76173 Norrtalje, Sweden. ⁷³Department of Aquatic Ecology, Netherlands Institute of Ecology (NIOO-KNAW), 6700 Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁴Department of Environmental Sciences, Wageningen University & Research, 6700 Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁵Department of Environmental Sciences, Aquatic ecology and water quality management group, Wageningen University, 6700 Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁶Society for the Protection of Prespa, 53077 Agios Germanos, Greece. ⁷⁷Institute for Water and Wetland Research, Department of Aquatic Ecology and Environmental Biology, Radboud University Nijmegen, 6525 AJ Nijmegen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁸Research Institute RIKILT, BU Contaminants & Toxins, Wageningen University, 6708 WB Wageningen, The Netherlands. ⁷⁹Laboratoire Microorganismes - G3nome et Environnement, Universit3 Clermont Auvergne, Campus Universitaire des C3zeaux, Aubiere Cedex 63178, France. ⁸⁰Department of Biological Sciences, Virginia Tech, 24061 Virginia, USA. ⁸¹Institute of Marine Sciences, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, 28557 North Carolina, USA. ⁸²National Institute of Environmental Health, 1097 Budapest, Hungary. ⁸³Department of Molecular Biology and Genetics, Gaziosmanpasa University, 60250 Merkez, Turkey. ⁸⁴Department of Fisheries and Aquaculture, Ankara University, 6100 Ankara, Turkey. ⁸⁵Department of biology, Middle East Technical University, 6800 Ankara, Turkey. ⁸⁶Department of Biology, Balikesir University, 10145 Balikesir, Turkey. ⁸⁷Institute of Marine Sciences, Department of Oceanography, Middle East Technical University, 06800 Ankara, Turkey. ⁸⁸Department of Environmental Engineering, Abant Izzet Baysal University, 14280 Bolu, Turkey. ⁸⁹Department of Environment and Resource Management, Mediterranean Fisheries Research Production and Training Institute, 7090 Antalya, Turkey. ⁹⁰Department of Bioengineering, Bursa Technical University, 16310 Bursa, Turkey. ⁹¹Department of Biology, Hitit University, 19040 Corum, Turkey. ⁹²Department of Basic Science, Ataturk University, 25240 Erzurum, Turkey. ⁹³Department of Biology, Giresun University, 28100 Giresun, Turkey. ⁹⁴Republic of Turkey Ministry of Food Agriculture, Fisheries Research Institute, 32500, E3irdir, Isparta, Turkey. ⁹⁵Department of Freshwater Resource and Management, Faculty of Aquatic Sciences, Istanbul University, 34134 Istanbul, Turkey. ⁹⁶Faculty of Aquaculture, Mersin University, 33160 Mersin, Turkey. ⁹⁷Institute of Marine Sciences, Marine Biology and Fisheries, Middle East Technical University, 33340 Mersin, Turkey. ⁹⁸Department of Biology, Sakarya University, 54187 Sakarya, Turkey. ⁹⁹Department of Biological and Environmental Sciences, University of Stirling, FK9 4LA Stirling, UK. ¹⁰⁰School of Pharmacy and Life Sciences, Robert Gordon University, AB10 7GJ Aberdeen, UK. ¹⁰¹Research department for Limnology, University of Innsbruck, 5310 Mondsee, Austria. ¹⁰²Independent graphic designer and illustration, 6a Everton Ave, Cabra, D07 PN2W Dublin, Ireland. ¹⁰³Independent graphic designer, 11 Aravon Ct, A98 D529 Bray, Ireland. ¹⁰⁴Agri-Food & Biosciences Institute, 18A Newforge Lane, BT9 5PX Belfast, UK.