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#### Abstract

We consider a curve $\alpha=\alpha(s)$ parameterized by the arc length $s$ in Galilean and Pseudo-Galilean spaces and denote by $\{T, N, B\}$ the Frenet frame of $\alpha=\alpha(s)$. We say that is a slant helix if there exists a fixed direction $U$ of $G_{3}$ and $G_{3}^{1}$ such that the functions $\langle N, U\rangle_{G_{3}}$ and $\langle N, U\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}$ are constant. In this work we give characterizations of slant helices in terms of the curvature and torsion of $\alpha$.


## GALİLEAN VE PSEUDO-GALİLEAN UZAYLARINDA SLANT HELİSİN KARAKTERİZASYONU

## ÖZET

Bu çalışmada, 3- boyutlu Galilean ve Pseudo Galilean uzaylarında yay parametreli ve $\{T, N, B\}$ Frenet çatısıyla verilen bir eğrinin, asli normali ile sabit bir doğrultu arasındaki açının sabit olmasını sağlayan slant helis olma durumunu, eğrinin eğrilik ve torsiyonu yardımıyla karakterize ettik.

## 1.INTRODUCTION

This definition is motivated by what happens in Euclidean space $E^{3}$. In this setting, we recall that a helix is a curve where the tangent lines make a constant angle with a fixed direction. Helices are characterized by the fact that the ratio $\frac{\tau}{\kappa}$ is constant along the curve [4,7]. Izumiya and Takeuchi have introduced the concept of Slant helix in Euclidean space by saying that the principal normal lines make a constant angle with a fixed direction [6].They characterize a slant helix if and only if the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\left(\kappa^{2}+\tau^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is constant. See also [2,6,8].Recently, helices in Galilean space $G_{3}$ have been studied depending on the causal character of the curve $\alpha$ : see for example [1,3].

Thus, our definition of slant helix are the Galilean and Pseudo-Galilean versions of the Euclidean one. Our main results in this work is the following characterization of Slant helices in the spirit of the one given in equation (1.1). We will assume throughout this work that the curvature and torsion functions do not equal zero.

## 2.GALILEAN SPACE $G_{3}$

The Galilean space is a three dimensional complex projective space, $P_{3}$, in which the absolute figure $\left\{w, f, I_{1}, I_{2}\right\}$ consists of a real plane $w$ (the absolute plane), a real line $f \subset w$ (the absolute line) and two complex conjugate points, $I_{1}, I_{2} \in f$ (the absolute points).

We shall take, as a real model of the space $G_{3}$, a real projective space $P_{3}$, with the absolute $\{w, f\}$ consisting of a real plane $w \subset G_{3}$ and a real
line $f \subset w$, on which an elliptic involution $\varepsilon$ has been defined. Let $\varepsilon$ be in homogeneous coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& w \ldots x_{0}=0, \quad f \ldots x_{0}=0 \\
& \varepsilon:\left(0: 0: x_{2}: x_{3}\right) \rightarrow\left(0: 0: x_{3}:-x_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

In the nonhomogeneous coordinates, the similarity group $H_{8}$ has the form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{x}=a_{11}+a_{12} x \\
& \bar{y}=a_{21}+a_{22} x+a_{23} \cos \theta+a_{23} \sin \theta  \tag{2.1}\\
& \bar{z}=a_{31}+a_{32} x-a_{23} \sin \theta+a_{23} \cos \theta
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i j}$ and $\theta$ are real numbers.For $a_{11}=a_{23}=1$, we have have the subgroup $B_{6}$, the group of Galilean motions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}=a_{11}+a_{12} x \\
& \bar{y}=b+c x+y \cos \theta+z \sin \theta \\
& \bar{z}=d+e x-y \sin \theta+z \cos \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

In $G_{3}$, there are four classes of lines:
a) (proper) nonisotropic lines-they do not meet the absolute line $f$.
b) (proper) isotropic lines-lines that do not belong to the plane $w$ but meet the absolute line $f$.
c) unproper nonisotropic lines-all lines of $w$ but $f$.
d) the absolute line $f$.

Planes $x=$ constan $t$ are Euclidean and so is the plane $w$. Other planes are isotropic. In what follows, the coefficients $a_{11}$ and $a_{23}$ a will play a special role. In particular, for $a_{11}=a_{23}=1$, (2.1) defines the group $B_{6} \subset H_{8}$ of isometries of the Galilean space $G_{3}$.

The scalar product in Galilean space $G_{3}$ is defined by

$$
\langle X, Y\rangle_{G_{3}}= \begin{cases}x_{1} y_{1} & , \\ x_{2} y_{2}+x_{3} y_{3}, & \text { if } x_{1} \neq 0 \quad \text { or } y_{1} \neq 0 \\ x_{1}=0 & \text { and } y_{1}=0\end{cases}
$$

where $X=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}\right)$ and $Y=\left(y_{1}, y_{2}, y_{3}\right)$.
A curve $\alpha: I \subseteq R \rightarrow G_{3}$ of the class $C^{r}(r \geq 3)$ in the Galilean space $G_{3}$ is given defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(x)=(s, y(s), z(s)) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s$ is a Galilean invariant and the arc length on $\alpha$.The curvature $\kappa(s)$ and the torsion $\tau(s)$ are defined by
$\kappa(s)=\sqrt{\left(y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}}, \quad \tau(s)=\frac{\operatorname{det}\left(\alpha^{\prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s), \alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)\right)}{\kappa^{2}(s)}$
The orthonormal frame in the sense of Galilean space $\mathrm{G}_{3}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& T=\alpha^{\prime}(s)=\left(1, y^{\prime}(s), z^{\prime}(s)\right) \\
& N=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\left(0, y^{\prime \prime}(s), z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)  \tag{2.4}\\
& B=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\left(0,-z^{\prime \prime}(s), y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The vectors $T, N$ and $B$ in (2.4) are called the vectors of the tangent, principal normal and the binormal line of $\alpha$, respectively.They satisfy the following Frenet equations [1]

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\prime} & =\kappa N \\
N^{\prime} & =\tau B  \tag{2.5}\\
B^{\prime} & =-\tau N .
\end{align*}
$$

## 3.PSEUDO-GALILEAN SPACE $G_{3}^{1}$

The geometry of the pseudo-Galilean space is similar (but not the same) to the Galilean space.The pseudo-Galilean space $G_{3}^{1}$ is a threedimensional projective space in which the absolute consists of a real plane $w$ (the absolute plane), a real line $f \subset w$ (the absolute line) and a hyperbolic involution on $f$. Projective transformations which presere the absolute form of a group $H_{8}$ and are in nonhomogeneous coordinates can be written in the form

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{x} & =a+b x \\
\bar{y} & =c+d x+r y \cosh +r z \sinh \theta  \tag{3.1}\\
\bar{z} & =e+f x+r y \sinh \theta+r z \cosh \theta
\end{align*}
$$

where $a, b, c, d, e, f, r$ and $\theta$ are real numbers. Particularly, for $b=r=1$, the group (3.1) becomes the group $B_{6} \subset H_{8}$ of isometries (proper motions) of the pseudo-Galilean space $G_{3}^{1}$. The motion group leaves invariant the absolute figure and defines the other invariants of this geometry.It has the following form

$$
\begin{align*}
& \bar{x}=a+x \\
& \bar{y}=c+d x+y \cosh +z \sinh \theta  \tag{3.2}\\
& \bar{z}=e+f x+y \sinh \theta+z \cosh \theta .
\end{align*}
$$

According to the motion group in the pseudo-Galilean space, there are nonisotropic vectors $X(x, y, z)$ (for which holds $x \neq 0$ ) and four types of isotropic vectors: spacelike $\left(x=0, y^{2}-z^{2}>0\right)$, timelike $\left(x=0, y^{2}-z^{2}<0\right)$ and two types of lightlike vectors $(x=0, y= \pm z)$ .The scalar product of two vectors $A=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$ and $B=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}, b_{3}\right)$ in $G_{3}^{1}$ is defined by

$$
\langle A, B\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
a_{1} b_{1} & , & \text { if } a_{1} \neq 0  \tag{3.3}\\
a_{2} b_{2}-a_{3} b_{3} & \text { or } b_{1} \neq 0 \\
\text { if } a_{1}=0 & \text { and } b_{1}=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

A curve $\alpha(t)=(x(t), y(t), z(t))$ is admissible if it has no inflection points, no isotropic tangents or tangents or normals whose projections on the absolute plane would be light-like vectors.For an admissible curve $\alpha: I \subseteq R \rightarrow G_{3}^{1}$ the curvature $\kappa(t)$ and the torsion $\tau(t)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(t)=\frac{\sqrt{\left(y^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}-\left(z^{\prime \prime}(t)\right)^{2}}}{\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)^{2}}, \tau(t)=\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(t) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)-y^{\prime \prime \prime}(t) z^{\prime \prime}(t)}{\left|x^{\prime}(t)\right|^{5} \kappa^{2}(t)} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

expressed in components.Hence, for an admissible curve $\alpha: I \subseteq R \rightarrow G_{3}^{1}$ parameterized by the arc length $s$ with differential form $d s=d x$, given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(t)=(x, y(s), z(s)) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

the formulas (3.4) have the following form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa(s)=\sqrt{\left|\left(y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}-\left(z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right|}, \quad \tau(s)=\frac{y^{\prime \prime}(s) z^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)-y^{\prime \prime \prime}(s) z^{\prime \prime}(s)}{\kappa^{2}(s)} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The associated trihedron is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T & =\alpha^{\prime}(s)=\left(1, y^{\prime}(s), z^{\prime}(s)\right) \\
N & =\frac{1}{\kappa(s)} \alpha^{\prime \prime}(s)=\frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\left(0, y^{\prime \prime}(s), z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)  \tag{3.7}\\
B & =\frac{1}{\kappa(s)}\left(0, \varepsilon z^{\prime \prime}(s), \varepsilon y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=\mp 1$, chosen by $\operatorname{criterion~} \operatorname{det}(T, N, B)=1$, that means

$$
\left|\left(y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}-\left(z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right|=\varepsilon\left(\left(y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}-\left(z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}\right)
$$

The curve $\alpha$ given by (3.6) is timelike (resp. spacelike) if $N(s)$ is a spacelike(resp. timelike) vector. The principal normal vector or simply normal is spacelike if $\varepsilon=1$ and timelike if $\varepsilon=-1$. For derivatives of the tangent (vector) $T$, the normal $N$ and the binormal $B$, respectively, the following Serret-Frenet formulas hold

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{\prime}=\kappa N \\
& N^{\prime}=\tau B  \tag{3.8}\\
& B^{\prime}=\tau N
\end{align*}
$$

From (3.8), we derive an important relation [8],

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{\prime \prime \prime}(s)=\kappa^{\prime}(s) N(s)+\kappa(s) \tau(s) B(s) \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 4.SLANT HELICES IN $G_{3}$

Definition 4.1. A curve $\alpha$ is called a slant helix if there exists a constant vector field $U$ in $G_{3}$ such that the function $\langle N(s), U\rangle_{G_{3}}$ is constant.
Theorem 4.1. Let $\alpha$ be a curve parameterized by the arc length $S$ in $G_{3}$ .Then $\alpha$ is a slant helix if and only if either one the next two functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pm \frac{\kappa^{2}}{\tau^{3}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is constant everywhere $\tau$ does not vanish.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a curve in .In order to prove Theorem 4.1, we first assume that $\alpha$ is a slant helix. Let $U$ be the vector field such that the function $\langle N(s), U\rangle_{G_{3}}=c$ is constant. There exist smooth functions $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=a_{1}(s) T(s)+c N(s)+a_{3}(s) B(s) \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $U$ is constant, a differentiation in (4.2) together (4.1) gives

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}^{\prime} & =0 \\
a_{1} \kappa-a_{3} \tau & =0  \tag{4.3}\\
a_{3}^{\prime}+c \tau & =0
\end{array}
$$

From the second equation in (4.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=a_{3}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right) \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $a_{1} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle U, U\rangle_{G_{3}}=a_{1}^{2}=\mathrm{cons} \tan t \tag{4.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We point out that this constraint, together the second and third equation of (4.3) is equivalent to the very system (4.3). From (4.4) and (4.5), set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}^{2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{2}=m^{2} \tag{4.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (4.6) which give

$$
a_{3}= \pm \frac{m}{\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)}
$$

on $I$. The third equation in (4.3) yields

$$
\frac{d}{d s}\left( \pm \frac{m}{\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)}\right)=-c \tau
$$

on $I$. This can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\tau^{3}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime}= \pm \frac{c}{m} \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows a part of Theorem 4.1. Conversely, assume that the condition (4.1) is satisfied. In order to simplify the computations, we assume that the function in (4.1) is a constant, namely, $c$.We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=T+c N+\frac{\tau}{\kappa} B . \tag{4.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A differentiation of (4.8) together the Frenet equations in $G_{3}$ gives $\frac{d U}{d s}=0$ that is, $U$ is a constant vector. On the other hand,

$$
\langle N(s), U\rangle_{G_{3}}=c\left[\frac{\left(y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}+\left(z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{\kappa^{2}(s)}\right]=c
$$

and this means that $\alpha$ is a slant helix.
If $a_{1}=0$, we obtain $\langle U, U\rangle_{G_{3}}=c^{2}+a_{3}^{2}=$ constan $t$. Then $a_{3}=0$ and from (4.3) we have $c=0$. This means that $U=0$ contradiction.

## 5. SLANT HELICES IN PSEUDO-GALILEAN SPACE $G_{3}^{1}$

Definition 5.1. A admissible curve $\alpha$ is called a slant helix if there exists a constant vector field $U$ in $G_{3}^{1}$ such that the function $\langle N(s), U\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}$ is constant.

Theorem 5.1. Let $\alpha$ be a admissible curve parameterized by the arc length $s$ in $G_{3}^{1}$.Then $\alpha$ is a slant helix if and only if either one the next two functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\tau^{3}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime} \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is constant everywhere $\tau$ does not vanish.
Proof. Let $\alpha$ be a admissible curve in $G_{3}^{1}$. In order to prove Theorem 5.2, we first assume that $\alpha$ is a slant helix. Let $U$ be the vector field such that the function $\langle N(s), U\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}=c \varepsilon$ is constant. There exist smooth functions $a_{1}$ and $a_{3}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=a_{1}(s) T(s)+c \varepsilon N(s)+a_{3}(s) B(s) \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

As $U$ is constant, a differentiation in (5.2) together (5.1) gives

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
a_{1}^{\prime} & =0 \\
a_{1} \kappa+a_{3} \tau=0  \tag{5.3}\\
a_{3}^{\prime}+c \varepsilon \tau & =0 .
\end{array}
$$

From the second equation in (5.3) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{1}=-a_{3}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right) \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if $a_{1} \neq 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle U, U\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}=a_{1}^{2}=\text { constan } t \tag{5.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We point out that this constraint, together the second and third equation of (5.3) is equivalent to the very system (5.3). From (5.4) and (5.5), set

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}^{2}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{2}=m^{2} \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (5.6) which give

$$
a_{3}= \pm \frac{m}{\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)}
$$

on $I$. The third equation in (5.3) yields

$$
\frac{d}{d s}\left( \pm \frac{m}{\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)}\right)=-c \varepsilon \tau
$$

on $I$. This can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\kappa^{2}}{\tau^{3}}\left(\frac{\tau}{\kappa}\right)^{\prime}= \pm \frac{c \varepsilon}{m} \tag{5.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows a part of Theorem 5. 2. Conversely, assume that the condition (5.1) is satisfied. In order to simplify the computations, we assume that the function in (5.1) is a constant, namely, $c$.We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=-T+c \varepsilon N+\frac{\tau}{\kappa} B . \tag{5.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

A differentiation of (5.8) together the Frenet equations in $G_{3}^{1}$ gives $\frac{d U}{d s}=0$ that is, $U$ is a constant vector. On the other hand,

$$
\langle N(s), U\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}=c\left[\frac{\varepsilon\left(y^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}-\left(z^{\prime \prime}(s)\right)^{2}}{\kappa^{2}(s)}\right]=c \varepsilon
$$

and this means that $\alpha$ is a slant helix.
If $a_{1}=0$, we obtain $\langle U, U\rangle_{G_{3}^{1}}=c^{2}-a_{3}^{2}=$ constan $t$. Then $a_{3}=0$ and from (5.3) we have $c=0$. This means that $U=0$ contradiction.
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